



**Mircea Digulescu**  
Achieve your full potential ...

## **Defeating imperialism: Report on 21<sup>st</sup> Century Strategies for a Multipolar World Order**

Mircea Digulescu  
[mircea.digulescu@mail.ru](mailto:mircea.digulescu@mail.ru)

Yerevan, 17th May 2021

Military Document

**CLASSIFIED (Declassified without redactions on 17:47@22.06.2021 MSK, by Mircea Digulescu)**

### **ABSTRACT AND OVERVIEW**

This report outlines and discusses several key strategies available as options to state and non-state players in the early 21<sup>st</sup> century which, when enacted, are claimed to serve as an effective mean to counter and ultimately defeat imperialism, achieving an unchallenged Multipolar World order, within a reasonable timeframe (before 2050) and with acceptable costs. The document aims to discuss key, non-trivial, high value-added ideas and avoids going into every single detail. While comprehensive, it does not seek to offer an exhaustive discussion of the matters involved.

Twenty multilateral strategies, recommended to all friendly nations collectively, particularly the Russian Federation, the People's Republic of China, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, the Islamic Republic of Iran, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (DPRK) and Belarus, to be enacted both on a national level, and in coordination with one another, are presented and discussed. They cover a broad range of topics, focusing mainly on Military and Economic strategy, both at home and abroad, and addressing key aspects of Education, Immigration, Diplomatic and Media strategies.

Additionally, a few key strategic points applicable specifically to certain nations are briefly presented, namely with regards to Russia, China, Venezuela, Iran, Belarus, the DPRK, Turkey, the Philippines and Turkmenistan.

Finally, a comprehensive introduction into what should be done by friendly groups, namely "the World People's Web", behind enemy lines is included.

Conclusions are drawn and a brief presentation of the author is included.

Thirteen points on current strategic trends in global affairs, as well as fifteen definitions are leveraged as context for framing the strategies appropriately.

This report is fully written and endorsed by Mircea Digulescu, in his own name. It recommends courses of actions for state leaders and policy makers to adopt. The premises of the ideas it encompasses are based



50% on analysis of OSINT data, and 25% on intelligence collected opportunistically by the author throughout his life, and 25% on matters deduced by him via critical judgment and theoretical modeling. The strategies and other recommendations are strongly grounded in Game Theory and developed under the best practices of the Art of War. They are also intended to be realistic for the context and motives in which nation leaders and administrative elites find themselves currently.

While Mircea Digulescu had no access to classified or secret information regarding actual military capabilities or detailed situation about the situation on the front, in either current or potential conflict zones, and neither did he have access to a detailed assessment of the internal situation and strategic goals of either friendly or enemy groups, leveraging the 50%/25%/25% mix above, his personal field experience, his strong background in game theory, as well as his intuition, he feels confident to claim that "he knows the enemy and he knows the friendly forces" well enough to ensure that, except with negligible probability, all the strategic pointers and recommendations are sound. This includes "filling in the gaps" where detailed, precise information is unavailable, with "rough estimates" based on critical judgment, educated guesses, as well as the behavior and attitudes of both friendly and enemy forces, analyzed using the 50%/25%/25% mix. A similar method is used by the people from the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, leading to erringly accurate estimates of global nuclear stockpiles. As such, he considers that the ideas presented in this report are valuable and should be taken seriously: at the very minimum examined within the specialized departments of the friendly nation states.

Nevertheless, implementation details and specific adjustment to the strategies, both individually or as a whole should be made to account for concrete confidential and secret information, which was not available when writing the report. Such refinements are expected to be relatively limited in scope, and not change the essence of the proposals.

Mircea Digulescu is an independent military and intelligence enthusiast and passionate activist for the enactment of a multipolar world. He has quite some field experience, having voluntarily undertaken a few anti-Western "intelligence operations" in his own name, both in his native Romania and elsewhere, occasionally landing him in trouble from behalf of the Western establishment. Specific details are available in the final "Author" Section, as well as in the linked full presentation of himself. Some of these operations have resulting in opportunistic information gathering, the products of which are spread as notes throughout this report. Some of these include stuff like the holding a major and highly exclusivist (20-50 people at most) conference held by the Western hegemonic elites (at the highest level: Rothschild grade), at Peles Castle in Sinaia, Romania in the autumn of 2013, which went well for them: they convinced their Romanian counterparts of whatever they needed convincing about. These and others, serve to illustrate that, although unaffiliated, without access to information from any nation, and without any formal military training of any kind (except self-taught), and without ever working in or for any military organization, Mircea Digulescu generally knows what he is talking about. The ideas in this report should, at the very least, be formally considered.

Mircea Digulescu is furthermore a Computer Scientist – more so with extensive background in Game Theory, Cryptography and Complexity Theory, including having a number of published papers, some of them few peer-reviewed and formally included in conference proceedings. The strategies and ideas contained within this report a strong, RAND-like roots in Game Theory, as it applies to the current military situation of the world. Furthermore, these ideas are appreciated to be, at least some of them, or at least in part *novel to many policy makers, military and state leaders of the friendly nations*. Therefore, he considers that reading them will for sure not be a waste of time or effort at these levels.



Mircea Digulescu has actively struggled against Western hegemony, oppression and imperialism for about 15 years now, including in the context of street protest against pro-Western rulers. He was courted several times to join the Regular Freemasonry and other elite structures of the Western establishment, but he had always refused, and never received any benefits from the Western hegemons. To the contrary, he suffered greatly due to their policies, both generic and directed against him, because of being perceived as an uncooperative communist, living within the Western block – who, furthermore, mocked their offers for bettering his situation substantially. This includes social and economic embargos, and a life of struggling to make a decent living from freelancing in software engineering. Mircea Digulescu's unshakable and incorruptible dedication to the cause of the Multipolar World is beyond doubt. More so, give that, as it results from the contents of the report, such goals are intrinsically his as well: he wishes for the world to become Multipolar, and for him to live in such a world.

Finally, do note that Mircea Digulescu did engage in military and diplomatic relations at almost the highest levels, including via contacts with the Venezuelan military, on the occasion of his personal official visit to Caracas in 2020, in the context of attending the International Bolivarian Forum against Imperialism there, during which he was granted the courtesy and protocol of a state visit. He had contacts with other diplomats, businessmen and leaders, including from the Russia and Iran. He traveled the world quite a bit, covering 5 of the 7 continents. Again, this should serve to illustrate, that, "he knows what he is talking about", and that the ideas in this report have merit, and are not mere "amateurish gibberish".

Besides representing official advice from behalf of Mircea Digulescu to state and non-state decision makers, it can and should also be used in the context of military education: both to quickly bring up-to-date fresh military recruits and policy makers – especially those operating in the sphere of intelligence, and to serve as material to stimulate and foster independent critical thinking within them.

Ultimately, it is up to recipients and decision makers to decide how to best avail themselves of this document, and of potential future interactions with Mircea Digulescu. Clearly only ideas which present interest to them will be adopted, with the rest rejected or ignored.

This report contains some pretty explicit, blunt and strong language and ideas. Among others, it accuses the Western-block of economically leveraging sexual slavery, it accuses sitting Iranian President Rouhani of being Western-backed, it proposes military intervention against Israel, among other more generic strategies and ideas, which are, in effect, the most important ones: like Attacking via Reconciliation and Imposing a scientific blockade on the Western block. Many such ideas, claims and recommendations could never have been made from an official position. The author leverages his unaffiliated status, his lack of access to classified or secret information and his supposed "mere enthusiast of the field" position, in being able to word, adopt and recommend such bold ideas. Policy makers and other state actors, may avail themselves of this, by informally referencing the report to signal their intentions to friends and future allies alike, without having to bear to cost or difficulties of openly endorsing any strategy or claim contained herein.

This document has 146 pages, including references. It should be read via four passes, not in full detail at once. Fielding an abridged version was considered, tried and ultimately rejected since it did not make matters more readable, but instead condensed content in a format harder to follow on fewer pages. Also, it omitted the details for most ideas: leaving readers wonder what was actually meant or even misunderstanding the core of matters of the 25-30% of ideas which may not be immediately clear to them. Decision makers and their analysts should read this report in the following fashion:

1. **First pass.** Read only the headlines for the 20 state-level strategies from Section II and that of the





World People's Web Strategy from Section IV. Skip sections III and Conclusions completely. Read also the headlines of the 13 strategic points and 15 definitions from Section I: but only to understand what they refer to in the context of this document. Do not read the author's exposition the topics yet. **This should take less than 30 minutes. It could go down to as low as 6 minutes.**

2. **Second pass.** Skim through the document again, this time reading the elements in **bold** for each of the 20 strategies in Section II and the one in section IV. Read some adjacent text if not clear, but do not get lost in reviewing each point made in detail. As a basis, skim through the 13 strategic points and 15 definitions in Section I again, reading beyond the headlines this time, to more clearly understand what the author meant for each of these. Again skip Sections III and Conclusion completely. **This should take less than 2 hours. It could go down to as low as 30 minutes.**
3. **Third pass.** Skim through the document again, going in further depth around ideas in bold which are new or not fully clear to the reader. Read Section I in further detail: process its entire text, but skip over phrases and sentences discussing ideas which are clear to the reader. Skim broadly through Section III. Skim through the Conclusion. Skim through the Author section. **This should take less than 8 hours. It could go down as low as 3 hours.**
4. **Fourth pass.** Assign the appropriate analyst to read the entire document. He should first proceed with 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> passes as above. Then, he or she should read the full text, but also skip over sentences and phrases discussing matters clear to him or her. This would be a good assignment for "over the weekend" reading, while relaxing with fishing, sunbathing or the like. **The analyst should be able to understand the document and report back in less than 2 weeks. Probably in less as little as 3-5 working days.**
5. Ask the author. Reach out to the author directly for a succinct clarifications, given orally or interactively via instant messaging. And also ask him for a 2-hour, 5-hour or day long "training course" style exposition on the contents of this report, if desired.

This document is adopted by the author in his personal name. He is not acting on behalf of any nation state, nor was he supported by any nation state or non-state actor to create or publish its content. Neither of the disclosures, ideas, strategies and positions revealed in this report, are claimed nor implied to be officially endorsed at the time of its adoption (May 2021) by any nation-state. **The author is an independent, non-state actor acting alone, in his own name when creating, adopting, disseminating this report and recommending that its content be adopted.**

\* Note: the "Military document" designation is meant to denote that the core of this document is interesting to senior military men and state policymakers. And that it covers Military topics and, in its current form, is not necessarily addressed to civilians, or to the public at large.

\* Note: the "CLASSIFIED" designation is used to denote that the document contains sensible information - particularly in bluntly formulated form, and that it is largely not intended for dissemination to the general public unaltered. It is also used to remind that it contains secret or at least sensitive pieces of intel, opportunistically gathered by the author via personal intelligence operations against the West. The choice of the word "CLASSIFIED" is intended to encourage that the document should be freely circulated among all friendly groups within state organs, regardless of the risk some may be traitors. Its content is not as revealing as to warrant something like "SECRET" (ie. requiring that it be protected from groups where enemy activity such as treason is prevalent or suspected), nor "TOP SECRET" (ie. presenting aspects of an imminent action or strategic surprise - which might compromise an operation if revealed too early) or "BLACK". And, after all, it was written from an unsecured laptop, while connected to the Internet.

Please be advised that the author intends to release a very slightly modified version of this report to the general public: including via a blog post, followed by trying to focus appropriate media attention on it.



Therefore, as a subject of immediate feedback, recipients are encouraged to let the author know of any redactions they would like him to make for that public version. In particular, I would not want to have "guessed by chance" some strategies or elements thereof, which are currently part of secret state policies, and whom the decision makers feel would be inopportune to release at this time publicly, even in the context of an independent report like this one. Finally, I would like to coordinate and cooperate more intensely with friendly nation states, and the leaders and militaries thereof. As such, I would also like feedback on portions which should be redacted out, so as not to make such endeavors untenable in the future, due to internal or external diplomatic norms or concerns.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In order to properly frame the proposed strategic actions, it is important to highlight the most important, non-trivial facts and trends pertaining to present day World Affairs. The following ideas, in part, serve as premises for the strategies. It is advised that state decision makers and senior advisors skip directly to the definitions at the end of this section, since they might find the information below well known, obvious or else how superfluous. They should refer to the elements presented here for clarification of certain nuances and meanings useful for better understanding the strategies.

- 1. Western-block elites' economic inability to fulfil the promises to secondary actors, crucial to the maintenance of their power, under the current status-quo.** The long-term power holders in the western block (ie. Rothschild family and the rest) are beginning to reach the maturity of the promises they made to their acolytes, old and new – not to mention to a significant proportion of the civilian population. It is as simple as spending more than earning: they made colossal promises to a significant number of people – that they would all live as despots – eg. to traitors from Eastern-bloc countries as part of their colored revolutions (from 1990 to 2010), traitors from Asia-Pacific, but – more importantly – to actual and potential challengers within their own strongholds of US, UK and EU, who were thus lured into joining these western bandits' proposed 'grand coalition', for supposed much greater personal gain than otherwise even dreamt of. Besides these, colossal promises were made also to appeasing scientists – especially to those involved in the military-industrial complex's activities. Additionally, a significant proportion of the highly skilled worker population – industry builders (eg. auto industry) in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, software and space-industry staff in the 21<sup>st</sup> century – were also made significant promises. For most of these categories – especially numerically speaking – these promises have just begun to reach their maturity. Baby-boomers on the other hand, especially auto-workers (see the living example of Detroit) have already begun to feel that they were cheated. The way these promises work is like this: the victim is given a "groom's welcome" into the cartel, aimed to impress with the cartel's power and width, and also to "soften" the victim, most likely with trips to nice destinations like Epstein's island. This applies to scientists, top 10% of highly skilled workers and above. Then, the victim is "integrated" into the cartel via "lucrative contracts" which, depending on its seniority involve either recurring, or large lump-sum payments in fiat currency. Such victim is kept under the illusion that such a lifestyle as he enjoys – or, in the case of the larger civilian population, they project will enjoy "once they save enough and stop working" – will last forever. Talks of advances in biology aimed at solving Aging are probably also commonplace. However, under the western system, the maintenance of this kind of promised lifestyle – not to mention growth into even more -, is possible only with a steady influx of homegrown or imported slaves – both sex slaves and "cheap labor" (see definitions below). The inflow of external cheap labor into the Western block is facing a deep crisis. Ever since the failure to defeat China and Russia became apparent, and their military might obvious –



circa 2010. These criminal elites are trying to compensate this in three ways: (i) increasing the inflow of “cheap labor” from internal sources (the territories under their control) – by way of degrading the living status quo of the general population, to make them more susceptible to working for their system, via curtailing of human rights (eg. under the pretexts of the “pandemics”) and imposing more or less overt dictatorship in some places; (ii) decreasing the people’s ability to spend (especially to spend for influence or for helping others, ie. pro-bonno more or less, as this would drastically further reduce the supply of “cheap labor”): by inflation, new de-facto taxation for the old style of living, skyrocketing healthcare and financing costs, various “regulations” or “green priorities” (such as CO2 certificate system) and up to outright overt dictatorship in some places, and (iii) going after some of their own lower-ranking acolytes and trying to make them spend less / confiscate some of their fortunes, by way of fraud trials, threats, assassinations or regulatory administrative measures. Nevertheless, all these measures combined are already no more than a short-term band-aid, given the tremendous deficit on the coverage of the western currency (which I estimate to be around 0.1% - 0.01% or less). Additionally, the opening of trade routes, and the growingly assertive stance of friendly states, rich in natural resources (like Venezuela, Iran and Russia), would further bite into the “cheap labor” supply of the western elites drastically. So far, the only groups who feel and realize they have been cheated out are current pensioners (ie. US baby boomers) and some 30% of highly skilled laborers. Adding to the rest 70-80% of the civilian population of course which are strongly dissatisfied, but were never even promised anything. This is perceived as no immediate threat to the elites’ position. However, this is a strong signal to all their acolytes that the promises are started to get defaulted on. And if this state of affairs continues, the positions of the Western elites within their own strongholds (US, UK, EU) are projected to shake – potentially leading to a situation for them not unlike the UK industrial revolution played for the aristocracy. As such, these western-block elites – Rothschild and the West – no longer just “want” a war to solidify their “system” - they desperately need a victorious war – and soon – as a matter of their own survival (both as power holders, and also of physical survival).

- 2. Closed power holders’ group in the Western block.** The current understanding of the implementation of the republican system of government, across almost all states in the Western block, involves concentrating all effective power in the collective hands of a group of about 5-7% of the population. This includes federal, state and local government employees in public administration, regulatory and oversight (especially health regulation) bodies, travel authorities, hospitals, judicial and legal, natural resource management, police and military (including prison administration), electoral authorities, higher education (thus excluding primary and secondary education), key members of the military industrial complex (both public and private) and private security. Of course, all these are controlled and run by the Western hegemonic elites, Rothschilds and the rest themselves, which, percentage wise are negligible themselves. Collectively, this relatively small group of people could – if they wanted to – impose absolute dictatorship upon the rest 93-95% of the population, with no hope of any effectual resistance from such, short of a full revolution: the aforementioned group decides what laws are enacted, who the judges overseeing those laws are, who are the police and military charged with imposing them on the population, who gives the “advice” and reports which serve as the basis for those laws and who decides to believe it or not, what degree of medical care one gets, how good a quality food one eats and so on. Also, this groups holds a defacto monopoly on physical power, being able to – legally (at least formally so) - overpower virtually any means of forceful resistance from the rest, even collective. What is more worrisome – is that **this group also controls its own boundaries**. Through control of the mass-media promoting the candidates in elections, massive surveillance and more recently control of popular communication channels (like Twitter or Messenger), the higher education institutions issuing public credentials, the electoral authorities handling the filtering of candidates, counting the votes and legally validating the elections, the scientific bodies





accepting new scientists in their midst, the agencies responsible for migration selecting who goes into the country and who not and so on, this group also controls who becomes part of it, and who not. And if you were to “contest” or “legally challenge” a refusal or acceptance, the people responsible for solving that petition would also be within it. This small group of less than 10% of the population, has – if it collectively chose to do so – the power to enact a defacto absolute dictatorship on the rest of the population. The recent handling of the 2019-2020 ‘pandemics’ in countries like UK, the EU member states and some US states – is a clear military exercise and also demonstration of such capabilities. More so, by the way in which the states in the Western block are structured, only a small fraction (12-18%) of the people within this ruling governmental group are actually those who decide its policy in its entirety. The rest just obey and implement the policy – more or less vigorously -, despite any contrary personal beliefs or values, either because of fear, personal interest or a prevalent feeling of disempowerment, instilled and psychologically reinforced upon them by the very way in which the imperialist Western system works: segregation and isolation, exhaustion, mass-media and military “influencers”, online and offline and, of course, occasional intervention by managers-superiors to “put them back in place”. **Grossly speaking, it is amazing how, in the Western-block, about 0.75% of the population controls and exploits (to varying degrees) more than 90% of it, using a thin layer of about 5%, in which it vests physical and legal power, of whom more than 60-80% are, in fact, personally opposed to the very policies they are asked to implement – but who continue to implement them anyway.** Noteworthy is the situation in the USA itself – which, unlike any other country in the Western block – is marked by the existence of a large proportion of lightly armed population within the exploited group. This significantly curtails certain abuses which fall outside the framework of law – as biased in the favor of the hegemonic elites as it is anyway – and also presents opportunities for genuine change, on a model similar to that of the Bolivarian Revolution in Venezuela (ie. making extensive use of the electoral system).

- 3. Not so closed power holders’ groups in the Free World.** The segment of the population holding de-facto absolute power in the non-Western world is much greater. This goes to about 30% in Russia (40% public sector occupation), 50-60% in China (63% public sector occupation), 35% in Iran (18.3% public sector, but many private enterprises are in fact run by friends), 40% in Venezuela (30% public sector size, but societal cultural differences thanks to the Bolivarian Revolution greatly expand the empowered population size) and 60-70% in the DPRK. By comparison, US has 13% public sector size. Much more important than the actual size of the public sector, is the actual governmental and societal culture prevalent within it, and around it. While in the West the group of people actually able to determine or at least significantly influence policy (as opposed to being merely policy implementers) is about 15% of the ruling group, this percentage is much higher for the Free World (I estimated between 20-40% for friendly states). Furthermore – and even more importantly – the societal culture in these friendly states is much more inclusive. A significant proportion of the population in Russia, China and so on, feel they “have whom to turn to” in the public administration / military / judiciary, in case they have problems – close to 50-60%. And this is a factual situation, not a mere illusion – as the author himself was able to notice in 2020. Now, this level of involvement of the population means that should any malevolent elite within the ruling group in the friendly nations suddenly want to impose anti-popular measures or unpopular dictatorship, a great level of heavy resistance would be encountered both from the general population, but also from fellow policy makers and policy implementers themselves. A further crucial difference in this regard compared to the Western-block countries, is the very nature of the people populating the ruling group. Unlike the Western counterpart – where such group is comprised of a ruling hegemonic, malevolent elite, and a large number of people of weak character / morality whose duty is largely to just execute –, in friendly nations the proportion of meritorious, kind, moral and visionary people is much higher – maybe up to 66% in some countries. And these people are



not depressed and defeated robots like the policy implementers in the West – and the top brass is also not of a malevolent and self-sufficient nature, like with the West. On the contrary – they have views and values, ideals and desires of life, society and of themselves – which are compatible with the ideology of liberty, or even precisely that. They are also much less inclined to prioritize themselves and their own wellbeing, over the positive vision they seek to implement for the World. Furthermore, in some case – like in Russia and China -, the more they seek and succeed in implementing this positive vision, the more powerful they become (and it was in fact one determinant element of the respective leaders' rise to power initially also) – so there is little conflict of interest at the top brass. Additionally, in countries like Venezuela – the malevolence of the Western elites is well known and understood, and animosity towards them and their “system” is so high and prevalent, that a large percentage of the ruling group (maybe >90%) would not “give in”, not even for personal advantages greater than they could hope to achieve in their entire lifetime – due to prevalence of personal values and morals over biological self-interest. This state of affairs relates to and gives rise to two important ideas:

- a. **The ruling elites of the Free World will never be able to exploit and/or control their general population to the extent this can happen in the West.** The management of the 2020 ‘pandemics’ is, indeed, rather illustrative in this regard – the magnitude and spread to which ‘sanitary measures’ were enacted and respected in Russia, China, etc., is much, much smaller than the dystopic application of such in the EU and UK. As such, the leaders (present and future) of these nations, **should not even try**. They will never be able to extract that much production power / military personnel / “cheap labor” for bribing purposes, like the West. And should they be so foolish to try, they would actually alienate their own strong base – the general population, resulting in the medium term in a degradation of industrial production and more importantly of stability and security of the ruling group, and of its ability to project power and rule. **They should in fact deepen the partnership with their populations**, and motivate them in the traditional ways to support and contribute to state and multilateral policy making and implementation.
- b. There is of course a part of the ruling group in the nations of the Free World that is treacherous. Most notably in Iran and Russia. But to some extent such people are present everywhere, including China and Venezuela. They are largely people who wish to have it better for themselves, even at expense of their nations, or their former friends and allies – if need be. Some are actual agents of imperialism, who made their way into the ruling group from stay behind armies, sleeper groups or direct infiltration. This shadowy subgroup – which, for example, seems to have made as far up as President Rouhani in Iran (including him) – is rather curtailed in its power to do direct harm in the short term – since that would expose the perpetrator and, given the self-interest of most member of said, it is not generally something they would find acceptable. Nevertheless its existence is very dangerous in the medium term, especially once decisive, large scale military operations begin. They can function as a siphon for discontented people – rightly or unrightly so -, can grow a shadowy resistance, and in some cases (like I suspect with Soleimani, nuclear physicists” assassinations and not only), can actually leverage their insight, access and formal authority to do harm, in the Gorbachev/Eltin – style. Furthermore, they serve as bridge for bribe-giving from the Western block, deep in the heart of our friendly nations. These traitors should be exposed and executed / sidelined no later than the beginning of large scale military operations.
- c. The core of the imperialists’ current strategy, especially given their deteriorating military situation on the front, is cooption – that is cooption for gain, not for mere illusions. To this end, **these devils propose to all nations, especially to powerful but vulnerable friendly nations like Russia that “hey, look.. you folks in the ruling group** (ie. the





policy makers, so about 30% of the administration in Russia), **you folks have so much power, but so little return.. look at how you live, despite being in control.. and look at how you could live, if you were to join our 'grand coalition'**". So they are essentially tempting the state leaders (those susceptible ofc – so not President Putin for example, in Russia), and those from the 2<sup>nd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> echelons of power, to transform their states into more or less dictatorships, and then proceed to exploit their populations – and that they, the Western imperialists, will support such group of traitors, and would 'welcome them in their grand coalition'. And they give the examples of the color revolutions from the dissolution of the Soviet Union, particularly from Central Asia, to back up their arguments. To this it must be made factually clear that: **(i) the West is financially broke, as detailed in point (1) above; - any traitors will never be able to sustainably have a luxurious life outside their countries, in the West; (ii) if they were to betray – and succeed, they will never ever ever be more than servants and subjects of the Western imperialistic elites – and those believe unable to accept such a position, will be killed.** Like I explained in the speech at the 2020 International Bolivarian Forum in Caracas [1], these elites have it in their nature to rule others, and are biologically incapable of accept coexistence or independence. Some who thought they might be accept as independent "safe" power-brokers or independent actors, like Ceausescu and Gadhafi, learned reality by shortly biting the dust. And finally, and very importantly: **(iii) their acts of treason would actually diminish the very core of their power – the reason why such were courted by the Western imperialists in the first place – namely the military strength of their nation states and the capacity of such to rule themselves – particularly the factual and political support from the population. It is much more likely that once such acts of treason play their role, the Western imperialists will simply have these traitors killed by other, less fastidious (less expansive) and more loyal groups, who would then take their place.** This of course, would take place in the hypothetical situation (currently, thank God, impossible) that such Western hegemony were to conquer the globe: a nasty time to find out that you are to be slaughter when you, as a traitor, believed that "finally, you made it.. and that now you will live a life of despotic luxury and power", huh? One such traitor – Eltin – understood this Western strategy well, and once he did, he reacted accordingly – for example in Yugoslavia.

- d. Regardless of the truth of all these arguments, and in the case of some people, regardless of their genuine belief in such, there will be traitors. It is a matter of state policy to make sure they are relegated to a harmless context, and, where possible, firmly dealt with – by way of execution of themselves at least, if not their families also. And for the right reasons – not for some invented or exaggerated crime -, and that such executions are publicized and the facts which lead to them made clear to all – where such is possible of course.

4. **Strategic Military superiority of the Free World, enabled by new Russian weapons, such as Poseidon, Avangard, and other such systems.** The Free World is in a unique position today, to have military superiority over the Western Block. A situation probably as of yet, never before encountered in history – not even during the Cold War. This advantage is more so deepened by the realistic prospects of a Russian strategic ballistic missile shield which actually works – based on S-500, S-400, combat lasers and other new weapons systems – thus, given it the prospective capability of first strike. It must be understood that these weapons are a game changer. Had they not become operational when they did, the West would now be at the gates of Moscow – despite its then-current nuclear deterrent. Nevertheless, it must also be critically understood that, **all these systems, are currently just diplomatic weapons.** They are messages to the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> echelons of Western



power, which in turn serve to hinder the agenda of the anglo-saxon elites with regards to an attack. But this kind of diplomacy will not prevent war forever. The severely declining status quo of the Western elites, as described in point (1) – and furthermore, the collective degradation of Western-block's capacity to project military power abroad – will make the war continue and "heat-up", despite the looming threat of sterilization of the surface of the Earth (the Poseidon system alone can sterilize at least 100nm inland from any coast, for several centuries). Furthermore, for the current anglo-saxon rulers of the Western block, the prospect of losing the war via nuclear annihilation is no worse (better in fact) than losing it politically, or via conventional military operations. Their situation is such – that they, these bandits and charlatans who have managed to gain political control in the West -, they need a military victory against the Free World – a complete military victory, in order to maintain their internal power. Anything short of that and they will be fully eliminated from power, likely also losing their lives in the process. And, as I pointed out in a speech before, they will choose war, and then even choose annihilation if up to them, rather than surrender power - no matter for "how good a deal". This state of affairs gives rise to the following important ideas:

- a. **The Western elites will focus on mixed warfare** – essentially trying to overthrow, "bribe-out", disrupt and degrade the leadership and governing apparatus of friendly states. By way of color revolutions and such. But not only: 'shadow revolutions' like with Gorbachev in the USSR and systemic sabotage – where a significant portion of the ruling administration is sabotaging the rest – are also on the table. A clear example to this end is their ongoing efforts to maintain their allies in power in Iran – the Rouhani group: the West recently even agreed to concede \$7bln in payout to Iran, so as to prop up their favorites before the summer elections in the Islamic Republic. Furthermore, these Western elites will go on an all-out buying spree – and the more broke they are systemically, the more they will spend and sometimes even, pay in advance, during the current subversive efforts. It is their final chance for victory.. and their final chance for life as well – since, from a game-theoretical perspective, these two are the same position, in fact. As such, it is expected they will go to extraordinary lengths to leverage "incumbent's advantage" wherever they can, and push for faster subversion and change everywhere else. Furthermore, crucial to the success changes of this approach, is that they continue to be perceived as "stable", as "there to stay" and to project the impression that Imperialism, in one form or another were to continue forever – and, if they could convince shallow minds of even more – that it were also to prevail – then all the better. The term mixed warfare refers to the fact that once a kernel of such traitors is formed, they will be pushed into taking military action against the legitimate government, and they will be supported in such by Western special forces, intelligence, and specially trained groups – and such operations do not require nuclear weapons to succeed, and cannot be prevent by nuclear weapons either.
- b. **The West will attempt to close the technological gap via espionage, by buying out technology and scientists.** Unfortunately, this approach has sometimes worked before for them – even recently, with regards to hypersonic weapons. The ongoing temptation that the Western block is able to pose to our scientists is in fact based on a screen of smoke and chaff.. for a bankrupt power – and this should more clearly and convincingly be explained.
- c. **Scientists and Engineers worldwide are a decisive strategic resource – they should be treated and courted as such.** The number of scientists and engineers worldwide, including outside computer science, mathematics and physics, is less than 0.1% of the entire population. This segment has been, with some exceptions, generally taken for granted – both in the West and in the Free World. That is largely because the psychological construct of most of its members, leaves quite a bit to be desired in terms of negotiations,



strength of character, military predilection, as well as talent in intelligence operations and social lucidity not pertaining to one's field of technical research. Nevertheless, this approach has and does favor the West. They are the ones able to offer many – and more importantly the many of the strategic portion – of this group, a perceived much "better deal" than they are able to get in their home countries, including in Russia (less so in China and the DPRK). While the West is starting to fail in this regard also, this strategic group should immediately be given the attention that it is due and, while avoiding economic waste and infiltration, be made an ally of the Free World virtually in its entirety. And this is the natural tendency within this group – more than 90% of its members are naturally and strongly inclined towards justice, liberty, equity and, of course, truth – they are natural left-wingers. An improper way to pursue these values has left to them being neglected, as people with whom it is easy to negotiate. It is trivial, yet crucial and often neglected to note that it is this group, which, collectively, has the entirety of the Military Power – they are the ones researching, designing and building new weapon systems – like the Poseidon and Avangard, which, if the other side does not possess such, could resolve any conflict through technical means, without a single word needed on a report such as the present document. The current state of global affairs on this topic – of science and engineering – is, sadly, still dominated by the Western vision. They are attempting to make scientists and engineers be perceived – and perceive themselves – as some "holly external group" – some "neutral observes" – interested only in their research, which "are not sides in any war". Of course, the Western bandits, do not hesitate for one second to kill scientists whom they cannot lure and who are involved in strategic operations – like in Iran and probably like with the incident in northern Russia from one year ago. However, the "planetary forum" of the 'scientific community', of scientists, engineers, medics, and so on meeting freely at international conferences (generally hosted on Western soil), 'cooperating' on joint, multinational projects, visiting each other's Universities – all outside the framework of state, nation, or military-political alliance – as if they were some extraterrestrial butterflies, fully external "to the troubles of our World" – is in fact Western-block ruled and does without a shadow of doubt favor the West. It is a means for them to both lure in traitors and naïve researchers, to bribe away the more cognizant ones, to send their own infiltrators abroad and to generally involve themselves in intelligence gathering. This is international scientific community approach must be reformed and redesigned at its core. And, in essence, most scientist will support this – since, like I said, over 90% of us are deeply and profoundly against tyranny and oppression. So long as there is no significant perceived or real degradation of personal interests (and for some, even despite this), given the option – we, scientists, would support the Free World without question, as a matter of personal taste.

- 5. Increasing Automation and Robotization of the Military.** Advances in technology, including but not limited to RPA and Machine Learning, are starting to enable a more and more automated war fighting activity, both domestic and external – from the actual military hardware itself (starting with aerial, terrestrial and aquatic drones), to battlefield management, to C4ISC, requiring less and less people to control the war effort as it is carried out. Taken to its natural conclusion, such advances – unchecked – are due to concentrate military power in the hands of a very small number of people – ideally for the Western hegemonic elite – small enough to fit themselves. This is again their only long term survival (and winning) strategy. To eliminate the political element from their grip on power, and to concentrate all physical force into the hands of the 100,000 or so people – constituting their 'Association of Helpers' – which in turn, they would personally control – just in case – via additional automated hardware (think "kill switch"). While this kind of military is far from reality presently – it is





an eerily real possibility. And it is the direction where the current Western elites want to go. Automation of war fighting on all fronts is a common pursuit for all powers. This acts as a force multiplier and should be considered a legitimate pursuit. However, the western hegemony are aiming further – with technological means to control the arms directly and have their “global strike capability” – and a very personal one directed not only externally, but internally. How nice would it be for them, once they no longer had to bribe and face default on their promises in order to maintain power? If there could simply kill dissenters at the flick of a button? Or even without it altogether – by having an automated monitoring system do this for them, when needed. This would make revolution in the conventional sense (change from inside) impossible. Of course, most people currently on Earth would never consent to having a kill switch mounted, nor to shaping the military in such a way so as to deprive them of oversight (those who currently enjoy it). But the Western elites’ plan is to kill most of the civilian population eventually (think 90%+), and, with the support of a small number of loyal military personnel remaining, establish this kind of technological dictatorship. Of course, the space left empty by such an atrocity – in terms of natural resources of planet Earth – will be used to breed a population of slaves – actual slaves, with “kill switch” and much worse already mounted – similarly to how cattle is bred and exploited in agriculture – but in this case not as a food source, but for sex slavery, organs, manual labor – and worse: for scientific research and engineering also. Such ‘dreams’ of theirs are far from becoming reality – and, thankfully, impossible since these Western elites are doomed. But their risk, and potential appeal to some desperate people should not be neglected. On the more present-day side, the Western elites are in fact failing more and more to recruit adequate personnel for some high-tech stuffs directly associated with the military. From personal intelligence gathering activities, the author has learned that the US National Security Agency (NSA) is currently (as of 2021) having to work through Israeli military contractors (like Elbit and Cellebrite) to expand and build up their massive sentiment analysis software, targeting both domestic and foreign dissent. This is a testament to the unpopularity of such groups “back home”, in the USA itself. This kind of resentment from the civilian population – and most importantly from scientists and engineers – must be leveraged to the fullest.

- 6. Sex slavery in the West.** Sex is like money – important only when it is lacking. And in the Western block, significant efforts have been put into making it lacking, in many places with notable success - as I have detailed in the section “war on sex” of the article On the Functioning of Society [2] (in Romanian). Not disinterested, of course, but, on the contrary, in order to be able to keep this and offer it as a prize – as a bribe – to cooperating parties, old and new – and especially to scientists and engineers, but also to some ‘home grown’ leaders ready to be installed as puppet regimes in various places of the globe, and to some top-brass of their military. Not to forget, of course, sex slavery being used as cheap and discreet way satisfying this human need for themselves, for the ruling hegemonic Western elite. By “slaves” in this context it is understood actual slaves – like in the 1700’s: persons who are unable to leave, are confined to some enclosure and are forced by means of physical violence to perform or suffer whatever is desired of them. While some may tend to dismiss this practice as a ‘conspiracy theory’, in my view it is rather an open secret that such a phenomenon exists and is prevalent in many places of Western controlled territory, including the USA, Saudi Arabia and specific “dark sites” in Europe and the UK. The existence of CIA dark sites – places where torture of “terrorists” was carried out – against the international and national law, against the laws of the states where such dark sites were placed (eg. France, Romania, etc.) has long been publicly revealed and is a matter of undeniable fact. For the naïve reader, he should consider that operating similar “dark sites” for consumption of sex slavery should prove not significantly more complicated than operating the aforementioned CIA torture zones. Furthermore, talks and some quite news about the “annual child hunt” on the royal estate of some European country like Belgium or Holland is also a matter of a rather open secret. Using the technique of critical judgment, I estimate the current total sex slave population



to be between 100,000 and 3,000,000. This refers to slaves ready for active consumption at the present time. They are probably sourced from conflict zones (just remember the recent kidnappings by Bokko Haram in Africa, or those by Dash terrorists (\* - both terrorist groups banned in Russia) – who are actually US fronts – in Syria and Iraq), from fake international adoptions, from foster care, from occasional blatant kidnappings (like it was common in Romania in the 1990s, and some say even in 2019, in relation to the US base in Deveselu) and from child sales (which a small fraction of the gypsy minority in Romania was known for). In the future it cannot be excluded that they will be grown in-vitro from embryos in covert labs. They are probably then raised to a proper age in special foster homes controlled by the Western elites (which may be quite luxurious), and then transported to their place of detention or consumption, from which they are occasionally taken out for parties, hunts, private consumption or other such. It is likely most such victims are killed before or shortly after they reach 20 years of age, although likely not all. Now this particular practice is most likely reserved just for the elites and their most trusted acolytes and future acolytes. The severe – and justified – unpopularity of this practice among the general population is one reason for keeping it elitist and discreet. However, its grotesque and clearly illegal nature – under any standards – is part of the Western hegemony's practice of ensuring both enticement and culpability. The participation of newly groomed acolytes to such.. practices, is most likely also used to blackmail them. Similarly to how Nazi criminals asked each member of the Einsatzgruppen to personally kill at least one civilian, so as to ensure some common culpability and thus, presumably, some criminal solidarity, so do the Western elites exploit this capability they have to both motivate, and control (mainly psychologically) their new acolytes. It is worth noting that, for most of the people recruited by them (>80%) in part via this practice – the need for their sexual partners to be slaves (or underage for that matter) is actually fully absent. The large majority of them – especially those from the science and engineering track –, would have found their sexual needs met fully from free, consenting girls and women without ever having the need to resort to sexual slavery, should the socio-economic model of their societies have allowed for normal, unperturbed relations between the sexes. However, in the Western block, such relations are deliberately perturbed to the fullest extent possible – thus making most persons of interest (from either science and technology, leadership, military, political or, to a lesser extent, traitorship tracks) be faced with a polarized choice: join the Western elites and benefit from sex slavery, or have no satisfactory sex life at all. This trait of many people priorly coopted by these Western bandits in such a way – that they in fact actually resent slavery and have only accepted to benefit from it due to pressing circumstances, should be leveraged to the fullest. Furthermore, the relative present difficulty facing Western elites in maintaining a large slave population – especially due to the need for discretion –, should also be leveraged to the fullest. And also, the fact this “service” is made available only to the “top echelons” – many low- and mid- ranking workers in the Western secret services (for example in the Romanian SRI or the US CIA) not benefiting from it, and many not (yet) knowing about it, should also be leveraged to the fullest. Finally, careful attention should be given to the following: It is very likely that similar practices – on a much smaller scale, are undertaken by a few friendly special services (potentially in the DPRK and Russia). The Western ploy in this context will most likely involve offering some explicit or tacit “quid-pro-quo” in keeping all such matters.. quiet. Acquiescing to this would be wrong strategy on behalf of friendly governments and military men. Instead, they should act as described in the respective strategic direction in the following section – basically focus on exposing the West to the fullest extent in this regard, without due regard to their own such being exposed, having strong personal backing from the leadership of their countries, and some parts of the population – and thus not answering the western “allegations” or “exposures” at all, domestically – without implying or suggesting that slavery was acceptable, but by further condemning the practice, and laying the culpability on the West. A proper strategic approach on this topic is crucial to the success of the war on Imperialism. The Western hegemony cannot be allowed to have this kind of capability and resource to



offer to would-be collaborators and traitors, to the detriment of the Free World. And the Free World would never be able to compete with these bandits in terms of width or depth of this covert practice, particularly given the former's predilection to justice, liberty, truth, and so on. Thus, it should not even try, but instead aim to limit it as much as possible – until either it is fully abolished, or until it is widely accepted among the population.

7. **Sex and work exploitation in the West. "Cheap labor"**. A related but fully distinct topic is that of economic exploitation, inherent to Imperialism. Unlike actual slaves, "cheap labor" refers to people compelled by economic circumstances to take jobs or occupations which they would not normally take, or for which they would demand a much higher payoff – had they had any alternatives closer to their actual potential. The core immorality of Imperialism is that it destroys the economic and social potential of people through use of military force and killings: not only are people unable to trade freely with one-another in Imperialism, but also they are not free to use their own bodies and strength to work for themselves (eg. constructing and operating their own homes, farms and livestock). This is done in a number of ways which are outside the scope of the present report, being mostly well-known. The mere fact a person is asked or offered to do an unpleasant or dangerous job, or to render sexual services, should not be viewed as inappropriate. In fact, the Western elites try to impose this view as if trading sex for benefits were inappropriate, so as to further monopolize the adequate gratification of this need to their own oligopoly – either via sex slavery as described in point 6 above, or via monopolization of sexual labor and "sex for benefits" market to their own groups. And this is what, largely speaking, they have accomplished in the Western-block (especially in EU except France and partly Germany). While many practices connected to the sex trade are outlawed partly or fully in most Western states, these laws and their application are such so as to allow for "safe havens" where prostitution and sex trade happens freely and safely - of course, within the control and "patronage" of the Western elites and their subordinate groups. A high-end example of one such is the Epstein venture: girls and women were recruited from all across the world (mostly in the US, and probably mostly from within socially and economically challenged groups – but not only) and essentially offered to prostitute for financial, social, professional and other benefits, of smaller or larger magnitude. This venture served not only himself, but more importantly various senior or elite people from politics (such as Bill Clinton, some say even Donald Trump, and ofc, others), finance (after all, a venture did need to pay its bills, right?), science (by his own admission, Epstein had a number of times invited scientists to his orgy island Little St. John – a US territory) and, without a trace of doubt – recruits of various backgrounds to be groomed into joining the Western elites, invited and served there at the latter's orders, executed by Epstein. Besides the Epstein venture which was exposed in full or in part as an attempt to further monopolize this sex market for the Western elites, there are many others. The simplest form are ecosystems of prostitutes serving certain high-end hotels (some of which have rooms with hidden cameras mounted), prevalent across the US, UK and EU. Other more elaborate ones, involve ventures such as those of Epstein, ocean-faring private yachts, remote parties reminiscent of the Roman Empire Island of Capri, private gatherings in medieval castles and so on. Not to be neglected is the "elite-sanctioned" sex-for-favors, or more often sex-not-get-dismissed schemes which are prevalent in certain places, like elite Universities (with senior University staff and certain fraternity leaders as beneficiaries), corporate senior management, some government positions (in Romania for example it is amazing to see how low a ranking positions are partly occupied via such schemes) and others. The key element is, of course, the requirement that those involved in such schemes (including the providers) act with the explicit or implicit, direct or indirect "blessing" of the Western elites. Then such matters are kept.. quiet.. and are considered "not an issue", "a private matter", or just "tradition". Of course, should an outsider try it (even in the capacity of a provider) – he or she would be grilled and shamed; both media-wise and legally, if possible. Furthermore, some more "elitist" western groups, such as the Regular Freemasonry





impose an explicit monopoly on the collaborator-girls they recruit to serve them: in that they, these cabalists decide whom they lay; and whom not. While sex slavery as per point 6 above is for "the very top brass", this sexual exploitation is more for the masses. Not all, of course, but that 5% the Western elites need to control the rest, and perhaps another 2-3% beyond it – that is still tens of millions of people. Of course, there exists a significant difference in how far a free person – even if economically challenged or eager for social mobility – is willing to go in offering sexual (or work for that matter) prestations, versus a sex slave who fears death or unbearable physical violence and had been grown into that role with no prospect of freedom. And also, more importantly – how cheaply – hence the wording "cheap labor". Prostitution and trading sex for favors has been an occupation as old as life itself. However, it is not generally understood to be cheap. Especially when it concerns smart or educated girls, or very attractive ones, quite capable of getting a "mundane career" all the same. They need to be offered something extra. And this extra comes, de facto, from cheap labor – of a non-sexual nature – men and women willing to do undesired or dangerous jobs (like construction, garbage, agriculture, etc.) for little to no profit. Furthermore, the more the Western elites promise their present and would-be acolytes in this regard – usually as simple as via money (no need for that much elaborate arrangements as for point 6. above) – the more they need to "keep up" the supply of "cheap labor", both sex-wise and work-wise. And, with most people being normal people – they actually want to offer some, or more advantages to those who gratify their needs; thus further putting an economic stress and increasing the demand for "cheap labor". Which results in the crisis described in point 1. above, among other things. Now this is a front where the Free World **can and must** compete. A large part of the reason why such things end up costing so much in the West – is that, in order for them to be "safe" – they need to happen under the "blessing" of the elite hegemonic oligopoly. So, people (women mostly, but not only) need to be convinced to refrain from something they would want to do (offer such things freely to whomever they like) and instead offer them on demand – to people they may or may not like, and worse, under the feeling of being controlled and "owned" by the aforementioned elite and their managers. And for this – they need to get some compensation – something so financially or otherwise stimulating, that they would freely consider this "a good deal" overall. The Free World on the other side has much better strategies in this regard (-- the one where girls are allowed or encouraged to consider that sex is totally unrelated to professional or social life, and should be done only when and if "they feel like it" – is not one of them). And not being tied to the wimps of a hegemonic group, the volume of available sex- (and work- for that matter) acts within the "civilian population" can be made to be several orders of magnitude larger than in the Western block, for the same or much lesser political stimulation via money (debt). Furthermore, the interactions occurring much more freely and authentically, it more often leads to even better things, such as family, children, or even love. This advantage should be leveraged to the fullest. This however, should be done wisely, not bluntly – having the capacity to offer sex and or materials things (like homes, cars, etc.) in exchange for something, is a key competence of any group or organization. Being libertarian, religious or despotic, makes no difference in this regard. Especially when "the competition" has such a capability.

8. **Large scale, activist popular discontent in the Western-block.** The general population - the working class, the small entrepreneurs, the low-to-mid ranking intellectuals and to a good extent a large portion of the low-to-mid ranking administration – are clearly and articulately against the Western elites, against their networks and against their system – both Imperialism and in many cases Capitalism as a whole. Before martial law was proclaimed across the EU, purportedly under the pretext of the 'pandemics', almost weekly (sometimes daily) protests in in Spain and France – millions of people strong, comprising a percentage of the population indicative of popular support for these movements in the high 70% or 80%, were a matter of routine. Even today, one year into state of emergency – people are defying the military rule, and gathering to protest across France and Spain, the UK, Greece



and to a smaller extent, even across countries one would have thought “forgotten”, like Romania. Unlike one-two years back, this time they voluntarily and consciously acting against the official law in their states, and are often times willing to clash with the riot police sent to contain them. Such a tightening of the grip on the side of the ruling hegemonic Western elites serves several purposes: (i) to stifle and contain the ability of the civilian population to organize and express itself, thus becoming able to coopt and challenge the ruling administration; (ii) to reconfigure economic flows, by bringing a larger number of the civilian population into poverty, thus increasing “cheap labor” available to the elites – and, more importantly, reducing the ability of dissident movements to finance themselves; (iii) to ascertain their grip on power and make change appear hopeless; (iv) to psychologically prepare the population for large-scale, protracted warfare operations and war-time economy (a memory over 100 years distant in the West); (v) to be able to target and neutralize dissident political leaders and potential threats – either by arrests, poisonings, “death by cop” or such techniques; (vi) to degrade the overall health of the civilian population, by causing sterilizations, grave congenital problems and an overall decrease in life-expectancy of such and (vii) to increase the comfort gap between those “part of the ruling administration” and those “acting against it” – so as to momentarily enhance the confidence in the former; also to reduce the perceived chances for success of revolutionary change, further favoring the stability of loyalty from dissidents within the administration. Special police powers laws enacted in France and elsewhere, genocidal ‘health regulations’ enacted in Romania (as a pilot) making forced vaccination, ban on provision of healthcare services, ban on schooling and gatherings, are a too common feature of life in the Western block. The people in the US should not remain complacent that their constitution and legal traditions would protect them – the Nazi practice of applying an eradication technique in one place (ie. Eastern Europe), only to be later rolled out across the entirety of the territory under control (ie. France, etc.) is a well-known historical fact. Besides the above measures targeting the existing population – which is and is becoming more and more cognizant of both the need and the means of effectual resistance, the Western bandits are also further striving to change its demographic composition by importing mindless brutes, people of low potential and capability – but of high demands – such as Dash terrorists being imported into the EU and Latin-American “migrants” being imported into the US. Such moves aim much less the vote tally (except in the US) – which is already falsified and confidently falsifiable and controlled for decades (in Romania for example – a well-known country for Western social experimentation – the Western-aligned secret service STS has officially declared having the technical capability to falsify elections – ofc, officially claiming they ‘wouldn’t use it’) – but more so serve as a basis for forming a loyal “civilian army” – to act as street thugs and then to militarily (unlawfully where necessary) attack the civil movement, their allies and would-be allies, and, when appropriate, the civilian population at large, on the model of Dash in Syria – but more targeted in the early stages on the proper territory of the Western block states. This state of affairs presents clear advantages for the Free World and such be leveraged to the fullest. Where the Western block has to finance and motivate the members of their networks acting behind enemy lines (on Our territories) – through organizations such as Soros’ ‘Open Society’, AmCham, USAID and others, We on the other hand have the benefit of tens of millions of friendly forces already motivated and active on the territories controlled by Our common enemies.

- 9. Chinese economic might.** The Chinese economy has grown dramatically over the past few decades and continues to grow. The domestic Chinese infrastructure is very developed. And they have successfully expanded abroad, creating artificial islands, opening trade routes and engaging in trade, both with friendly nations and their populace and sometimes even „right under the Western hegemons’ noses” in the US and EU. Furthermore, the Chinese internal situation is one of the most stable of all friendly states – and they are openly communist – and, with recent anticorruption purges and executions – even more factually so than 40 years ago. This fact has ample consequences and should



be leveraged to the fullest. Firstly – its military significance is that soon enough, the friendly forces (of the Free World – especially of China) will be able to match and then exceed the Western military on the conventional front as well – match every western capability not only „airship for airship“, but gain both technological and numeric superiority over it. This state of affairs is not current presently. But it is becoming current – and will be so in less than a few decades, given the respective continued growth of China and continued economic decline of the West (as per point 1. above for example). Already Chinese aircraft carrier groups – one of the most expensive military options for projecting conventional force, and for intelligence support – are beginning to hit the World’s oceans – something priory reserved, de facto, exclusively to the Western block. Additionally, China is in a position to be fully competent, fully independent alternative trade partner for anything the West could have to offer – especially to the 95% which are not involved in the enforcement of its hegemony on its own population or abroad. And over the past 2 decades, China has more than amply demonstrated its ability to produce quality – from Huawei electronics, to ships and aircraft. Also, in terms of construction – roads, bridges, railways.. and, importantly, houses. Soon enough, a large portion of the population under Western control will no longer have to look exclusively to the hegemonic elites as a trade partner – thus further deepening the economic crisis which it faces.

**10. Threats to internal stability of friendly nations.** The single most important threat – one which can easily be understood to be existential in nature presently – is that of subterfuge, betrayal, coupes, assassinations, color revolutions – and other such practices, specific to the looser of a debate. Unfriendly powerful groups exists in virtually all nations of the Free World: from Russia, to Iran to China to Venezuela (perhaps less so in the DPRK). They are the “inheritance” of the older systems, particularly in Russia of the 90’s mafiozi style neoliberalism. Also, dissent, unrealistic ambitions and disorders are constantly fueled on the territory of friendly nations by the Western elites – via official, civil and covert organizations, from Soros’ Open Society, to Rotary and Regular Freemasonry, to diplomatic bribing and – more dangerously – through recruitment and infiltration of sleeper groups from neighboring territories. All these constitute a determinant threat to Us, and these threats are the only ones factually able to derail our Victory in this war. It is important to note that such unfriendly groups, working under the best practices of the Mafia and of Secret Services – supported by the West both internally and externally (by having millions or billions in “readily available” accounts in Western banks) – have a sizeable, if not prominent presence, in most areas of power of society: government and legislative (for example in Iran – where the apparent treason of the current president Rouhani has recently been exposed; but also in the Russian Duma and the Federation Council), military, including secret services (will I believe most of the FSB to be loyal to our cause, it can be stated with near certainty that this does not apply to its entirety), private enterprise (oligarchs in Russia and some in Latin America), mass media, Mafia, political parties, academia and so on. This means they have their people infiltrated there, but given the current military-political context in these countries, their options for actions are very limited – but, like stay behind armies and sleeper groups – they are readying themselves to act when the time for a coup attempt is at hand. And a series of 2 big, systemic, World Wide coupes attempts in the Free World will mark the final Western assault within the current end-game. The failure of the second will mark the commencement of large-scale, open military operations worldwide. They will be attempted in the context of mixed warfare – with political and military actions intertwining to eliminate friendly generals and figure heads (like for example Soleimani in Iran, Motorola and Grihyni in Donbass and others) either through assassinations or politically (like it was attempted in Armenia). These groups must be singled out, contained, controlled, and finally neutralized – either via termination (physical termination being very appropriate) or via reconciliation. The Chinese model of the war on corruption should be taken as an example and rolled out throughout the Free World.





**11. Growing World People's Web.** Throughout the World there is a seeming expansion of Our influence, of the ideology of liberty, a growing degree of collaboration and coordination between Our friends – civilian and military, cross state boundaries. This includes victories smaller or larger scale – some of them very significant, culminating even with assertion of formal state power, by winning elections; cooption or appointment of friendly persons to key military and civilian posts and so on. While such a trend is obvious from France and Spain to the USA to Africa (and to a much smaller extent to Australia and New Zealand), nowhere is it more profoundly obvious, or more strategically important than on the American continent. There, thanks mainly to Bolivarianism and the great work of Our companieros from Venezuela, from Argentina, from Peru, from Bolivia, from Ecuador and of course from Cuba, We have been able to gain and regain formal control – democratically, through elections, and not through 'Western democracy' of bombs and coupes – across almost the entirety of South and Central America, particularly in Mexico (but excluding some strategic states like Colombia, Chile, Honduras – where the military junta which deposed our legitimately elected president still rules, and Brazil where the struggle is still ongoing). Furthermore, the solidity, unity and stability of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (despite already yearly armed coupe attempts there, including in 2019 and 2020) is a beacon of Truth in one of the more troubled areas of the globe. Finally, Our influence both within (organic) and international, has given rise to a significant resistance movement on the territories of USA and Canada themselves. We even succeeded in denying the establishment the post of POTUS from 2016 to 2020, having had Donald Trump elected instead. In the past, We also held the premiership of Canada. Furthermore, it must again be noted that in the USA there is a large proportion of lightly armed population – most of whom share our ideals of liberty and justice. And, as the storming of their legislative building in 2021 showed – with quite an activist side and capable of organizing itself. Furthermore, legislative and cultural barriers pertaining to their traditions of constitutionalism, make the US territories ones least hit by repression and denial of human rights (most obviously when compared against the EU and the UK). These formal and informal groups, individual free thinkers and friends, this World People's Web for Liberty – must be leveraged to the fullest. They are and will be Our (and Their Own) representatives and militants behind enemy lines. And, during the later stages of the end-game they will be instrumental in rooting up the enemy for cleanup operations (to prevent sleeper groups and stand-behind armies) and some of them will lead their own people and others formally. But, more important to the present, even before that – they are Our network behind enemy lines. For all that this means. And these groups must both be supported, and allowed the independence to act decisively on their own. Also, further cohesion and coordination should be favored – both in communication and materially.

**12. Phasing out of manual labor.** The need for humans to do almost anything other than think (research) and design (eg. on the drawing board or on a computer) is growing increasingly thin. Which is a good thing in fact – it means we, as humanity, have grown out of our infancy and have finally built up the infrastructure and tools we need to free our time almost fully. Sadly of course, that infrastructure and tooling is currently under the control of the Western elites and their enforcement group – thus, it is not the inventors who thought them, the engineers who designed them, the workers who built them, or the ones which operated them – these tools and pieces of infrastructure – which benefit from their richness; it is instead the hegemonic Western elite and their small number of acolytes. Well, not for much longer – but, until then, this crucial difference from 100 or maybe even 50 years ago is important to note. I estimate that in less than 20 years, there will be no need for any manual profession in the developed territories (not even that of driver). There will still be a need for an infinitesimal number of people working in oversight for various physical industries – like construction, transportation, agriculture, extraction, industrial production and so on – but not only the "doing" but



also the oversight will be 95-99.95% be delegated to machines – advances in AI and Machine Learning being just one example into how this will be made possible. This means that, in factuality, the Western hegemonic elite is currently (unlike 50 years ago) almost fully indifferent if people work or not – if they strike or not – or if they live or die: production itself would hardly be affected. This was not the case 5 decades ago. Then, the elites could not simply have killed all the workers or locked them all up in concentration camps – they still needed them to work, to build up the industrial goods urgently needed, as well as the factories and tools that were to replace them today. Thus, they needed to subdue or fool them, but they couldn't simply eliminate them. However, today that is possible. Having outlived their usefulness – the worker class – especially skilled and highly skilled workers (say up to a technician in informatics) are no longer an asset of any kind to the West, but a great liability: especially that they are better informed (some of them benefiting from the experience of their parents – the auto and industry baby boomers – for whom it is now clear they were fooled), more demanding, less prone to acquiesce politically, unsuited for sexual slavery (even perhaps risky to employ in this regard) and generally unsuited for sexual exploitation. This is part of the reason why, part of the Western elites' strategy is a general, global genocide of over 90-95% of the current human population of the Earth: to make room for some "homegrown", agriculturally cultivated (most likely equipped with "ear tags" and kill switches – or, more precisely "live another 3 months" switches), who are less fastidious, not informed, and who will do exactly what is desired of them. However, until getting there, the Western hegemony will try to exploit the lowest of the lowest of the working class – especially from agriculture (some now promoted to "biomedicine") – and some of lesser character from not-that-low fields, to form their present day Einsatzgruppen (like the Nazi formed these from the worse and the least smart of the people of the conquered territories), to serve as their executioners and kappo-s, and, where needed, as their voting machines. The friendly population of the globe needs to carefully understand where they still have power from ceasing and disrupting production, and where not. One clear example where they DO have, is in the military-industrial complex, and more importantly in the research and development wing of it. This means people working on next generation self-teleporting quantum missiles, not people working in bullet manufacturing factories (the latter's jobs can and will be automated). This also depends on territory – in Romania for example, production of anything of value has long ceased de facto. More to the point, the ruling military junta and its civilian spokespersons in formal government, get all the products and services they consume from abroad (imported) – most notably medical care. Thus, if the entirety of the Romanian population were to cease work (but not also do other useful things, like attack police stations and military bases and arm themselves, and so on), the ruling junta would not even notice. A clear consequence of this state of affairs is that the need to act swiftly (soon and decisively) is stringent on Our side also, in many places: otherwise, the entirety of our friendly population – of our meritorious brothers and sisters, of our civilian and military friends – will be simply massacred, sterilized and/or imprisoned (under criminal, medical and national security pretexts – mostly without being convicted). Also, our relevant civilian friends need to understand quickly that they are fighting for their lives – and that they cannot simply "ride out the war" and that there can be no neutrality – because the enemy permits for none. This should motivate them to take a more firm stance, and undertake riskier actions of resistance – something the populations of the Baltic States, of Poland, of Romania and others were hoping they did when they could have, while being executed on a massive scale by the Western Einsatzgruppen during World War II.

**13. Assessment of the current military situation worldwide.** For an easier understanding of the strategies proposed, it can be useful to briefly summarize the current state of affairs across the globe – especially in terms of military control over territory and population. This is not intended to be an exhaustive exposition, nor to be fully precise with regard to the nuances found within each territory.



- a. **The Free World:** Russia, China, Venezuela, Iran, the DPRK and Belarus. These form the core, the kernel of stable states – military powers and respectively superpowers –, where Our ideology of liberty, truth and opportunity is most deeply held and pursued at the level of national administration (ruling group controlling the territory, population and policy making thereof). Of these all, the most important are Russia and China – together. China is a strategic guarantor for the internal stability of Russia and its liberty-centric policy. Likewise, Russia is a technological military partner ensuring current strategic parity and future technological supremacy over the Western hegemons for both itself, China, and the rest of the Free World. When used colloquially, the term is meant to refer also to groups of people, organized or not, who are active exponents of Our ideology, even if outside the territories of the aforementioned states.
- b. **Countries and territories largely aligned with the Free World:** This includes countries where Our influence is deep, but where we do not fully own policymaking, where implementing policy is not effective or whose military and economic strength is not that significant (perhaps due to the prior factors) so as to allow it to project Our power abroad as significantly as the others. A list of such, by no means exhaustive includes: Cuba, Bolivia, Ecuador, Nicaragua (challenged), Mexico (challenged, but stabilizing), Argentina (challenged), Armenia (challenged, but stable), Syria, Iraq, Pakistan (challenged), Kyrgyzstan, Kazakhstan (challenged), Vietnam (challenged), Palestine (under Israeli control), Serbia (severely challenged).
- c. **Strongholds of Western imperialism:** USA, Canada, UK, most of the EU (especially Eastern Europe), Australia, Israel, Saudi Arabia, Japan. These are countries where the core of the military-industrial apparatus of western hegemons reside, from where military power is projected abroad, and who are sufficiently securely under the control of the aforementioned bandits, so as to allow them to serve these purposes for them.
- d. **Countries largely aligned with Western imperialism:** Colombia, Honduras (challenged by Us), Chile, Georgia, Azerbaijan, Jordan, Egypt, India (heterogeneous – in parts aligned with Us; challenged by Us), Finland (challenged by Us), Norway, and Cambodia.
- e. **Points of active contention:** Brazil (leaning with Us), Peru (leaning with Us), Turkey (leaning with Us), Oceania (Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand) (leaning against Us), Afghanistan, Bangladesh (leaning with Us), Myanmar (leaning with Us).
- f. **USSR remnants.** The situation is somewhat stranger in some former Soviet republics, where the currently ruling group is aiming to simply maintain its relevance and power (as opposed to being superseded by Moscow or Beijing), and who often times strategize by playing the West against the Free World, for their own personal gain. These include Uzbekistan and to a lesser extent Turkmenistan. Hungary, Philippines and Mongolia also fall in this category, although they are not former soviet republics. In such cases (including Kazakhstan), the core interest of the “ambivalent” leaders of these states are more closely aligned and served by the Western system – although they would prefer the current war-like status quo to continue indefinitely. In this situation, such leaders must be forced to “make up their minds”, and either join Us, become reconciled, or simply be removed.
- g. **Dog states.** Of particular interest are regimes in some lilliputian states who are actually the drumhead for western imperialism and their hegemonic bark. These are states like Romania, Estonia, Leetonia, Lithuania, Ukraine, to a lesser extent Poland, where the ruling junta (the one actually located on their territory) – is so depressive and disempowered, that is does not even have a proper self-interest. These territories are fully ruled by the Western hegemons – where they have deeper control and ability then in some of their very strongholds (like the USA). Indeed, most of these states were deeply purged by the Nazi





during World War II, eliminating most of the good-minded population. A further purged occurred here during the 90's, with most remaining smart or good-minded people emigrating mostly to Western Europe, the UK and the USA, for better paying and high skilled jobs (sadly not that in much eastwards, to Russia, due in part to language barriers and Western propaganda). While the regimes of these states can hardly be called regimes – since they are defacto ruled by the US embassy and Israel, their bark is intended to terrify others into submission, to announce the “unavoidable present and future hegemony of capitalism”, and so on. In some cases to serve as “independent actors” to try to commit genocide and else how serve as an example to terrify the population of other states into submission. The puppet regimes in these states, as well their collaborators inside will need to be made an example of, once military operations reach them (either on the territories of these states, or elsewhere in the Western block). They and their families, including all descendants, children, grandchildren and grand grandchildren, if any – must be tried and publicly executed for their crimes. And before executions, public confessions will be extracted from them to openly expose how the Western hegemonic system worked. This will serve as a leadership demonstration of Our resolve, and as an effective warning to a large portion of would-be traitors contemplating joining the Western imperialists. Note that Georgia and Azerbaijan are also exhibiting some dog state traits.

- h. **War zones:** Currently limited mainly to Syria, Iraq, Yemen, Ukraine, eastern Venezuela and Colombia. These are areas of active military operations – with conventional, cyber and modern warfare proceeding in full. Fortunately, we are largely winning in all of these – nevertheless, the attention, resolve, and military-political support from home should not be weakened: one slip or two and we could just as simply loose the front here, despite that we are currently winning. In the future, the front should be expanded deeper into enemy territory, namely into Israel, Jordan (unless it capitulates or becomes reconciled), Romania, Slovakia and Checkia thus breaking the cordon-sanitare and also opening the terrestrial road to Serbia and the rest of former Yugoslavia. On the Asian front, the Chinese eastern and southern borders need to be secured fully, despite resistance from India and Western terrorist groups in the region.
- i. **Africa:** Africa is a heterogeneous continent which is undeservedly sometimes called “the forgotten continent”. Control over it is mixed, and somewhat weak on either side. However, it cannot be allowed to function as a Western mill for production of sex slaves, cheap labor or as a “no man’s land” for conducting of unethical practices – such as cloning, consumption of services from sex slaves, development of biological weapons and other such. Fortunately, it is a point of active development from our Chinese friends, which have gained, and are gaining more and more influence here. They should focus on expanding this influence outside governing groups, and directly engage with the population.

For the avoidance of confusion, the following terms are understood as follows, within the context of this report:

- **Western elites:** the small group of a few ruling families, together with their immediate family and friends, who have controlled the Western block since the defeat of the first French revolution in 1815, and some of whom predate it. They include Rothschild, Murdoch, Bloomberg, Soros, Rockefeller, and the few other families (including Bill Gates). The growth of their power can be traced back to the Boer War, and they call themselves (or at least did so) “the Society of the Elect”. Using critical judgment this group of evil doers and their immediate family and friends is estimated to be 10,000 people strong, or less. Additionally, the second echelon of power, and their closest



acolytes – recruited from all walks of life, mostly from aristocracy and politics – is estimated by me to be about 100,000 strong. They call this “the Association of the Helpers”. Additionally, they employ both secretive and overt groups, such as the Regular Freemasonry (not to be confused with the unaffiliated practice of the craft), own networks like that of Soros’ ‘Open Society Foundation’. Formally, they meet in groups such as the Council on Foreign Relations, The Trilateral Commission and the Bilderberg group (which is a name we gave the gathering, based on some hotel-venue where they priory met, but is not something they are expected to call themselves). Collectively, such people decide affairs in the Western-block, appointing elected officials, judges, military and also making policy (eg. with regards to how to attack Iran), although formally not part of any government body. People inclined to attribute the above exposition to some ‘conspiracy theory’ should note that the information was largely informally confirmed to the author by members of two friendly state intelligence agencies in 2015 and 2020 – most notably on the belonging of Bill Gates to this group, the 3 aforementioned ruling bodies, on the absence of any “higher conspiracy” and on the prominent role the Rothschilds play as rulers within the elite. These were further verified in a number of contexts in 2006, 2013, 2014 and 2020, and via extensive modeling. I judge with high, near full confidence, that things are, save some very small variation, exactly as I stated them.

- **Western Block:** the strongholds of Western imperialism, and countries largely aligned with it, as per point 13. above.
- **The Free World:** the Free World and countries largely aligned with it, as per point 13. above.
- **Us:** an inexact and loose term used to describe the collective whole of a certain part of the people actively struggling against imperialism worldwide, characterized by heightened lucidity, strength of character and dedication to the cause of Liberty, Truth, Justice, Tolerance and Love – to such an extent that they can be considered military groups (even if acting alone or without physical weapons). It is largely understood to be people inspired by the 1789 French Revolution and its ideals, actively seeking to enact them – although the concrete vision of their enactment, as well as their refinement into the present day, can be a matter of some fluctuation of opinion. This term includes some people occupying official positions in the Free World as well as independent persons who might not even think of themselves as explicitly belonging to Us.
- **Our values.** Refers to the core values We see as important to characterize a good society or community. These are mainly, in this order, **Truth, Liberty, Justice, Tolerance and Love**. Their concrete incarnation is a subject of some variation of opinion and taste between Us. The opinion of the author himself in this regard is partially described by the series of articles on his personal blog “Reflectii despre Societate” [3] (in Romanian). Note that the post there are not normative with regards to Our values.
- **Our ways.** A generic term, of mainly intuitive nature, used to describe the concrete ways in which We see actions should proceed in a variety of contexts, both at war time and during peace, so that they are reflective of Our values and, collectively are representative of Our ways, supporting our general goals. Note that Realism and Reasonability are core parts of Our ways: We consider the core (but not only) difference between Good and evil are the goals pursued – less so the methods. Also note that what we see as Our ways practically differs to some significant extent from times of peace to wartime (as is the case now). There is significant variation of what each of Us understand by Our way – occasionally, even to the point of slight ideological conflict. But, on the essence, We inherently all agree. The opinion of the author himself in this regard is partially described by the series of articles on his personal blog “Reflectii despre Societate” [3] (in Romanian). Note that Our ways are not strictly communist. Especially not how it happened in the USSR after Stalin’s death. While **a fully centralized economy** does present some benefits – and this is why the West copied that model and rolled it out to their private corporations (eg. Ford), it has extensive drawbacks nowadays: **(i) not suitable for creative industries; (ii) not suitable for high end research**



**and development; (iii) centralizes power too much, making the center vulnerable to decapitation strikes** (like it happened with Gorbachev in 1985); **(iv) goes against the wishes of most people, especially goes against Multipolarism** – this is because, well, generally people don't like others to decide what they are to do, even if benevolently so; **(v) we cannot sufficiently effectively combat corruption and nepotism within a unipolar system** – whereas, with Multipolarism, people will just abandon corrupt poles: thus leading to **Pole Darwinism; (vi) without a genuine media – which is very hard in an unipolar setting, combating traitorship and corruption becomes very very difficult**: people do not have open channels on which to report things, and then get other people involved to make such matters viral, so as to catch the attention of Our honest top leaders and responsible officials properly and timely. On the other hand, some aspects of communism are very beneficial and fully part of Our ways: **(i) social liberty; (ii) an open sexuality; (iii) free trade; (iv) direct government**: nowadays, only in that it is direct from within sufficiently competent, lucid and skilled people, versed in Our ways: we cannot yet let any civilian or would-be traitor "join in" – the situation in 1960-1999 with Germany, Romania, Poland, the Baltics and so on, showed vividly what happens when civilians are let too much on their own, to allow the wrong people to get arms, to accede to political and military posts, and to finally take away their liberties (of the civilians); and **(v) a true dedication and respect for scientists and engineers, and for high achievement** – we respect and support "the average person", but celebrate and promote the brilliant. Personally, I am confident that if the members of the French Paris commune, Stalin or Mao themselves were alive today, that they would totally endorse such adjustments of the ideology to the present day, especially leveraging the lessons learned in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, as "truly the right way". Remember that communism, ultimately is just a word: and drastically differently perceived by different people throughout the world, from Russia, to Romania, to France, to Germany, to the USA and the UK. And, as highlighted, Our ways are not the same thing with communism – not under any common understanding of the latter.

- **Military**: Refers to people, either armed or unarmed, either part or not part of military or uniformed services, characterized by traits largely attributable to military men: lucidity, strength of character, courage, risk taking capacity (including risk of a vital nature), wisdom, swiftness of action and other such. It can refer to either side of the War, but it is more often used to denote Us.
- **Civilian**: A person who is not actively involved in the war, either by his or her own choice, or more often by a significant lacking in some of the qualities required of military men (as per the above). A civilian is generally understood to be someone favoring Our goals (although occasionally it can also be used to refer to security and wealth beneficiaries of lower rankings from within the Western block). Civilians are people who would generally rather "stay neutral", "stay safe", "not get involved", "live in peace", "stop the killings" and so on. This typically makes them a resource for whomever controls their environment (more often the Western hegemony). Civilians are generally regarded as someone We are to protect, develop and somewhat educate. Civilians can be dangerous to Us though, especially by way of their lack of lucidity and wisdom, and oftentimes weakness of character. These are speculated by the enemy to have them act against each other, against Us, against Our policies, and in favor of the Western policies (for example with regards to their monopoly over the sex market). A small number of civilians do take refuge into jobs as officers in some Western block army, and carry firearms. The term does not relate to one's professional occupation. Civilians can also be very beneficial to Us and the war effort: either by becoming military (via lucidization) or by supporting Our military behind enemy lines on a civic, material, political and personal level. And also in mothering and fathering the future generations of kind, moral and normally-minded people like Us. There exist documented instances where civilians provided significant material and moral support to Our military, leveraging their beauty.
- **World People's Web**. A loose term used to describe people, both Military and Civilian, who are





genuine supporters of Our values, and practitioners of Our ways, where ever they may be throughout the world (especially behind enemy lines). The World People's Web is a very sparse and loose network, with most people not even openly being aware of Us, or being sufficiently lucid. See point 11. above for further details.

- **Administrative Apparatus:** The totality of people involved actively in ruling a territory, from policymakers (like the Bilderberg group for example for the Western block), to policy enactors and enforces (like Russian President Vladimir Putin on Our side): thus including military (both state and private), relevant parts of the government and private sector, elected officials, select academia and so on. Sometimes the terms "ruling group", "regime", "administration", "state organs", "state", "controlling stakeholders", "rulers" or "elites" are used to the same meaning. These are not intended to have any connotation, neither positive nor negative. Nor imply any reference to how such a group got to power or how it maintains it, nor to the legitimacy of its ideological preferences, if any.
- **Sex slave / slave:** A person who is physically constrained (usually held in a closed enclosure), without the possibility to leave, and who is coerced or directly forced by the use of physical violence to perform or suffer certain actions, regardless of his or her will, and without the possibility to refuse. Generally used to refer to sex slaves, its meaning is intended to include victims of organ harvesting, forced slave laborers (like in some sea fishing scams or mining operations) and generally anyone who is physically prevented to leave their captors by way of force and against whom physical violence is used to ensure compliance. The term applies also to persons who were held under such strict regimes priory, even if they are granted free range currently.
- **"Cheap labor" and illegitimate sexual exploitation:** This term refers collectively to persons whose usual habitat has been destroyed by Imperialism and who thus have to resort to serving the Western elites – either directly or at their instruction – at severely discounted prices (economic returns to themselves), for fear of drastically worse economic outcome, or a generally discomforting life (for example in a war zone, or in an area of severe poverty). This usually refers to voluntary prostitution, trading of sex for benefits and low-paid work in some undesired or risky occupations (eg. construction), all at severely depressed prices. The practice of simply exploiting ones' state of want or need (or general misfortune so as to their circumstances, like poverty, orphan status, living in a war zone) to gain a favorable deal, including involving sexual services does not in itself qualify as "cheap labor" and is not immoral (and should not be illegal either). In order to qualify, the particular state of need causing the renderer to engage in such an arrangement (or engage in it at such discounted prices), must have been caused by the beneficiary, and must have been caused in an illegitimate way: for example by way of military conquest, execution of ones relatives, laws prohibiting free trade or providing for one self, and the like. Taken more broadly, it is also understood to be people whose economic livelihood has not been fully curtailed, but whose ability to approach one's individual potential has been – and thus, for them, the sole option for achieving anything more than mere subsistence is to serve the Western hegemons. In this border sense, the range of professions can be more diverse, including same skilled labor and technicians.
- **Universal Law.** This is "the law of common sense". It consists of elementary principles which virtually all of humanity intuitively and strongly understands to be part of the very core of critical social norms. Universal Law refers to the widely accepted of these and in the most limited sense. For example, acts such as genocide, enslavement and piracy are fundamentally and obviously illegal under Universal Law. No law of any kind, not constitutional law, nor national low, nor international treaties can decriminalize such deeds (including in modern incarnations). Nor can any law criminalize self-defense against hegemony, enslavement or self guarding one's fundamental liberties, enabling self-fulfillment and self-determination. **The core principle of fundamental law is the doctrine of non-interference.** It is thus an inadmissible defense on behalf of the Western



hegemonic rulers, and of any of their acolytes, collaborators or agents, that some criminal and genocidal acts they committed were allegedly legal or even mandatory to them, under existing laws at the time, on their territories or under “customary international law”. Universal Law has already been applied in some limited sense in practice, under the so-called “piracy charter”. Israel and not only used the piracy charter to pursue, extract, condemn to death and execute nazi war criminals, including in the 21<sup>st</sup> century, despite formal legal provisions in this regard (ie. trying non-nationals for acts committed against non-nationals in foreign lands).

- **Victory.** A state of affairs, characterized by the complete and irreversible defeat of the enemy, involving the full destruction of its ability to wage war in its entirety (both present and future, thus including sleeper cells for example), resulting in absolute and unchallenged control by the victor over the whole territory of the latter, as well as perfect security against the defeated side. Its converse is sometimes referred to as *debelatio*. Victory is generally accompanied by the execution of the enemy elites, their biological descendants, their acolytes and collaborators (either summarily or after a trial – as in the case of the Nuremberg trials), the relegation of the largest portion of the enemy’s military personal (either part of the army or not) to forced labor camps, the changing of the cultural and systemic organization of the government system to align it to the ideology of the Victor, the institution of transient measures and the installation of the Victor’s flag on the defeated side’s former military and administrative buildings.

## II. MULTILATERAL STRATEGIES AND PRIORITIES

---

The following multilateral strategic actions are recommended to be taken collectively by the Free World and by Our friends worldwide.

**General Appraisal:** The current global situation is tilting in Our favor. As of 2021, the core of the strategic efforts focus on surviving the present and winning the future. While clearly future victory cannot happen if present survival is not ensured, the opposite is also true: surviving the present on a purely defensive and complacent strategy, which does not envision timely Victory is not possible. The initiative is with Us, and We must make the most of it: seize the moment. This will ensure both the consolidation of what We have gained so far, since 1999 (and more so since 1789) and Our timely Victory. Timely in this context is understood to mean 2-3 decades (so up until circa 2050) – although the war situation could be clarified much sooner – realistically, it could be as early as 2032-2037. Earlier is desired over later, but “fast” should not be a strategic priority in itself. Certain Victory before 2050 is much preferable to possible victory before 2035.

### 1. Attack via Reconciliation.

#### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- Leverages the fact 60-80% of the people forming the Western hegemonic apparatus – including some military – are actually against it.
- Aims to break the perceived “irreversible” intertwining of fates between the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and a small amount of the 2<sup>nd</sup> echelons of Western power holders and the survival (and victory – since they are the same thing) of the Western hegemonic elites. Denies the enemy cohesion and lessens our penetration.
- Seeks to avoid significant destruction of life and infrastructure by weapons of mass destruction



(especially biological) during the end-stages of the active (“hot”) operations of the war.

- Changes the options available to small- and medium-scale traitors and interests groups within Our area of control, who previously would feel that “now that they had gone that far”, then “their only chance of survival / anything meaningful to them in life” was a Western block victory. This should thus soften and weaken resistance “at home”.
- To a smaller extent brings social cohesion and a more imminent sense of peace and Victory – resulting in further added production and warfighting energy.

The core of the strategy:

- **Offer Reconciliation to anyone wishing to join it, except terrorists, war criminals and genocide perpetrators.**
- **Develop and present concrete details for integration / re-integration of such groups.** The offer of Reconciliation must be real and tangible – not a generic diplomatic proposal.
- **Target Reconciliation to address particularly scientists, engineers, military and technical staff involved or who is at risk of becoming involved at the lower echelons of power (3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and to smaller extent 2<sup>nd</sup>) in the Western military-industrial complex.** Concrete general and individual tracks for equivalence of education, scientific, work and military contributions *to the enemy* must be developed. These must not be lavish or based on unrealistic expectations of “what they would have gotten” with the Western block, on the part of the Reconciled parties.
- **Connect Reconciliation with tangible actions (and inactions) of the parties to be Reconciled – both before and after joining the Reconciliation initiative.** This must not be perceived as a “get out of jail free” ticket to enemy personnel (then it would have the complete opposite effect), but a strategic option, involving actions on their side as well. Furthermore, people should be encouraged to take Reconciliatory actions on their own behalf before formally applying for and joining the Reconciliation process. Assurances must be given that such actions, when truthful and effective, will be taken into account.
- **Make it clear that Reconciliation targets groups both on territories under enemy control, as well as domestic ones.**
- **Leverage the experience of the Latakia Reconciliation Center initiative** – the lessons learned, the details of integrating reconciled parties, their interests, etc. Also leverage this as a marketing asset.
- **Adopt Reconciliation at the official level – enacting appropriate legislation to this effect.** Present reconciliation as “something difficult” for both Us and Our people, as well as the reconciled parties. Announce that success of Reconciliation will be supported at the official level – thus making the prospect real for would-be parties.
- **Make Reconciliation multilateral but national – have such initiatives in all countries of the Free World (except for Belarus).** Coordinate assessment and integration of such, and direct interested parties to appropriate venues. Respect and support each-other’s Reconciliation programs – thus not pursuing former enemies Reconciled to one such party -, but do exchange information and have joint assessments.
- **Make clear that Reconciliation is not going to be an ever-lasting offer always on the table, and that interested parties cannot gain more at the Reconciliation table by doing more harm first** – and that, to the contrary, they may become non-Reconcilable. Also, make it clear that Reconciliation is a strategy for the interest of both sides – and that it will of course not be available any more to parties which are defeated.

Comments:

- Success stories – like the Latakia Reconciliation Center – should be developed and leveraged as





marketing assets.

- It must be clear that Reconciliation is “a way out” – a sustainable way out – only for the parties willing and able to reconcile. That it is not a general “exit strategy” “in case things go wrong”, and that those who do more harm after its announcement risk becoming non-Reconcilable.
- Reconciliation should target mainly scientists and engineers, who could otherwise develop next-generation weapons, or significantly serve in this process.
- Reconciliation should not be an economically expensive undertaking, but to the contrary. In the later stages it must be expected to produce economic and military value.
- Reconciliation should be carefully distinguished from immigration. Parties of very low seniority **and** of low scientific, military, engineering or political **potential**, should not be paid to reconcile. They should however have immigration and regular paths available for them.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Must be strongly counterbalanced by a real and imminent threat of annihilation.** Otherwise Reconciliation would only embolden the enemy’s lower ranks who would just think that “in case something went wrong, they would just reconcile”. Thus it is crucial to adequately combine this strategy with Strategy 3.
- **The expectations – and the more or less desperate situation, especially economic, in which they find themselves – must be clear to the Reconcilable parties.** They must be “cured” of the hyperbolic hopes resulting from Western bloc overspending way beyond its solvability. Therefore, it is extremely beneficial and somewhat required to combine this strategy with Strategy 5.
- **Concrete and current (present, imminent) obligations must be placed on the Reconciled parties, parties applying for Reconciliation, and parties eligible for Reconciliation.** These obligations must be realistic in the context of each party and its stage in the Reconciliation process. Also, they must be dynamic – adjusted according to the evolving military situation. In particular, military personal who were actively involved in fighting against Us will be expected to actively fight for Us, in general. Reconciliation must be clear to be “the best way out”, but definitely not “an easy way out” for the enemy. This last point is crucial. Otherwise an improper attitude will develop both within the enemy and with Our own people at home.
- **The economic cost of Reconciliation should largely be paid for by the beneficial actions / inactions of the Reconciled parties.** Additional longer term benefits should be promised to them contingent on Victory. This particularly involves tying their individual outcome to economic booms associated with fall of Western bloc states: No Victory – no big pay-check. Also, this should be a balanced approach. Parties’ short term interests (eg. survival, peace, tranquility) should not be neglected, and they should not be discouraged from Reconciliation by seemingly unrealistic obligations or illusory expectations.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Be extremely wary of infiltrators, spies and agents of influence joining as part of the Reconciliation initiative.** Reconciled parties should be treated with respect and inclusively, but not immediately trusted – nor immediately allowed to mingle with the general population. In general, relocation to the Free World should be available only to a small percentage of the Reconciled parties. Also, while their children will be allowed and encouraged to study together with Ours, the abilities of such groups of former enemies to either corrupt Our population, or form their own internal network / semi-isolated communities, must generally be curtailed. They need to be carefully watched, especially at first. However, the general approach will be that of gradual earning of trust – in principle, people accepted for Reconciliation should be those genuinely interested in it – so they should be supported in earning Our trust in every way. But this trust should not be given for granted, nor be excessive compared to the risks. Nor, however, should we be excessively



cautious in this regard. This is why trusted, intelligent and experienced Leaders from Our side should oversee this initiative.

- **Be wary about massive inflows of people of low value and/or unsuitable character sent by the enemy itself** to join our Reconciliation initiative, for the purpose of overloading our administration, trying to have Us import enemy networks altogether and generally try to have troublemakers pass through – including as a means to further threaten the truly Reconciled parties. Most likely, hoards of destitutes from Soros’ ‘open society’ criminal network will be the first to line up for Reconciliation. While this should both be exploited by Us from a marketing perspective, all such enemy infiltrators should be refused. Those who make it through – must be excluded later, mainly on intelligence from other Reconciled parties. This is again why trusted, intelligent and experienced Leaders from Our side should oversee this initiative.
- **Be wary about massive inflows of economic migrants and common criminals.** Besides enemy infiltrators, millions of people of low education, low military, industrial, scientific or otherwise such potential will try to use the initiative as a form of economic mobility. They should be treated respectfully, but politely directed to the immigration path.
- **Be wary about attempts to derail the Initiative by the enemy:** both by attacks on Reconciled parties (by Our population or the enemy) or from such – mainly false flags, but not only.
- **Be tactful yet clear to one Own’s population about the reasons, breadth and goals of Reconciliation.** They must not see Reconciliation as a “gift to the wasteful brother”.
- **Be wary of being overly inclusive with Reconciliation – of simply importing entire enemy networks,** which are furthermore reconciled, but do not have their goals or capabilities significantly altered. Be picky and selective and generally avoid mass-Reconciliation. When such actions are deemed appropriate, make sure to dismantle the networks first and spread their members sparsely throughout designated avenues.
- **Make sure Reconciliation is seen as part of the ongoing War – as means to Victory. Not as a détente or as a coexistence initiative.** This latter would result in largely the opposite effects to those desired –, in particular, to high economic cost of the initiative, thus by far creating more problems than it solves, particularly in terms of traitors and internal stability of the Free World.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- Trusted, competent Leaders from Our side are ready to oversee the initiative’s implementation. Public declaration of the strategy can be made before all details are clarified (and this must be announced also).
- Strategies 3 and 5 have started to be implemented.
- Note that excessive delays are undesirable – particularly since the window allowing Our official media to reach within the Western block is narrowing.

## **2. Impose a scientific blockade on the Western-block. Dismantle Western influence over scientific community. Replace ‘international scientific standards’ with Own alternative standards – national, CSTO-wide, SCO-wide, EAEU-wide and the like.**

#### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- The Western block current ascertains control of mainstream international science via politicized international scientific and standards organizations controlled by them, control and financial leverage over most Universities, techno parks and areas of innovation with territory under its control – as well as some others, and via a favorable mass media. Although they are already



beginning to falter in this regard, the Western hegemony is currently still able to draw a significant amount of elite science and engineering talent. And, ironically enough, the large proportion of such minds comes from conquered territories, particularly from the Former Soviet Union.

- The core goal of this strategy is to deny the enemy the ability to make break-throughs in science, particularly to inhibit its ability to create and field next generation weapon systems. Things are already progressing in this direction on their own – but the enemy is sure to react shortly, and has already begun to do so. We must particularly seize the moment in this regard, since it is strategic. Also, most scientists and engineers – and people of such talent – are naturally aligned with and passionate about Our ways and values – Truth, Liberty, Justice and so on, and are currently working for the Western hegemony out of lack of lucidity or lack of real alternatives. Furthermore, we currently hold the technological military advantage.
- Another goal is to break Western control and influence over scientists and engineers worldwide, including over those on Our territories. In particular, the aim is to break infiltration and influence networks which reach Our territories and which affect Our policy making and its implementation, via ingenuity with Academia and scientific staff – mainly in terms of bribes or, worse, with implied promises for cooperation which actually cost the enemy nothing – particularly those in positions which have political ramifications.
- Furthermore, a third goal is to deny the Western hegemony the possibility to further exploit the 'International Scientific Discourse' for their own purposes – like for example with the WHO proclaimed 'medical emergency due to covid'. On all fields of science, including sociology, psychology and economics.

The core of the strategy:

- **Declare a scientific blockade on the Western scientific, technical and military institutions – both state and private.** Name specific Universities (eg. Harvard, MIT), Institutions (eg. NSA, DARPA) and organizations (eg. Google, Facebook) as enemy controlled criminal organizations. Note that not all people there are enemies, and that merely studying Bachelors or Masters there is not in itself criminal. Clarify that these examples are just illustrative, and that these could easily set-up front organizations – and this is why the entirety of the Western-controlled territory is subject to the blockade.
- **Call for legislation to equate unauthorized transfers of know-how, technology and scientific-technical cooperation with all Western bloc-based entities, except those exempt, with treason.** But do not enact it immediately, before it is properly understood and adequate support for it within Our scientific community is developed (circa 2-3 years from start). This should be binding for all Own nationals and residents, but not extend extra-territorially. This will have several important desired effects: (i) it will reduce or reverse the level of brain drain, since now science-minded people will have an "all or nothing" option – to either join the West or not, and no longer have the possibility to "dance on both sides of the neutral zone", and just earn big money in the West and then retire at home – or, conversely to study and work at home until they make a big discovery – and then publically make it available to the West and get paid off from them; (ii) it will raise the cost of cooption of Our scientists and engineers by the Western bloc: since now they will have to live in the West forever in case they choose this track, they will have to demand financial compensation commensurate for that; (iii) it will make it much harder or impossible for the West-aligned traitors in our Universities, Academies, Research Centers and other places to facilitate knowledge and brain drain – since these would now be against the law; (iv) make it much harder or impossible for the Western hegemony to pay or compensate their allies in Our territories – since official cooperation would now be against the law; (v) expose a majority of such traitors – whose economic "life-line" to the West would thus be cut and who would thus need to become.. vocal. Note that by the very nature of its inner workings – namely its reliance on import of science and





technology people -, the West is unlikely to be able to take a similar counter measure of a likewise large scale.

- **The definition of “transfer of know-how, technology and scientific-technical cooperation” should be explicit and narrowed to refer to actual scientific discoveries of non-trivial significance, processes which may lead to these, or such knowledge, data or activities which cannot be clearly ruled out to contain such elements.** This limitation of statute should be actual and not a loop-hole. This will allow the measure not to be perceived as “forceful” or limitative by most of Our people – in particular, people wishing to “work abroad” in some low-tech field could still do so. Adequate briefing and debriefing procedures should be put in place to prevent situations like “going to work at Google as a front end engineer - and then switching to their AI team which works on autonomous cars and military robots”. The best outcome for Us – is for the West to overspend on non-discoveries and discoveries of inconsequential nature, while being denied the skill and volume of personnel to be involved in actual useful research.
- **Make it an incompatibility to work both on state funded project, or with state funded institutions – and with any nonexempt Western bloc ones.** This can be a prequel to the declaration of the full scientific blockade – and a mean to calibrate its effects and identify resistance hotspots. Also, this should be announce officially and broadly – not merely tacitly enacted.
- **While enacting the above measures – do not prohibit the practice of participating in international conferences, including Western backed, nor of publishing in Western scientific journals.** And make it public that such is not prohibited. However, closely monitor and carefully – but tactfully – collect and use intelligence based on such participations. Also, gradually but firmly increase the volume and attractiveness of such conferences backed by Us – while avoiding economic waste.
- Further counterbalance the above limitations with attractive domestic opportunities, while avoiding economic waste.
- **Legally declare Own scientific standards and standards setting organizations. Forbid systematic adoption of Western standards or overreliance on Western results or research.** This is a very important point – which should legally and forcefully terminate a large avenue of import of Western influence domestically. This should also be done tactfully – particularly to avoid waste spending in “rediscover the wheel” studies. Also, tact and firmness should be used to avoid mere “copy paste” of Western standards into Own ones – where this is inappropriate, and, on the other hand, allow it where it is appropriate. Special attention and extreme firmness should be given to scientific fields which have policy making and political ramifications – particularly economics, finance and banking, medicine, biology, psychiatry, law, psychology, criminology and agriculture. Some other fields which have economic implications – like construction and industry should also be considered. Finally, it should be avoided to make it seem like Our scientific standards, organizations and community “were disconnected” from the World: to this end, regular public comparisons of Our standards to Friendly ones and to Western ones should happen. Also, having our Own standards and more importantly standards setting- and scientific- organizations even more detrimental to Us than Western ones must be avoided. Therefore careful selection and oversight of people involved with such must be practiced, according to the best military standards on counterintelligence. Nevertheless, full tact is needed – these will be organizations populated mainly by scientists – not soldiers. And Our scientists are, and need to feel that they are trusted, valued and close Allies to Us – not a group to be controlled or guarded against.
- **Degrade and dismantle the practice where “scientific experts” make policy or “serve the truths” on which such policy must be based.** Remind that policymaking is a social task in democracies, and that it is the purview of leaders to do it properly. And that it is the scientists’ obligation to convince both such leaders – and the general population – of the reality of their



results. And furthermore, that it is the purview and responsibility of the latter to decide whether to act or not on the experts' recommendations. Furthermore caution against both over-reliance on expert advice and ignorance of scientific facts. Note that Our states are built on science and that they will continue to be so. Note that scientists are also people – and some are politically involved and not always tell the truth. Furthermore note, that *some* scientists are more concerned about the paycheck they would get from the West than the accuracy and value of their research and the advice they offer to policymakers. Finally, call upon more scientists to join the state organizations in more senior positions – and become policy makers themselves.

- **Call upon the peoples of the world to join this blockade and boycott the Western hegemony in all ways they can.** This is the crucial point of this strategy. While the former serve to signal Our resolve and seriousness in this case – it is this point which is expected to bring most benefit in terms of denying the enemy the possibility to field next-generation weapons. Elaborate specific details for various contexts in which science and engineering people could find themselves. Stress the importance to avoid making any real discoveries, or to work on implementing such discoveries. In principle, they should only “rediscover” things which (i) are already well-known even if vaguely published; (ii) are insignificant improvements on the former (ie. for computer science for example a time speedup which does not exceed a log log factor). They should definitely steer clear of novel approaches or methods – even if not of immediate practical significance. Ironically, some of the works of the author of this report himself – eg. “Towards Solving NP-complete and other hard problems in polynomial time in practice” [4] and “Applications of SKREM-like symmetric key ciphers” [5] – are perfect examples of things which are to be totally forbidden under the envisioned blockade. Such things should then only be published with Our secret journals and conferences and the like. Furthermore, scientists and engineers should steer clear of “filling out crucial details” for a main scientific result which is provided to them by their coordinator or supervisor: this is a high-end field where lack of manpower will cause significant delays for the enemy and even complete failures. Additionally, engineers should steer way clear of implementing novel discoveries practically (eg. writing source code in terms of Computer Science) – this latter step is again something very high-end, and one where only a small portion of engineers are able to perform adequately. They should, in all such cases, depending on the situation either: (i) refuse the project; (ii) evade accepting the work and working on something else instead; (iii) pretend they “simply have no new ideas”, “lack inspiration”, “do not understand the article they are asked to implement”, “the task is very sophisticated and difficult”, that “they lack crucial details”; (iv) stall for time; (v) do menial connected work, while avoiding to do anything related to the core of the task; (vi) stall again for time; (vii) ask for more money before the project advances; (viii) call the project a failure and/or ask to change teams; and (ix) where finally all of the above have been exhausted and leaving is not a realistic option – simply sabotage the implementation by introducing subtle bugs and making the result as non-generic and non-reusable as possible. Also, such friends of Ours should also promote our Blockade to fellow colleagues, including details of how to do it. Additionally, they should steer totally clear of certain domains, like Space and Artificial Intelligence and of any organization which is itself a Western-backed military one (like DARPA), is a contractor for one such, or is generally known or suspected to be affiliated with one.
- **Provide the scientists and engineers who join the blockade with alternative venues to manifest themselves.** The most difficult part – and a huge sacrifice – for a scientist is to not communicate his work – not to publish it. This is a need which relates very deeply to our nature as scientists. It is not merely a matter of recognition or achievement – but something inherently dear to us. So they must be explained that they are indeed asked to make a sacrifice – but also provided the alternative venues for expressing themselves: mainly in the form of secret, English-language publications and conferences, where they can send their work, have it committed to History, and



examined by Us.

- **Hint at, and provide the scientists and engineers who join the blockade with resultant opportunities** on the Reconciliation, Immigration and Future Leaders (ie. on the territories where they are presently – after they will have been freed) tracks.
- **Leverage the World People's Web** to disseminate, promote, coordinate and evaluate the specifics of this Scientific Blockade, behind enemy lines.
- **Leverage Our official media, as well as informal media** and supportive media to disseminate the specific of this Scientific Blockade on Western-controlled territories.

#### Comments:

- The situation with the scientists-traitors from the early 90s is well known. While some were essentially forced or fooled to take this course by the situation in Russia at the time, their influence and ability to corrupt or seduce others must be curtailed. Those of them suitable and interested in Reconciliation, should be involved in that track.
- The fact that "international scientific standards setting" organizations and processes are a front and means of expanding Western influence is generally well known to the intelligence community. But not that much so among the general scientific and civilian population – a point which should be rectified. It must be made clear that all those Western-backed and sponsored conferences and scientific exchanges, in nice tropical places often (maybe even Epstein's island, no?), as well as international and 'independent' funding for some studies or standards, originating from Western hegemonic elite themselves, or their fronts – are just a mean to psychologically condition, seduce and corrupt – sometimes very very cheaply – Our scientists, and scientists in general to serving their agenda. This must be made clear – and the myth that scientists, engineers, doctors, and so on are somewhat "divine angles" with no personal or political agenda and incapable of lying must be busted.
- Scientists and Engineers' general acute desire for liberty, autonomy and independence should be leveraged – as this is something We can inherently offer, as part of Our cultural organization. in the Russian Federation for example, its vast un-urbanized territory should be hinted as a strategy opportunity – where, *after the war* or during its later stages, independent modern communities and small cities could be formed – and that they would be essentially made by individual scientists, not 'venture capitalists' or a few politically connected ones exploiting the rest. This is something the West cannot offer – it would pose an existential risk to them. The details of this hinting must be such so as to avoid the problems with the prior "Far East farming" initiative. Also, any concrete realization of such an initiative must fall under the purview of the strategies on Reconciliation and on Migration. In particular – it must be avoided to import Western networks, to have the initiative derailed by corrupt and treacherous implementers, to have fruitless economic waste, and the like. Fundamental differences from Western 'look-alike initiatives', including private ones, must be made clear.
- One core objective of the Blockade is to make their weapons systems obsolete in 2-3 decades. Note that even if this is timed to happen shortly after the War, the perceived unavailability of this outcome will be what garners the crucial support required for Our efforts before then, what makes several "tipping points" to happen in Our favor, and what, ultimately, to a large extent wins the war for Us, just as much as our current technological superiority and military operations on the field do. Once this trend is perceived to be happening – the position of the Western hegemons even in their own strongholds will become untenable. For them, all will be lost – all is lost. But for many from the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and some from the 2<sup>nd</sup> echelons of power – nothing is lost.. yet. And via the Reconciliation track and usual War negotiations, these people will lower the cost of Victory for Us, and, if possible, prevent a massively destructive nuclear war altogether: without compromising the absolute nature of Our Victory.





- It is generally well known that in some fields – particularly physics and biology -, the Western elites are keeping some scientific results secret. Perhaps 5-20 years ahead of mainstream science. They will undoubtedly try to use some of these results – making them public sooner than planned – in order to instill the false idea that Our Blockade were failing: particularly in scientists and engineers behind enemy lines (to make them feel that it were useless to join it). Friendly media and non-standard channels should be leveraged to preempt this, and properly “keep up” the Blockade.

#### Crucial Details:

- **It is crucial to dismantle the ‘Western illusion’ which is promised (often just implicitly) to new-joiners.** In this regard, the part of Strategy 5 which focuses on the economic default of the West plays a crucial role. Mention the ‘entrepreneurship lie’ – and detail how scientists and engineers are fooled into founding ‘paper tiger’ companies, where they spend much of their lives working for little-to-no personal gain, while their ‘investors’, ‘academic partners’ and other such benefit from their work and sell their holdings in such startups several times over, before the founders ever make it to being mere millionaires – if they ever make it there.
- **It is very important to properly address the issue of sexual benefits awarded to scientists under the Western ways.** Mere expositions of the fact the West uses sexual slavery and sexual exploitation/“cheap labor”, without a proper context could easily backfire and make the West more, instead of less attractive for scientists. On this topic the following true items must be highlighted and repeated on and again (not entirely through official channels):
  - Virtually all scientists and engineers will NEVER benefit from sexual slavery. They will just be tempted and illusioned with it, but will NEVER themselves benefit from it. That this is something reserved for the elite, the closest acolytes and very few politically vetted scientist – like *some* Nobel Prize winners. This will have the beneficial side-effect that Blockade non-joiners will more often and more frequently ask for “their benefits” in advance.
  - That pseudoscientists, people who are merely competent to understand scientific results and serve as coordinators and supervisors – that it is *from* those people that the Western hegemony coopt – and whom they serve such ‘treats’. That it is those heads of corrupt scientific ‘comities’, government ministries, managers, executives **and the Mafia** – the very people scientists generally and viciously despise and hate – that it is those people who benefit from sexual slavery, and NOT THEM. The scientists’ repulsion to having been deceived, exploited and harassed by the very people who are offering them some advantages then should not be underestimated. It will have a very favorable snowball effect.
  - The widespread knowledge that the West employs sexual slavery will be repugnant to a large proportion of the female scientists. This will have positive effects for Us in several regards: some at home will become more cognizant of the need and opportunity to provide in this regard; this segment will serve to further promote the Blockade.
  - Note that sexual slavery, like any form of slavery is illegal and unacceptable everywhere. And that the West’s ability to leverage it is declining. And it is them – not the elites – which will be the ones suffering first from this shortage.
  - That, on Our side, there is no need to resort to sexual slavery to have such needs covered. Further stress that scientists and engineers are “more respected” and generally “well treated” on Our side. While temperate and respectful language should be used officially, concretely and via appropriate channels it must be made clear that, “on Our side” – the scientists and engineers will have sufficient **power** and **material wealth** to cover such things “on the free market” – including non-standard stuff – almost anything they could desire. And that they will not have to rely on their “looks” or “charm” for this. This is a crucial point. Doing this properly and firmly will ensure success. Not doing this – or trying to



hide it under the rug or be vague – will prevent the attainment of Victory. It is impossible to compete against the West in the long term, so long as this legitimate and very relevant need is not properly addressed by Us.

- Note how Western hegemony persecutes any sexual activity – including prostitution, casual sex, polyamory, etc – which involves people who are not their acolytes or collaborators. Note how most scientists and engineers in the West are lied to, abused and persecuted in this regard. It must be made clear that such persecution is because of non-affiliation, not because of some ‘Western morals’ against it.
- Emphasize that scientists and engineers are more attractive as a group on Our side (where there are no/less such artificial perturbation in the relations between the sexes, such as those caused deliberately by the West) – both because of their intelligence and their values (emphasize this latter part). And that here, they could not only find sex, but also girls they will want to marry and form families. Emphasize how Our territories are much friendlier towards families. This will significantly broaden Our support – and will make the position more easily palatable for the female researchers as well.
- Make it clear to scientists and would-be scientists about the Western brain drain flow: that they are harassed and disempowered in their own countries – while the bandits, mafiosi and traitors supporting the West have sex parties and orgies and so on. That they work and/or study from morning to dawn, while these other groups harass them and party at their expense. Mention that this is done so precisely so that they, the scientists and engineers will want to leave, join the West and to try to be as inventive and productive as possible – as a means to prove and establish their “superior worth” – in exchange for Western promises, more so rarely delivered, for just a small proportion of what was rightfully theirs anyway. And that while they spend their lives “trying to reach the apple”, their aggressors – which were always working for the West, and in close coordination with it – got more of it, and were getting it for a long time already. Concrete examples – and sometimes films – should be made on this point. The value of resentment and legitimate hatred towards an aggressor group must not be underestimated within scientific-minded people. Especially when their recourse strategy – joining the Blockade – is so readily available to them and damaging against the enemy.
- **Choose the proper leaders for overseeing this strategy.** The more fine-tuned details – crucial to the success of this strategy – will become apparent only as it is being implemented. The Leader overseeing it must be trusted, adaptable, visionary, both proactive and quick to react, and also have very strong counter-intelligence competences. Trusting and involving scientists is very difficult. They are both incredibly smart and sometimes incredibly stupid – the latter in terms of military, intelligence, political and other such traits. Furthermore, when they are socially smart, ascertaining their loyalties is very difficult – close to impossible sometimes. They can perform deception much better than the general population, once they set their minds to it. On the other hand, a very small (but never zero!) proportion of scientists would stray from Our values and Our ways – unless of course it was the only realistically practical way for them to get an acceptable social-economic personal situation. Also, there are some – like the author – which, through their very actions and strategies have already proven their authentic, sustained and actual commitment to Our cause, and irreconcilable and irreparable break from the West. This latter category is more easy to spot and much more trustworthy than the general scientific population at large.
- **The advantages We promise scientists must be real, tangible and come to fruition fast.** Scientists are pragmatic and intelligent. We must avoid over-promising (instead focusing on how bloated and illusionary Western promises are). Finally, we can and should make realistic



connections between advancement of the war effort and benefits to Our scientists. Most important however is that We also do not over-negotiate with this category of supporters: these people are generally extremely bad negotiators, and very weak in many regards. We should generally NOT EXPLOIT THIS. Otherwise We would simply be perceived as “just another party offering/wanting something”. And in such a setting the West will always present itself as a more attractive ruler. We must emphasize and present Ourselves as a qualitatively different alternative – both strategically and in the short term.

- **Circumvent established power flow lines where appropriate** – especially those involving Western-aligned or Western-leaning scientists or such Academia / entrepreneurs / others. Also, trust in those provably loyal to the cause should be strengthened. Finally, a clear delimitation of expectations versus benefits must be laid out – scientists by excellence do not wish to involve themselves in politics. We must make all efforts to make the experience of those so wishing as much free from the feel they need to “earn our trust” or “politicize” as possible. Avoiding established power flows also prevents economic waste and further degradation of how scientists are treated in factuality.
- **Grant trusted scientists state positions and political powers.** The experience of Iran – which has awarded military ranks and privileges to some of its nuclear physicists must be understood, adapted and generalized. Furthermore, trusted scientists in state positions will further strength Us in the longer run. Extreme care and tact must be exercised in this regard nevertheless – particularly to prevent infiltrators from joining (especially since infiltrators will benefit from a support network propelling them into such positions), and to timely detect traitors or at risk people.
- **Set up own English-language journals, conferences and other scientific venues where scientists behind enemy lines joining the Blockade can manifest themselves.** Include a “drop archive” similar to Cornell’s arXiv. It is ironic that both Grigori Pelerman and the author had to use arXiv, ResearchGate or even Western conferences to publish their works – despite sustained efforts to the contrary. It is a telltale sign of both how closed and corrupt a significant portion of the current ‘international scientific community’ is, both behind enemy lines, but not only. Such venues will also serve as way to socialize and deepen ties with Blockade joiners as well as friendly and future friendly scientists and engineers.
- **The Blockade should target especially the top scientific brass.** Any significant advantages, especially economically costly ones – should only be extended to those whose joining Us, or simply joining the Blockade actually degrades the Western capacity in a sensible manner. While the West will still have some top brass scientists, thinner lines in this regard are still an important positive result. Also, any tangible, concrete advantages, must be correlated with similarly tangible, concrete contributions – including effective joining of the Blockade. In this regard, the alternative venues described above, should be used by such top brass to describe to Us some of the results they would be withholding – and gain their benefits, as the West is verifiably not developing products or technology based on such findings. Tact, measure, and often a case-by-case decision making process should be the rule here.
- **In later stages, hint at targeting certain elite western organizations and scientists as enemy combatants.** Leverage the example of the West doing the same already - killings of Iranian nuclear physicists, of Russian researchers in the far north, and so on. Make it clear that while we will court scientists to join Our side, and to join the Blockade, the converse is that those involved in military projects – regardless if working for a civilian or military organization, and regardless where it or they are based, will be pursued as legitimate military targets. This detail is crucial to maintaining the economic effort – as well as the attitude of the Blockade joiners – manageable and appropriate.

Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:





- **Wrong implementation of this strategy can easily backfire: Extreme care must be given to guard against alienating the science and technology people.** Especially given that the West will try via propaganda and via their allies on Our territories to do just that. Details of this strategy should be thought up carefully. Leaders enacting it must be intelligent, trusted and also act tactfully.
- **Strategy must be multilateral – all countries of the Free World should join it.** Furthermore, the legislative component of it should gradually but firmly be extended to other territories leaning or aligned with Us, and then expanded further to areas of contention and beyond. In the latter's case, the blockade on scientific research must not exclude scientific institutions on these territories, which are West-aligned or which alignment with the West cannot be excluded.
- **The Blockade should be very broad in scope. It must make it clear that the West will try to act via front-organizations and front countries,** like Israel, Norway, South Korea and other such, in order to try to circumvent the Blockade.
- **"Green lanes" – things which are legally and otherwise clearly allowed, should also be made clear.** In principle these should be limited to study abroad for Bachelors and Masters', and to student exchanges. It must be made clear that having one's children educated alongside those of the Western hegemony is not in itself criminal or wrong – although care must be taken (after all.. "know your enemy and know yourself and you will be victorious"). The example of Rafael Correa must be leveraged.
- **Avoid over-courting and over-glorification.** It must be clear that while We want and strive to accommodate scientists and engineers in the best way possible, that this is not something that they can take for granted. And that it is not a right of theirs – but an earned privilege. One way in which the West will try to counter this strategy – is by making Us have many 'paper scientists', unpopular figures or simply useless sub-mediocre people try to join this initiative and become its brand. Furthermore, it will try to make this materialize into somewhat of "a form without meaning", by using corruption to prevent actual benefits from reaching the right people. Finally, it will try to leverage the very essence of the initiative to increase the power of their own Western-aligned traitors in Our midst – by having them demand and obtain more power and cause bigger financial waste. All these must be adequately prevented and corrected by the Leadership responsible for the strategy's implementation.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- **A favorable domestic environment for science** must be perceived within our Own population – or at least a strong positive trend in this regard, confidently so. This must include respect and also tangible return for people involved in this field, authentically loyal and dedicated to Our cause. The environment does not need to be already "perfect" or "fully acceptable" – just the dedication to making it so must be perceived as real – and the capacity to do so as well.
- Strategy 5 has started to be implemented and is showing first positive results. This is almost the case currently already.
- Strategy 3 has been perfected and is just about to be implemented. Public announcement of it, should include also the announcement of this Strategy 2.

### **3. Adopt and announce as official strategic point - the elimination of the Western hegemonic elite.**

#### Context, Goals and Rationale:



- The simple categorical and firm adoption of this strategy has profound game theoretical implications – both internal and external.
- **Internally, it will drastically curtail the ability to act of traitors, infiltrators and Western-leaning officials and actors within our Own states:** especially those infiltrated in official position in the Secret Services, the Government, the Legislative and the like. When it is made official that such groups are outlawed, garnering support for supposed “commercial”, “business”, “scientific-technical” or any other pretext to support them – or counter Our policies in this regard – will constitute a grave breach of law. While the senior traitors and infiltrators will not be deterred by this (many of them are undeterable enemies) – their would-be allies and supporters will be: the payoff changes drastically for them – since instead of a reprimand or 1-2 years in jail, they would be risking their lives and those of their families. Also, infiltrated Western agents will be deprived of the ability to issue official orders contrary to Our general strategy. Furthermore, Our counter-intelligence and judiciary personnel will have the required tools at their disposal to root out such enemy groups – favoring the implementation of Strategy 4. This is particularly relevant in the cases like those of Iran – with incumbent president and Western collaborator Rouhani, and of Armenia – with incumbent prime minister and similarly apparently Western collaborator Pashinyan. Also in Latin America this will help “set the tone” more clearly for many of Our friends there.
- **Externally, making the objectives of the War categorical changes the payoff matrix for lower ranking acolytes and would be acolytes.** They no longer have the luxury to think that, “well.. we will live in the West and prosper.. no matter how the War goes”. They are then cognizant that We will not allow for that. Combining this strategy with Strategy 1, will widen the divide between the top 1<sup>st</sup>-2<sup>nd</sup> echelons of Western hegemonic power, and the rest (3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>..). This will further limit their ability to act – and also increase the economic operating costs to them – since, given the added risks, they will need to “pay more” and, as per Strategy 5 – often time will have to pay in advance. Also, in combination with Strategy 1, We are able to increase defections from the ruling Western block group, and to further favor structural and cultural changes.
- **Both internally and externally – changing the payoff matrix for would-be acolytes and traitors will complicate recruitment for the Western hegemons.** Now, people in these positions will no longer have the luxury to think that “they will cooperate with the hegemons for a while, and then they will see” – they are not confronted with an “all-or-nothing” option. They will understand that they are doing something illegal in many states – and that they and their families risk being hunted down – and not in some “hypothetical distant future”, but shortly enough. This will of course not deter bandits and mindless brutes (like those used to populate the Einsatzgruppen and many ranks of the ‘open society foundation’). But people of significant use for the hegemons – especially scientists and engineers, and also people more rooted and with families, as well as many of those still lacking lucidity – these people will be both deterred, and intrinsically morally against joining or cooperating with the Western hegemons.
- **The strategy eliminates any confusion at home.** Such prior confusion made the fertile ground for Western groups to operate. The enactment of this strategy can be compared to Napoleon Bonaparte’s answer to French royalties in exile saying that “in order to restore monarchy in France, they will have to step on 1 million bodies”. This kind of firmness cuts some intrigues which would otherwise degrade our military capabilities.
- **Strategically, it will prevent quid-pro-quo arrangements with the Western hegemonic elites,** which could delay or compromise their decline from power.

The core of the strategy:

- **Denounce the ruling Western hegemonic elites as genocidal criminals.** Single them out by name wherever appropriate: Rothschild, Murdoch, Bloomberg, Soros, Rockefeller, Gates, etc. Make it clear that this does not *necessarily* apply to all their acolytes, supporters and trade partners.



- **Denounce their immediate affiliated organizations – eg. ‘open society foundation’ as criminal political groups.** Make it illegal to knowingly join these organizations or to cooperate with them in any way. Make it clear that people who joined “by mistake”, not knowing whom they are getting involved with – have the option to quickly denounce this and the methods used to recruit them – with no repercussions for them. Make it clear that this provision will not apply to those working for these criminal organizations for years.
- **Indict and try them in national courts for genocide and crimes against humanity. Have a Nuremberg style public trial in absentia, where the fullness of their atrocities is made public.** Involve Syria, Iraq and other countries in this endeavor. The trial must be relatively swift – between 4-7 months.. not extend indefinitely.
- **Condemn them in national courts, put them on terrorist groups’ lists and forbid any knowing cooperation or support for them on national territories.**
- **Indict them at the UN International Criminal Court.** Denounce failures to advance to a conviction in that forum as Western control over this UN body.
- **Coordinate internationally across the Free World and time actions on this topic** to be in sync.
- **Make it clear that it is a mandatory and legally binding judicial state decision: that it is not subject to interpretation or revision by any political groups, even opposition.**
- **Speculate the fact these Western bandits do not hold official government positions,** and thus the undertaking is not against a particular nation or state. Emphasize they act as international criminal terrorist groups.
- **State clearly that, given these bandits’ hold on Western block economies, We understand the difficult situation – and that We will not hold civilians outside Our territories guilty simply for having been execution-level workers** in companies under their control. Unless they have some additional culpability, of course.
- **Deny and legislatively forbid any kind of quid-pro-quo or similar arrangements that would improve the situation of the Western elites or prevent it from degrading further.** Including if they threaten nuclear war. Make this a matter of organic legislation. Their fate must be clearly sealed. The fact they are doomed must be apparent to relevant secondary power holders, in order to attain the desired additional effects of this strategy.

#### Comments:

- This strategy should be followed shortly (but not immediately) by the announcement of Strategy 1.
- This strategy serves as excellent context-setting for forceful actions to be taken internally, as part of Strategy 4.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Strategy announcement must be clear and unequivocal** – singling out the enemy by name as much as possible.
- **The trials and convictions must be serious and firm** – they must not appear or be perceived to be as mere political actions with no concrete judicial effects.
- **It must be made clear that the strategy of eliminating the Western elites – and their families – is current and categorical:** that actions are and will continue to be taken in this regard. Avoid making it seem like “a new cold war” – where “countering Imperialism” is just “the political word of the day”, and that in fact nothing changes.
- Involve the general population as much as possible. Make them a firm ally (statistically) – so that they will support and help enact further measures, like those pertaining to Strategy 4.
- **Follow-up on announcement of this strategy with examples of situations where things actually happened as a result of it** – avoid making it seem as “something We tried to see if it





will work". Make it clear that We are committed to this course and that it is irreversible.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Be wary of immediate and sudden hordes of supporters**, "offering their services" to "support the new cause". Most of them will be infiltrators – and many will be propped up as much as possible by Western agents within Our state bodies. Do involve the general population and, later on, do work with genuine supporters.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- Sufficiently stable internal situation in Russia and China. Stabilizing situation in Iran. Note that this measure itself contributes to internal stability. Therefore, so long as an immediate coupe or other grave degradation is not possible by the Western groups on Our territories, this strategy should move forward.
- International agreement and synchronization on timing for moving forward with this strategy.

#### **4. Expose, contain and neutralize internal traitor networks. Do so by involving the civilian population, circumventing established power flows, where useful. Avoid unnecessary changes. Leverage Reconciliation.**

#### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Why? These traitor groups and their affiliated networks pose an existential threat to the very fabric of Our society.** Growing from a hardcore nucleus of traitors and bandits they envelop the worse layers of society and then use them to harass and exploit the rest – similarly to how the New York mafia functioned in the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Furthermore, given their Western-block allegiance, financing and centers of interest situated outside the Russian Federation – they have all the interest to do harm. And by their embedding within Our state organs and within our networks of power – they are the sole force currently capable of doing that harm as well. The examples of Iran, USSR, and central Asia – serve plenty as illustrative examples in this regard. These are cases where such traitors managed to occupy the highest positions of formal power in Our states. And use them to do so much harm – secrets sharing, assassinations (often times with substantial external contribution) and so on.
- **Why? A core danger traitor groups pose is that of assassination of scientists and leaders, both present and future.** This poses an existential strategic threat to Us. Assassinations of would-be leaders, like Motorola and Grihvi in Donbass, left-wing political children in Norway – are just well known examples outside the Free World proper. Such actions take place on our territories as well – and risk continuing to take place if these traitor groups are not disempowered. Furthermore, by the influence their networks have within the state and its bodies – they can prevent future scientists and leaders from being properly recognized as such, delay or curtail their adoption, and discourage them from bringing a valuable contribution to Us (often times, ironically, by having them confuse those traitor networks for how We Ourselves want the state to function and be).
- **Why? A third core danger is that of theft of technology via espionage.** What good is developing technologically superior weaponry that is decades ahead of the enemy, if he cheaply and quickly gets it afterwards via espionage made possible by these traitor groups?
- **Why? The constant threat of coups is another threat posed by traitor groups.** This sometimes generates uncertainties and causes hesitation within a broader spectrum of Our people. Furthermore, do to their covert embedding in the state, such traitor groups can also limit policymaking or its implementation by denouncing right policy as corrupt or detrimental.



- **Why? Finally, these traitor groups cause Our states significant economic damage.** This results both from the costs of “keeping them in check” and rooting them out, as well as from their direct involvement with some projects within the military-industrial complex, where they deliberately cause prices to be inflated and further generate an atmosphere of dissatisfaction among the scientific-technical staff involved.
- **The core goal of this initiative is to strengthen internal stability within the Free World proper and some leaning territories,** giving Us a sufficiently stable home base so as to advance with war operations beyond. Note that also advancing to active war operations externally sometimes generates internal stability – but without sufficiently curtailing of traitor groups, the fruition of those operations will be meaningless: like for example the Soviet operation in Afghanistan or Communist Romania’s charm initiative in Africa and the Middle East.

The core of the strategy:

- **Expose, contain and where appropriate execute spies, infiltrators and traitors.** Degrade and destroy their ability to affect state policy or its implementation. Exclude them from state organs where appropriate. Execute them and their families where possible. **In this regard, it is crucial to learn from China’s anti-corruption purges.**
- **Phase out old, compromised organizations by creating new ones.** Build the new organizations with people loyal to Our cause, and operate them so that they remain this way. **In this regard, it is crucial to learn from Iran’s al-Quds formation and operation and that of the IRGC in general.** A Russian analogue to al-Quds is Rosgvardia. Transfer critical powers and duties to the new organizations, taking them away from the old ones, of mixed or doubtful loyalties. Maintain a presence within the old organizations as well – do not surrender them to the enemy. Grant new organizations oversight functions over the older. Spread out traitors so that they are unable to act. Where concentrated, order units or services of organization dominated by traitors to attack, arrest or oversee other traitor units. If they refuse or fail, disband, arrest and, if possible, execute them. Use the powers of the loyal oversight organizations to pressure these traitor groups to act against each other. Make use of Reconciliation where appropriate. Many traitor group collaborators have just transient motives – economic, lack of lucidity, etc. – they can be Reconciled (and become valued military assets for Us), while exposing the rest. Avoid Reconciling entire traitor group networks. Spread Reconciled personnel.
- **Isolate traitors to “safe places” within the state.** Where execution or exclusion are not possible, move traitors to organizations and parts thereof, where their presence is inconsequential for policymaking or policy implementation. Be wary of them compromising others of their colleagues in the new positions. This measure is usually a temporary one, meant to disempower traitor groups at large, before their core are executed or excluded as per the above points. Act swiftly to enforce the law even within these “safe place” – they are to be containment units for traitors, not free realms for their operations. An example where such a containment operation failed miserably due to such negligence is that of the Romanian town of Novaci, Gorj.
- **Garner support of core state elites and members of state organs within the Free World leveraging the idea that they will never be able to exploit their own people to the extent the Western hegemons do.** And that, us such – any attempt in that direction, will lead to a losing competition with the West, to a loss of the War, to an overtaking of the state by the Western stooge men and their own loss of power – and most often of life as well. Leverage the example of Gadhafi. Explain that only a very small percentage of those tempted by the West to betray would actually get anything in return in the end, except a bullet. Make them hate and resent recruiters and traitors within their midst. Counterbalance this with respectful treatment, ideological motivation and appropriate economic payoffs for loyalists and obvious non-traitors.
- **Do not make this a one-time operation.** Make structural and systemic adjustments to ensure



ongoing filtering of traitors and further strengthening of friendly forces.

- **Use electoral processes, especially referendums to support, legitimize and fasten operations.** Use recourse to electoral popular support to circumvent resistance to change. Use the threat of such recourse as soft power. Do not postpone or hesitate to resort to referendums, where this will be required in the end anyway. **Involve the general population on the model of the Bolivarian Revolution** (without the need for changing the constitution). The Bolivarian model has proven stable and resilient in face of extreme difficulties: internal subversion, split civil society, infiltration, economic blackmail and bribing, and numerous defeated military coupe attempts. The specific details of Bolivarianism which make it such a success must be studied, understood, adapted and applied to all Our Free World states.
- **Use popular support to recruit loyal new-joiners and involve them operationally in state affairs and in the War effort.** The population does not need to be a simple "voting robot" supporter. They should be actively involved in supporting this initiative practically. Do this with both "lone-wolf" individual elites, and with respected informal leaders – and have the latter leverage their own support networks. Cautiously but steadily, integrate such new recruits higher and higher up in the organs of state power and into related information flows. **Take example from the formation and ongoing operation of the Iranian al-Quds.** Work also with partial trust – when done on a large scale it will work particularly well.
- **Avoid unnecessary changes.** Do not overhaul the state entirely – allowing loyal and neutral officers to feel confident and secure in holding their office. Also, allow some people with low risk of harm / traitorship, and high cost (including collective cost) of replacement to continue – if required. Make the distinction between "who is to go" and "who is to stay" clear early on – so as to prevent enemy groups from formenting unrest and gaining naïve resisters to change as supporters.

#### Comments:

- **An important challenge We face is that of sucesorship to President Vladimir Putin in Russia, post 2035.** Advances in medicines sadly do not yet allow people to live and function properly forever. As such, retirement often times occurs despite Our better wishes. It very advisable that this strategy of rooting out traitor groups be completed before 2035. The task to identify and grow into wide acceptance of leaders dedicated to Our cause is hard. External sourcing should be considered – it can leverage leaders whose loyalty and dedication to Our cause is clear and unshakable. Unfortunately, assassination or neutralization of Russian-speaking would-be leaders like Motorola and Grihvni is the way of the Western hegemons. Nevertheless, people like Hugo Chavez, Motorola, Grihvni (unfortunately they were all killed in action) and even the author himself – have demonstrated both capacity (to varying degrees) and unquestionable and incorruptible dedication. Furthermore, the way the Western elites function make it clear that for such people there can never be any real reconciliation from the West: the example of general Douglas MacArthur (especially in early and mid-career) speaks clearly in this regard. It should be noted that Joseph Stalin himself was not Russian, but originally from Georgia (currently under Western occupation).
- **Succession to Ayatollah Khamenei is also critical.** It is crucial that the accession of a Western backed 'moderate' traitor to the office be prevented. Preferably the Ahmadinejad group should be revived and involved in this regard.
- **Venezuela must be given close attention.** Some revolutionaries are growing into middle age and beyond there. Like in Iran, a small number of them are starting to suffer from war weariness. They must be helped to avoid betraying, or dreaming about some "deal" with the Western bandits – Rothschild and the rest.
- **It is sad to note the handling of the Navalny case in Russia.** Navalny and a large portion of his network was the perfect Western-backed opposition: everyone knew he was a Western-aligned traitor, he had no real chances of ever gaining power, yet he served as the Western front in Russia





and a sinkhole for all those against Liberty, Truth, Justice, and Our ways in general. Especially those from the general population. Furthermore, his existence prevented the West from operating “outside him” in many situations. On the other hand, any senior official coming in contact with Navalny was immediately compromised. Now the Western network will be more concealed, and traitors with true Russian roots, who are more embedded and much harder to expose, will take his place. He should have been guarded better against assassinations from the West, and while his network should have been occasionally pruned – and always kept in check -, he himself and the most well-knowns from his group should have been allowed to walk around: they posed no real danger to anyone (except the true western-backed spies and traitors), they actually inhibited the operating capacity of the West, and they could and should have been used for information gathering and compromising of other traitors. Furthermore, the formal judicial details of his arrest and the dismembering of his group are wanting – such a moment, if it was to be done, should have been done in the context of Strategy 3 (and the present Strategy 4), with those involved condemned for being agents of Western hegemony – not for generally “advocating” something or the other.

- **Why is Gorbachev still around?** He should be tried as a traitor – if not for other things, at least for transmitting military secrets to the West for free. If agreements from 1999 prevent this, he must at least be politically dead – and it is the responsibility of the CPRF to do this. And if it can't, then the communists should form an alternative communist party and exclude the present traitors in their midst. In fact, this option is generally better preferred and in line with the current recommendations of this strategy.
- **Beware of spies and traitors coming in via the Moldavian-Ukrainian filiera.** Moldova's membership of the USSR had the unfortunate side effects of allowing some people with connections and roots in fascist Romania to roam the USSR freely. Let's remember that Romania, Moldova and Ukraine suffered most deeply from the Nazi genocide and political purges during World War 2. Fortunately, nowadays, DNA testing allows exposing anyone lying about their ethnic origins. Not to say that all Moldavians are traitors – although, I would expect most of them currently in positions of power to be.
- **These times are Our chance to shape how the future post-war World will look like.** The fact the power holders and leaders – including the few not necessarily aligned with Us or Our values -, the fact they need to involve Us and the general population in order to both survive and win the war – is a tremendous and singular opportunity for Us to give a huge impetuous and deeply influence the societal culture, the systems and the people of power, who will determine the course of humanity post-war. The fact the current Western hegemonic elites are not part of the future is quite clear to most (including them). However, what will come in place of their banditry and genocides is yet to be clarified. And here We can influence matters greatly. Not dictate them (nor should we try to), but influence them greatly - to a sufficient extent.

#### Crucial Details:

- **It is crucial to have practical know-how exchanges on, adapt and rollout the lessons learned from the Bolivarian Revolution, the formation and operation of Iran's al-Quds, and from China's anti-corruption purges.**
- It is important to connect this with Strategy 1 – Reconciliation. It will both make things easier for Us, and serve as a practice to rolling out Reconciliation safely at a wider scale.
- **Internal cleansing initiatives should be state matters, but strong international cooperation across the Free World on these matters, both formal (legal) and informal is important.** This includes in the context of Strategy 6.
- Allow some hardened traitor groups real or illusory “final hopes” in case of surrender: to retreat to some temporary safe heavens – particularly escape to the US of low-ranking and not dangerous



traitors should be encouraged, as this will place added economic pressure on the Western bloc. Just like Idlib did for some terrorists in Syria, such options could lower the cost of war for Us.

- **Consider using software systems which leverage Game Theory to structure and scheme organizations so as to make them resilient by design to traitorship and coupes.** In this context, I refer to modeling and simulation systems – not those for systematic collection or analysis of information for counterintelligence assessments.
- **Do not be afraid or shy in using military deadly force to resolve matters**, where this is appropriate and useful. Try to undertake simultaneous military operations where possible. Do not shy away from undertaking successive military operations, where a single one is insufficient to address the entirety of the problem. Do not make force the sole solution – allow for surrender and, where appropriate, Reconciliation.
- **Do not shy away from going after the families of traitors.** The West has no such limitations. If we are perceived to have, most traitors will give in to the seemingly less dangerous side – just as the situation with Bout's extradition from Thailand illustrated. Pursue family members of traitors as accomplices, beneficiaries or actual perpetrators of such acts, as appropriate. If a small percentage (<10%) from the family members of traitors escape, or going after them would be too politically or militarily complicated – then let them go. Prosecute family members of traitors who try to form Western-backed opposition groups or escape to the Western block to 'cash in their paychecks'.
- **Perform killings and slayings in context of military operations** – and enact appropriate legislative and administrative acts to make their review fall outside the purview of regular civilian courts, where it is suspected that such may have been compromised by the enemy. Counter-terrorist operation regime may seem like a good place to start in this regard.
- **Use civilian courts for lower ranking traitors and enemy collaborators.** No need to execute everyone. This will also build confidence and further legitimize the operation. Not to mention that it will grant further emboldening satisfaction to the general population, to see such traitors stand trial. Use the trials to make traitors confess and publicly reveal details of how the Western networks work and of the habitual crimes practiced by the Western hegemony via them.

Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Do not appear to be turning the state into a dictatorship. Also do not practically turn it into such.** This would only favor hardened traitors, already within legislative and other power groups (including secret service) – to consolidate their own power, under the umbrella of state dictatorship. Rooting them out becomes thus more difficult rather than less difficult. Involve the general population and partner with it where appropriate. But lead the initiative, do not decline responsibility for it to the civilian population.
- **Avoid involving the wrong people and giving them power** – not even for a short while. They would just take measures to annoy the population and to further import infiltrators.
- **Make sure forceful actions are accountable.** Also properly explain them to the population. A "free for all" situation where everyone takes on their long-hated personal enemies is not desirable. Although in sporadic occasions such things could happen.
- **Support a free media.** Both during and related to these operations – and to further root out corruption and treachery thereafter. By free media, it is meant agencies such as Russia Today, Sputnik and civilian bloggers, influencers and even private papers – or columnists thereof. Clearly not CNN and clearly not those acting.. ostentatively impolitely.

Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- **Physical and reasonable political security of kernel strategic group:** there must not exist a threat of an immediate coup or of other forceful political disorders at the dawn of the implementation of this strategy.



- Strategy 6 should already be already in intermediate or advanced states of implementation – which is appraised to generally already be the case.
- Leverage Strategy 3 as a helper.
- Strategy 5 can also serve as a helper for garnering popular support and making sure civilian tribunals reach the proper verdicts on matters connected to this strategy.

## **5. Expose Western economic crisis, past genocidal deeds and current criminal organization. Focus on sex slavery and import of cheap labor. Make them have to pay in advance on all their deals.**

### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- The imminent, and in many cases ongoing, economic default of the Western block is generally well known in most power circles. However this less known among the general population and it is also insufficiently exploited for the war effort. It is, as of now, too inconsequential for Western power. This strategy aims to change that.
- The deeply unpopular practices on which Western power is based – especially sexual slavery – are not sufficiently well known among the general population, and their unpopularity is not leveraged for the war effort. This strategy aims to change that also.
- **Goal: Force the Western elites to pay more and pay in advance on all deals.** This will amply degrade their ability to recruit new acolytes and collaborators and also bring dissent within existing ones (especially lower ranking ones) much closer to the present: as many will no longer “work/betray for decades” and then “wait for their reward”, but simply demand it outright. This will have a favorable snowball effecting, making default obvious to more and more, serving to undermine the power of Western elites and favoring our other strategies – including Reconciliation. To this end – it must be crucial to clarify that the West will print trillions – and will gladly pay them in advance, but that they will be worthless by the time they are to be spent – at a rate much faster than inflation, and qualitatively different. Also, hyperbolizing expectations for actual traitors and collaborators will make the West have to pay more.
- **Goal: Degrade the enemy’s ability to generate sexual slavery resources and to exploit them politically.** Given the deep unpopularity (and illegal and immoral nature) of sexual slavery, shining a light on it properly will degrade the Western hegemon’s capacity to leverage it by (i) making it harder to run procurement networks; (ii) making it harder to run dedicated staging areas and dark sites for consumption; (iii) making it harder and requiring even more care and secrecy in luring people into benefiting from it. This will force the enemy to substitute a vast portion of it with regular sexual exploitation – which is much more expensive, requires convincing and political allegiance and is not providing guiltible complicity. This will cut off most of its “elitist” appeal, and also make members of the higher echelons of power (3<sup>rd</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>) wonder why they were supporting this hegemonic elite in the first place.
- **Goal: Increase the cost of sexual exploitation in the West.** Essentially hyperbolize the expectations from girls and men involved in this legitimate trade – make them demand – but, more importantly, **expect** - more for their services. This will increase the pressure to generate even more “cheap labor” to cover such additional costs – a point where the West is already failing. And this failure will thus become more and more apparent – resulting in a contraction of their free capacity on this market as well, leading to more stability problems. Combining this with the other goals should result in further degradation in predilection of sex workers to join the trade on behalf of the Western block due to the illegitimate hardships, harassment and restrictive measures the Western





elites place on them. We should make them feel like heroes for “holding out” and resisting the West – and bring the example of Palestine to this purpose. This will further increase economic pressure on the West. Also, we should entice such people to join the sex trade for Our side – and for ideologically and much lower prices: it pays to offer a culture of liberty, where people do things by choice, not under threat of violence.

- **Goal: Generate animosity from existing and would-be scientists, engineers, military and leadership talent against the Western hegemonic elite.** Mainly via exposing how they are being lied to, lured and illusioned with promises that are never to be fulfilled. Also partly via animosity towards traitors in their midst which unmeritocratically got more, got it easier and got it already. Thirdly, via animosity and haterade towards mafia and others such, which got more and got it easily and got it already. Fourthly, by animosity and haterade resulting from the realizing how the Western elites manipulated them, used mafia and such tactics to lure them into working for them – only to be promised in return just a slight fraction of “what was rightfully theirs”. This will serve to (i) degrade recruitment; (ii) reduce effective power over acolytes and collaborators (reduce the palate and frequency of orders they are expected to fulfill); (iii) increase economic operating costs for the Western elites; (iv) increase infighting within the Western-block; (v) stimulate switching sides to Us; (vi) increase the perception of vital risks to those working with or for the Western elites.
- **Goal: Make it clear that the Western elites are doomed. Make them “untouchables”.** This will make the required “tipping points” for people switching support to Our side, or aligning themselves actively with Us, be met much more easily and more frequently all throughout. It is also a crucial aspect to avoiding or minimizing the use of weapons of mass destruction in the later active stages of the War. On this topic, Strategy 1 – Reconciliation should be leveraged to properly gain the benefits, once this happens.
- **Goal: Gain widespread, active popular support within the general population, including civilians for Our efforts.** Once the general population understands they are generally doomed if the Western elites have their way and survive (which is the same as winning) – that they will be exterminated or enslaved – whether they resist or not -, a larger proportion of them will be inclined to actively support our joint war effort, taking more risky actions.

The core of the strategy:

- **Expose: (i) economic default of the West; (ii) the lying illusory promises they make as a result; (iii) sexual slavery; (iv) how they create and import “cheap labor” and the monopoly elites hold on the sex trade, via sexual exploitation; (v) past and planned war crimes and genocides – including outside warzones, against their own populations: via Monsanto, etc.; (vi) the high level of discontent (60-80%) with their own ranks; (vii) the weakness of character which they use to select new execution-level acolytes.** For the some pointers on the concrete core details of what is to be transmitted, refer to points 1, 6, 7 and 12 from the Introduction, Crucial Detail 2 from Strategy 2, and the discussions in the Context section above for each Goal.
- **Be specific about the details of “how it works” with all the above points.** People need to understand from their own point of view how they will be cheated – how things will evolve else how than they think (eg. inflation, unfulfilled implied promises, unexpected social restrictions, unexpected healthcare / security / compliance costs) and so on). Provide statistical details and examples as references – so people, especially scientists and engineers whom we target preponderantly, can check it out themselves – this must be a general rule with all Our communications: since we speak of the Truth, we are able to argue and reference it factually. Something the enemy will never be able to do. We must make it a rule and general practice that people will trust only what they can reference and verify themselves: those who cannot provide



these are not reliable sources of information.

- **Make stories graphical and specific. Emphasize that they are not isolated occurrences, but the general Western elites' way.** Have spies, infiltrators and traitors to Our side film, document and expose actual instances of points (i)-(ix) above, especially of sexual slavery. Take testimonials – especially of deceit, and particularly of scientists and engineers. Make clear references to concrete people, places, etc. Leverage Detroit and the cheating of 20<sup>th</sup> century industry workers – but do not overemphasize this one city. Make it clear that software engineers and most scientists will suffer the same fate.
- **Leverage official, semi-official, informal and independent channels properly.** Split the sending of the message properly across such channels. Russia Today should play a prominent role. But independent bloggers and alternative media, including but categorically not limited to GlobalResearch.ca [6] should play a more explicit role. Also, the messages should be repeated and supported official by Our leaders – including the President of the Russian Federation, Chinese officials, Iran and so on: especially in informal context, but occasionally also formally.
- **Leverage the World People's Web.** Have the networks of supporters of Our cause behind enemy lines serve as an alternative media for this strategy. Also, leverage them to discover, document and bring back stories and data on such matters from behind enemy lines. Leverage a part of them to break some stories on this topic initially – then support them in all ways to make them viral, including to official media like Russia Today.
- **Categorically terminate and forbid any quid-pro-quo with the West on such matters.** Particularly on matters related to sexual slavery. Phase out sexual slavery on Our own territories – replace whatever isolated and small-scale instance where this occurred with legitimate exploitation of the sex trade, and the sex-for-benefits markets. Make the latter effective in covering our internal demand – the most unfavorable situation would be for Our people to have to trade with the West to have such matters covered. Make a clear and unequivocal distinction between sexual slavery and the general sex trade (especially in an informal and colloquial manner). Similarly do so between work and “cheap labor”. **Finally, in cases where the West exposes some isolated such practices on Our side, grant executive Presidential pardons to the few people involved,** making it clear that “we do not want the enemy to destroy our war fighting capability, by having our generals feel vulnerable in the few, isolated cases where the war situation may have forced them to take risky actions”. Send appropriate signals before-hand that this will happen. Make it clear that sexual slavery is totally unacceptable and will not be tolerated. Replace it practically on Our territories with legitimate sex trade – including by making slight legislative adjustments where needed: to prevent people feeling uneasy to use prostitution, and generally to allow (and even suggest!) legitimate sexual benefits in the context of sex-for-favors arrangements associated to certain positions within Our structures (which itself is much cheaper economically, more fulfilling for both sides, and generally much better serving Our policies than prostitution in general). Finally, promote the position that sex and love are natural within Our territories, and that We do not need to resort to sexual slavery or sexual exploitation – a point which happens to be almost entirely correct in factuality.

#### Comments:

- **Clarify that while Western elite rulers and their military rely on and make extensive use of sex slavery, sexual exploitation and cheap labor, they do think and talk in terms of general economics: energy, security, production.** Once the system to create and leverage sex slavery is in place – with them and their closest acolytes and allies are satisfied in this regard – for keeping it running, they only think in terms of the food, energy, etc., required for such a massive operation. Particularly with regards to the more general “cheap labor” and sexual exploitation component. Furthermore, “cheap labor” is required to acquiesce a broader segment of the civilian



population – to keep it neutral, or at least not inclined to take to forceful actions against the Western elites or their societal system (ie. to be politically and socially apathic).

- **It is worth noting and exposing the practice of Western economic elites (particularly in the context of Regular Masonry) to imposes sexual blockades on outsiders.** Such elites have formal practices to recruit girls – especially young and attractive ones – early on (from empirical evidence, around late high school, when they become 18) and have them ‘join them’ in exchange for financial, political and ‘coolness’ benefits. The ugly side is that they categorically require them not to have or offer sex to outsiders (even when they think such are “cute”): they are maneuvered into an “all or nothing” arrangement. Of course, they are then directed to “open up” to outsiders when the latter ‘join the elites’, or are ‘courted’ by them. The same rule applies to most children of elites, and also to those offered good jobs, particularly in politicized western organs. One can wonder: Can this situation be regarded as unfair competition? Can the people accepting such arrangements be considered collaborators with the enemy (something which in Palestine for example is punished severely)? Can some girls voluntarily respecting these embargos be regarded and treated similarly to the Dash wives in Iraq? This is a philosophical debate. A one-size-fits-all approach is probably not the best here. Nevertheless, formally documenting and publically exposing this practice can do only good. Especially by making scientists and engineers more cognizant of how they are being manipulated into deals with the elites, via such formal yet secretive strategies against them. Machinations deliberately enacted to prevent them obtaining independently what is normally routinely with their reach, only so that they can to be offered scrums of it back later on, ‘as gifts’, in exchange for cooperation with the Western hegemonic elites and their ‘rules based order’, of course. Scientific people don’t like machinations.
- **Avoid over-repetitions of terms related to sex in the criticism of the West.** While politically and economically crucial to the War effort, we do not want to appear overly emphatic about this rather banal yet delicate component of life. Emphasis on economic default and “cheap labor”, with only sporadic (but recurrent) references to sexual slavery (explicitly!) and sexual exploitation should be the norm. We do not wish to appear lacking in this regard – but, to the contrary, emphasize broader and more inclusive capabilities. Furthermore, We do not wish this point to draw too much attention away from the crucial one of economic default of the West, nor from the deceptive and genocidal practices of their ruling elites.
- **Make the connection of an exemplificatory nature to the excessive and abusive restrictive measures on human rights taken in the West in relation with covid-19.** Frame these for what they are: means to (i) reduce consumption, to increase supply of “cheap labor”, (ii) to make baby-boomers spend their money on surviving rather than enjoying life in retirement or helping others, (iii) to increase repressive powers for their goons in police; (iv) curtail social integration and political coordination; (v) to increase their control of sexuality and the sex trade; and (vi) to serve as a military exercise for repressive structures for the upcoming nazi-like genocide and social segregation. Note that in the Free World such measures – taken on the advice of scientists (and use this point to culpabilize their relations to Western structures where appropriate) – where much more sensible and proportional: that people still get married, and go on vacations within Our territories.
- Leverage the unpopularity of covid restrictive measures to further streamline Strategies 2, 4 and 10 – namely in denouncing ‘international scientific bodies’, as politically charged, US-controlled stooges. Particularly expose the connections of WHO to Bill Gates.
- Internal divide and conflict within the Bill Gates family might present an opportunity for graphical documentation of immoral practices of Western elites, such as genocide and sexual slavery. While far from guaranteed, this avenue and others like it should be explored.

Crucial Details:





- See discussion for each point above for details.
- **Do not make this a onetime operation.** This will be a concentrated, and ongoing effort. We cannot expect to reach everyone – or a sufficient proportion of everyone immediately. Also, people need to be constantly reminded of the truthfulness of such matters: especially in the context where western propaganda, via mainstream media and repressive police forces will try to make such conviction seem like a distant memory.
- **Act tactfully, gradually but steadily and firmly.** And explicitly: make sure the people – both the general population and power stakeholders get the clear, un-watered-down picture of reality.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Preempt and manage the huge risk of backfire of this strategy by having the West seem more attractive rather than less, via such “free marketing” on Our behalf.** We will be essentially advertising some of the more terrible things the Western elites offer their new acolytes and collaborators (particularly sexual slavery and sexual exploitation) for free: the hegemon will not even have to bear the risk of sending the discrete / secret hints to this effect to potential recruits - we are popularizing such expectations from them for free. This is why, the points on the economic default of the Western-block, the fact such promises are in 99.95% of the cases outright lies and will never come to fruition, the fact some practices are illegal and immoral and will be pursued as such, the imminent doom of the Western elite, and above all.. **the fact that We have better ways to cover such matters appropriately on Our side** must be figure clearly and prominently as a result of this strategy – much more importantly than the mere genocidal acts and immorality of other Western practices, like “cheap labor”.
- **Manage the risk of hyperbolic expectations on Our side, by Our people and collaborators.** Once we bring certain topics out in the open, some of our Friends, Allies and collaborators might turn to have hyperbolic expectations from Us. And this will be encouraged and even inoculated as a belief by the enemy, and its network of traitors on Our territories. Furthermore, the enemy will seek to alienate segments of the population (eg. some females) via usual propaganda to make it seem like they were to be systematically exploited by Us, where as in the West.. only milk and honey. More importantly, the enemy will seek to present the limited and meritocratic nature of Our policies in such regards as a monopolization of these practice to our ‘high ranking generals and corrupt officials’, and ‘never truly available to the new joiners’. The core way in which these are to be countered is with a respectful, moderate language, and **with always telling and presenting the truth.** The truth is on Our side – and if we present, through appropriate channels, accurate statistics and examples of our inclusive practices in this regard, we can appropriately manage expectations and combat enemy counteractions.
- **Beware of media blockade against Us from the West.** Persecution and limitations on Russian, Chinese and Venezuelan media in the West are already well underway for several years. Control of social networks like Facebook, Twitter, of messaging apps like WhatsApp, Telegram, Signal and of other popular online venues by the Western hegemonic elites is also well known. The block on the Donald Trump himself – a recent former POTUS is eloquent in this regard. We must further expect targeted blocks, filtering and other measures taking against Our content becoming or staying viral (or even existing) on the Western internet. Furthermore, it is likely that the West will cut internet connectivity altogether with Our territories on such matters: especially through punitive legislation targeting their own nationals who share or make such content viral (and later on against those who access such ‘extremist’ and ‘fake news’ ‘Russian propaganda’). Therefore, the focus on leveraging the World People’s Web, as discussed further as part of Strategy 8 – particularly their independent online and offline channels of mass dissemination – should be increased. We must also strongly support them by making messages connected to this strategy a matter of official common knowledge (degrading the enemy’s ability to make them seem ‘conspiracy theories’), openly



endorsed at the official state level in the Free World. Also, we should host online content on Our websites (RT.com, maybe VK or Odnoklasnosti and others) – so as to diminish legal risks to Our friends behind enemy lines, and to, more importantly, prevent such content from being taken down. **Finally, as a means to counteract (and preferably fully deter) physical connection cuts, we must be prepared to offer wide satellite internet, satellite news, spyware- and censorship-free technology and other communication technologies to the World People’s Web.** Ironically enough, the West took this approach in Venezuela, with mainstream media operators moving to satellite transmission to circumvent Bolivarian jurisdiction (and for them it failed, largely because the messages such media was sending were in themselves lies, and uninteresting to the population at large). Ultimately, in case it becomes required, we should be prepared to send free-Internet providing and pamphlets popularizing our websites behind enemy lines, both from adjacent territories (especially for places outside USA and Australia), and via the internationally traveling migrant population.

- **Avoid from acting, or being perceived to act against the legitimate sex trade or sex-for-favors market in general.** Note the specific situation where such an inappropriate, illegitimate and excessive zeal on behalf of the Elena Ceausescu – the wife of former Romanian leader Nicolae Ceausescu – who aimed to impose a prohibition on prostitution and sex-for-favors trade was actively leveraged by the Western-backed coupe plotters to gain support within the state security service Securitate, within the military and within communist party’s central brio in preparation for their 1989 coupe. Note how such prohibitive policies on vital, legitimate and healthy practices, including having them falsely associated with sexual slavery, were actually encouraged and enforced viciously by the coupe plotters and their network of traitors, particularly to generate more dissent within power groups and within the population at large, in order to set the stage for their ‘color revolution’.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- **The current context allows for this strategy to move forward immediately.** In some very limited sense, signals from RT.com and SputinkNews.com (eg. related to Clinton’s ‘pay-to-play’ schemes) hint that some parts of it may already be in motion.
- Use as preamble to Strategy 3. Also have them run in parallel.
- Greatly supports Strategies 2, 3 and 10.
- Serves as a means to gain internal support and weaken resistance at home for strategy 4.
- Correlates with Strategy 1: Reconciliation is used to “collect the some of the fruits” of this strategy, by allowing former enemies to become friends or allies.

## **6. Form national and multilateral secure strategic kernels. Set up collaboration and contact networks that go beyond regular channels. Support each other in time of need. Span politically disjoint action groups.**

#### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Goal: To ensure strategic safety of vital leaders, liaisons and experts,** required for the successful continuation of war operations, including after the New Victory Day. **Improve secrecy of operations.**
- **Goal: Maintain operating capacity in face of limitations and risks** posed by total or partial compromising of formal state organs, by infiltration and military command or terrorist activity.
- **Goal: Prevent single-point of failure.** By spawning a number of autonomous and semiautonomous kernels of power, the potential compromise of a few does not destroy the general



war fighting capacity and does not alter the general strategic course of nations and peoples in the Free World. This is to prevent for example Gorbachev-like takeovers of power via coupes, soft coupes, terrorist attacks, assassinations, decapitations and the like. Also prevents political attacks by Western agents and their naïve collaborators from decapitating an entire strategic operations network: see example of Ahmadinejad and Soleimani.

- **Goal: Intelligence sharing.** Allows better control and flow of secret information, preventing it from falling into enemy hands, while ensuring adequate and timely dissemination where it is needed. Support the **need-to-know** principle and expands upon it.
- **Goal: Recruitment.** Formalizes informal (non-official) recruitment of new joiners from Us into central operations, allowing induction and appropriate on-going operational interaction and health-checking to occur the right way.

The core of the strategy:

- **Create several disjoint informal structures which are connected in a networked mesh, with imperfect information of one-another.** This is somewhat similar to the Freemasonry organizational culture – more precisely related to the numerous Masonic and Para-Masonic organizations which are intertwined and have partially overlapping memberships. As a side-note, the cryptographic Authentication and Authorization protocol described in [5] which allows multiple simultaneous authentications can play an illustrative exemplificative role in how some interactions between these kernels are to happen. Note that such kernels MUST NOT be masonic. Especially not the one controlled by the Western hegemonic elites (called Regular Freemasonry, English Freemasonry and the like – having as chief spokesperson some UK prince). Unlike the Regular Freemasonry, such a network will comprise formal and actual leaders, acting in legitimate and moral ways, with objectives broadly supported by the general population, including formally via electoral processes – and which, are, in themselves, worthy of Our ways. These kernels should not focus on long term personal development, education and integration into Our ways, Our values, or into Us. Also, they should not be materialized via those independent practices of the Masonic craft by some of Us - which many prominent people from Us, like Russian President Vladimir Putin and the author himself are not currently doing, by the way. They should more closely resemble some type of elite military intelligence units, with people of good character, proper skills and intrinsic dedication to Our cause can function – independent of participation, membership or lack thereof in some of Our other initiatives or structures.
- **Use formal state power to secure and favor the operations of the strategic kernels.** Formal as well as effective control over state power in the territories of the Free World should be used to grant security, resources and legitimacy to such kernels.
- **Ensure actions of kernels are accountable. Act secretly where required but within national laws** – make appropriate legislative changes and adopt required administrative acts to ensure the proper legal framework where such is not already present.
- **Make some kernels international. Leverage international networks within the Free World to support one another** practically (timely and appropriate intelligence sharing, mutual coordination, resource allocation, etc.) – both in time of need (eg. threat of terrorism, coupes, or internal political traitorship) and during important strategic operations. Use also for health-assessments and traitor tracing. It will be ideal for some kernels to have international membership also: Russian-Chinese. Chinese-Iranian-Venezuelan, etc.
- **Take adequate measure to ensure dedication of the kernels and of its members to Our cause.** Take appropriate remedial actions where organizational drift – such as complacency, thoughts of promoting a perpetual status quo of war with the enemy, thoughts of reaching peace with the enemy, traitorship, overconfidence in one's infallibility, negligence in operations or recruitment, war weariness, tiredness, shifting spectrum of personal priorities, etc. Make such





remedial actions appropriate – but do not shy away from drastic ones where needed: exclusions, dissolving of kernels, legal or military persecution of traitors, and so on. Such kernels are meant to further Our ways, and support legitimate state policy, not be above it.

#### Comments:

- Existence of such kernels should be adequately and appropriately explained to the general population. The impression of secretive betrayal or parallel government should be prevented. There should be popular support within the general population for this Strategy and the general approach in this regard.
- One kernel should be named "Central Committee".

#### Crucial Details:

- **Learn and roll-out the lessons learnt from the formation and operation of the Russian strategic kernel which was involved in the successful Reunification of Crimea into the Russian Federation.** Especially of the people involved. Use such strategic operations to label colorings of high confidence on such sets of people. Also learn from operative practices (eg. using typewriters instead of laptops, etc.).
- **Learn and roll-out the lessons learnt from the operations of the Bolivarian kernels and cores across South and Central America.** Take particular note to the ones involved in the successful rescue and return of Rafael Correa from Ecuador into Mexico and back.
- **Leverage processes associated within friendly international organizations such as CSTO, SCO, EAEU and others.** Make appropriate use of diplomatic immunity and anti-terrorist cooperation accords.
- **People more closely involved with secret services and counter-intelligence more readily understand both the need and some specifics of this strategy.**

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Avoid the intrinsic secrecy associated with kernels from turning this into a nest for traitorship for the West.** Disband kernels where such a risk is manifest. Persecute or subject to Strategy 4 cases where traitorship were to actually occur (these should be astronomically rare). Generally nurture a culture of mutual trust. It is expected that we would be among Us there, almost entirely. Trust but verify.
- **Avoid economic waste.** Avoid having membership of such kernels turn into ways to "get rich fast", to get fame or power without any actual meaningful general or specific contribution. Have separate kernel-like structures for payoff schemes – including pension, Reconciliation incomings, non-Us scientists and engineers, and so on.
- **Prevent trivialization (bagatelization) of such kernels.** These should be very elitist – and generally related to some specific task or general operation. Situation where "everyone who is anyone" expects to "get in" "sooner or later" must be avoided (nb. this is a specific organizational drift of the Western-run Regular Freemasonry currently). Avoid excessive membership: kernels should be small, likely most under 20 people; and just a handful of 100-1000; also, there shouldn't be too many kernels – up to 100 sounds like a nice round figure, with likely 10 or so being the most relevant.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- Advancements with this strategy should be a prerequisite to Strategy 4.
- It should act as a support for Strategies 3, 5, 7 and 10.



**7. Elaborate and announce core details of the Multipolar World. Make this vision fascinating, generally understandable and inclusive. Leverage memory of life in the USSR. Include the economic benefits from eliminating the Western elites in this vision. Issue money against it.**

Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Goal: Make it Clear that We are fighting for Paradise – not revenge.** People are much more motivated by positive goals and messages. And more firmly and sustainably so: unlike motivation of personal survival or revenge, which falters faster and easier when difficulties arise, and when bribes are offered for capitulation. So let Us tell describe to the people Paradise in some greater detail, so they understand it.
- **Goal: Bring into focus what will happen AFTER our Victory and make people rationally interested in its dawn.** Acquiring and maintaining the support We need - political, scientific and military, to sustain the war effort and achieve Victory the way We want it, depends to a significant extent on what is to follow: especially for current power holders, scientists and engineers, and Reconciled/Reconcilable persons and groups. Let Us offer them people a personal and direct stake in Paradise. The West already does this informally for their acolytes with regards to their proposed dystopia.
- **Goal: Make it harder for traitor groups to act – especially politically.** They would be openly going against both the popular and the elite sentiment, and appear to be anachronistic and against the flow of time. They would be acting against Paradise.
- **Goal: A consequence of Announcing Paradise is an expected large scale and profound enthusiasm resulting in a brief 2-4 years golden age of mobilization,** where industrial, economic, scientific and political output is expected to grow 3x-10x.
- **Goal: Finance Our operations by selling shares of the future.** Unlike the Western hegemony, We can make it clear that We are selling the future. But the hard certainty of a few million currency units in the bank – even if their prospective spending value is in the future does wonders for morale and motivations. Wonders unequalled by 10x-fold larger vague promises that “Paradise will be fun” for them “once it is there”. The Americans understood this during their civil war – and, because they won it, the currency they issued against their prospective value actually gained concrete value. And, it is a matter of military history that they would not have been able to win without resorting to this form of financing (nb. Which was unfortunately later speculated by the enemy to establish the Federal Reserve and take control of the monetary policy).

The core of the strategy:

- **Announce Paradise. Emphasize Multipolarism.** Make the vision positive, yet realistic. Make it clear how things will evolve procedurally from the current state of affairs to the future one. Some elements which can be mentioned:
  - **Emphasize Multipolarism.** Maintenance of nation-states: no global ruler, but further positive international integration and collaboration – voluntary and respectful of the interests of all “poles” involved.
  - **Emphasize cultural multipolarism, liberty, peace, social relaxation and economic and political inclusiveness more prominently.** Clarify how people will largely be able to each live the way they want to, which will mean different things for different people. That We do not and will not try to impose “a single way of life”, or “dictate what is moral or healthy” for the rest. Mention that Mafia and slavery will be forbidden and abolished and general security. Practically emphasize that We are a peoples of many cultures: from Iran to China, to Tatarstan, to Chibouk, to Russian, to Native Americans, to Romanians. And that,



unlike in the Western hegemony – we each live by our own cultures: not under the economic and social rule of a hegemon, imposing cultural homogeneity and social isolation, like in the USA.

- **Scientists/Engineers owned small private communities and towns.** This will stir up interest from many both young and already accomplished scientists and engineers. And, given the vast territory We have in east Russia – it will more importantly, **seem as something practically realistic to them, regardless of the timing of the war.** Emphasize the liberty and self-determination they would enjoy in such small communities, for which they will own the land. Counter to the Western situation where the few such projects are actually controlled by the political elite and their acolytes, such as Musk – and where the actual life scientists and engineers would be subject to the same harassments and abusive legislative limitations which are already prevalent in the USA, UK and EU.
- **Stress inclusiveness and justice.** Hint how humanity as a whole could benefit from advances in science and medicine (hint at biological immortality) – versus the western genocidal dystopia followed by humans cultivated as controlled cattle.
- **Mention expansion of humanity into space.** As simple as it sounds. Stress that it is Our scientists which helped the Us space program post-1990. Stress that We have technological and scientific superiority over the West. Then fascinate the people with the prospect of exploring and reaching the stars. Finally, repeat the idea “Who will you want to speak for Earth?”
- **Make the vision inclusive and TRUTHFUL.** This largely means for people from Us, and of Us from the World People’s Web – which in itself is an inclusive and meritocratic group. Mention the prominent, respectful and inclusive place for scientists and engineers – mention that they represent less than 0.1% of the population – so their needs can be efficiently covered economically with no problem, *once the Western hegemonic elite is eliminated.* Hint at, and offer political and military involvement for them. Leverage the example of Iran, where they made some top scientists military generals. Address both generically, and in specific instances concretely, the places current leaders and power holders will hold in Paradise, as well as those of to-be Reconciled parties. Mention that the concrete vision is evolving – and that many details will be clarified and dictated by how the people and various parties themselves behave and act as part of the war effort.
- **Make the vision tangible by signing contracts, agreements and issuing formal financial instruments which grant economic and political benefits to people and groups.** Tie such agreements to advancements in the War situation. Time many benefits – but not all! – for after the New Victory Day. Give sufficient and satisfactory benefits until then also. Do not over-negotiate deals with strategic groups which are in a poor negotiating position, or who are inherently poor negotiators (such as scientists and engineers). **Take the Chinese New Silk Road initiative as an example** on how to form, negotiate, pack deals and involve parties practically via concrete arrangements. **A crucial point is to make such initiatives inclusive.** Avoid having them perceived as referring just to the big, connected capitalists owners (even if a small fraction of the lower ranking ones such as Musk and Page – could very well be competent scientists and engineers themselves). Make big deals with common-man scientists, engineers and entrepreneurs. Target tourism and hospitality as well. Leverage the latter, where appropriate as an ideal replacement for sex slavery and illegitimate sexual exploitation. If only “famous people” are perceived to be able to “get contracts” for building and operating Paradise, then all people of interest will be much more frequently and easily recruited by the West then by Us. Furthermore perfidly, the West might then have Us pay this talent via such contracts going through their companies (whom they would present as ‘independent’ or ‘aligned with Us’), so that the scientific, engineering, military and so on





talent that we seek to attract, would actually falsely believe it was actually such agents of the Western hegemonic elites that “got them the deals” and “to whom they should be thankful”.

- **Issue money and other financing instruments against the vision.** Sell stakes in the future, in Our future. Leverage vast existing natural, territorial and technological resources We already have as much as possible. But also, create appropriate financial instruments to cover the added economic resources resulting from advancement of the war operations: destruction of Western monopoly over markets, dissolution of restrictive social laws, reduction and elimination of costs related to the existence of mafia groups, territorial expansion, increase in security, and, of course, labor from war prisoners captured both on and before the New Victory Day.
- **Leverage memory of life in the USSR.** Mention that work load and mobilization were high at the time of the USSR – yet people still lived enormously better than they do now in the Western-bloc: use graphic examples of Eastern Europe and the EU in general. Mention, in appropriate ways, that USSR was overtaken by Western-aligned traitors, and that this was the source of many absurd real or perceived social imperfections. Also leverage the memories of the early revolutionary times: 1910-1945. Make people – both in the Free World and in the Western-bloc – remember and also taste Victory and liberty.
- **Emphasize liberty and love above all. Emphasize space exploration and colonization.** Provide a tangible, palatable vision of the future that will make people think and feel now already of how they will live and what will preoccupy them after the New Victory Day. Clarify that the main aspect of it is that people will live in peace and liberty. And that this is worth fighting for – and that, given modern advances (including in medicine related to lifespan and quality of life) – this will be much better than it is currently even imaginable. Then have leverage space exploration to make them feel a sense of urgency to “get over the current transient nuisance” of having to depose the Western hegemonic elites and win the war. Make them so eager for this vision to become current, that they will feel eager to have the war effort advance, in spite of risks, difficulties and discomforts, and feel frustrated at how slow things are going in that regard.
- **Periodically contrast Our vision with the Western dystopia of human slaves grown agriculturally and control by technology.** It is amazing how many people take it for granted that a Paradise such as We are building – were also “what the West were offering, more or less”. They need to be firmly and graphically reminded of unpleasant truth. Make it clear to all that the competing Western dystopia is all encompassing – that most of its 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> echelons of power will also be subjected to it unfavorably.

#### Comments:

- The vision of the Multipolar World refers not only to the civilian general population. Do not over **Do not forget to include and involve existing power holders, favorable power groups and reconcilable parties.**
- **Focus on Multipolarism by being friendly and communicative to new “poles”.** These will be coming in from various backgrounds, and many will lack the experience and practice of being powerful and of interacting with diplomats and officials. But some may be very handy with a gun – or with the intricacies of building a nuclear rocket engine. Learn to communicate with them. The West is already well versed at this.
- Brief reminders of examples of monumental art such as bridges, tunnels, and buildings built by German prisoners at the end of World War 2 could serve handy.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Clarify strategic elements of Our vision, but do not get lost in excessive details of how all things will be** – since this, and especially the timing of their enactment, will be dependent on the concrete advancements in the war effort.
- **Leverage the resultant massive support and mobilization to achieve concrete internal**



**and external objectives.** Leverage this enthusiasm in actual hostilities where appropriate. But do not let it go to waste. Also, do not let people become disillusioned with apparent lack of progress, or lack of actions to do on their side.

- **Clearly differentiate from the Western response.** The West will respond by coming up with a campaign to brand 'their vision' (which will be a perfid lie of course – not the actual dystopia they envision) almost indiscernible in form to Ours. Use concrete examples, testimonials, concrete network influence – to make the actual precipice between Our vision and their deceptive messages obvious to all. Use friendly media and the World People's Web in this regard. Expose western lies – in a way which bites.
- **Be honest about financial instruments issued.** Correlate titles of value with actual counterside financing resulting from Our own resources, from opening of markets, overtaking of Western interests, security improvements and so on. But be blunt about them being correlated with the War effort and inextricably tied to Victory, where this is the case. Expose how to West issues money against a vision they cannot support: and how they are already in process of defaulting on numerous promises since their vision of conquering Us is untenable.
- **Hint at the Future Leaders track.** Make it clear that some "poles" of our Multipolar world vision, as well as many beneficiaries and respected leaders will emerge from within what is now enemy territory – from within the World People's Web. Mention how some will be leaders and stakeholders both on territories where they operate now, and some also globally. Make Our vision inclusive in this regards as well – especially for scientist and engineers, but not only! And explain that it will be very easy for Us to keep our economic promises to the vast population – since not exploiting them does not cost Us anything: already now, they are exploited and used as "cheap labor" to support sexual exploitation and political power by the Western hegemonic elite's structures – not by Us. Simply exploiting them less or not at all is no expense to Us. Finally, support our World People's Web, by encouraging the general population behind enemy lines to get actively involved with this Web, to support and finance its operations and its leaders and to understand that "liberty cannot be given as a gift – it needs to be earned".

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Make it clear that Victory is mandatory for achieving the vision of the Multipolar World.** People cannot "lay back" and "delect themselves dreaming of how beautiful Paradise will be". To the contrary – they, both from the general population and from within exiting power groups and organs – need "get their hands dirty" and "get outside, into the cold mud", and fight to achieve Victory. Also, make it clear that such practical contributions to the war effort are current and imminent – and to be done personally by them – and right away – not in some hypothetical distant future.
- **Avoid having messages and agreements which are forms devoid of essence.** Avoid falling into the trap of having a vision full of 'communist propagandistic platitudes' – as they will be presented by the Western-bloc media and their agents. Recognize and guard against the fact many infiltrators and traitors on Our territories will try to both make Our vision be perceived that way, and also to sabotage or derail concrete arrangements and deals – especially early on, so that it will appear to be immaterial in practice also.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- It is good to combine this with Strategy 3. These strategies are mutually supportive.
- This Strategy can provide political can military premises to ensure success of operations part of Strategy 4. It should be leveraged in this way.



## **8. Support the World People's Web practically by providing them with safe venues, secure communications (including satellite) and engage with them in intelligence exchanges. Leverage them as a truthful media voice behind enemy lines.**

### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Goal: Prevent Our people from the World People's Web, and the civilian population at large in the Western-bloc from becoming victims of genocide** – including forced sterilization or delayed death, like in the case of Hugo Chavez.
- **Goal: Conserve the ability of the members of the World People's Web to act.** Guard them against imprisonment (with or without charge), limitation of the freedom to communicate (especially among themselves), socialize or engage in commerce (with each other in particular, but also with Us).
- **Goal: To shield Our friends in the World People's Web from Western surveillance and police repression as much as possible.** This will serve to broaden their strategic ability to act without degrading interference.
- **Goal: To prevent West from being able to roll-out cloning and in-vitro cultivation for slavery purposes on its territory.** And thus be denied the political and economic benefits to them such a move would involve.
- **Goal: To collect OSINT leveraging our network from the World People's Web.**
- **Goal: To collect details on social-economic situation and specific groups and people within the Western block, which may not be fully or accurately available from OSINT sources.** This includes intel on various members of the World People's Web itself as well. To allow us to be able to correlate it with other intel and thus help Our friends root out traitors, infiltrators and saboteurs early on. But it also pertains to information which may lead us to discover dark sites, financial and operational circuits for production, growth and consumption of sex slavery, to dark sites for other purposes (like production of biological weapons – like in Georgia), or commission of other acts which are otherwise not possible in the strongholds of the Western elites themselves. It could also help Us understand moving patterns, fallback and continuity of operations plans and locations for the Western elite (eg. like those involving Romania), as well as other things – all this, based on information which would otherwise seem innocuous (and thus be lightly guarded) on enemy territory.

### The core of the strategy:

- **Support the World People's Web in the field of IT and communications.** Help them acquire and make proper use of spyware free electronic equipment (laptops, mobile phones), free, uncensored and less monitored internet connections and venues (ie. satellite internet for physical connections, but also mailboxes like mail.ru hosted within the jurisdiction of Our member states of the Free World). **Teach members of the World People's Web how to develop and maintain human-based communication networks** – both online and offline. **Leverage the lessons learned from the Bolivarian motorcycle messengers in Venezuela**, instrumental in the defeat of the 2004 coupe attempt against Our President, Hugo Chavez.
- **Support the World's People Web in the field of media and networking.** Help the proper stories they break out, as well as some of their regular online and offline venues gain popularity and achieve tie virality where appropriate. Leverage friendly media venues, (such as Russia Today), both formal an informal to this end. Also leverage contacts and intelligence We have to help appropriate members of the World People's Web discover and connect with one another.
- **Engage in both formal and informal intelligence exchanges with the World People's Web.** Gather intelligence as described in the above Goal. Share intelligence with them, both





formally and informally, regarding: (i) counterintelligence assessments of their colleagues and peers (their motivations, degree of loyalty, etc.) – reveal known traitors and infiltrators in their midst; (ii) aspects of Western-bloc strategies which might immediately affect them or put them at risk; (iii) OSINT pertaining to Western formal processes – especially legislative and administrative (like changing people), which We can analyze formally via our dedicated agencies in this regard, but for which the World People’s Web lacks the manpower – this can, for example, help them prepare to protest and gather support against some proposed legislation (eg. police laws, trade agreements which buy out some new acolytes, measures favoring exploitation of sexual slavery, measures favoring genocide – like forced vaccinations, etc.); (iv) other aspects of interest.

- **Provide the World People’s Web with open source materials on basic aspects of counterintelligence, and specifically on current Western methods and practices used to infiltrate and disrupt social movements.** A few elementary examples in this regard include: (i) the nazi vocal disruptor ‘who happens to show up without knowing much’; (ii) the mutually supportive but openly unrelated group of infiltrators; (iii) the simulated conflict between two ‘prominent’ infiltrators to make the victim support and defer to one of them; (iv) the agent asking-for-money-to-appear “outside the system” and gain confidence; (v) honey trapping – particularly online; (vi) the hordes of ‘devoted supporters’ and ‘long time, experienced activists’ which quickly approach ‘to join you’ when you start something, only to later practice EEE or try to manipulate the you into taking a ‘moderate approach’, devoid of any actual usefulness; (vii) the undercover provocateur who is himself protected from prosecution by under-cover statues when openly committing illegal acts; (ix) the hysterical ‘omg, we are all under FBI surveillance! disband now before they get us!’ disruptor; (x) the ‘spiritual’ ‘open-minded’ disruptor speaking about aliens on earth, lizards’ or ‘anunaki’ ‘conspiracies’, the afterlife, the paranormal and usually narcotic drugs – and insisting these are ‘obviously’ connected to your movement - so as to disrupt, waste time, and also chase away new joiners; (xi) the Facebook and phone threats and spams from unknown numbers late at night; (xii) the ‘your friend’ infiltrator who gifts you electronics which install spyware on your personal devices, manipulates you to install them yourself, or convinces you to ‘let him help you with your computer’ so he can install them directly; (xiii) mocking and labeling as ‘conspiracy theorist’; (xiv) threats of psychiatric commitment; (xv) threats of job loss; (xvi) denigration, shaming and threats with sexual connotations from strangers or recent acquaintances – ie. implying you were a pedophile/homosexual/immoral person for consuming prostitution/impotent/a rapist, usually combined with the ‘fake call from the violent jealous boyfriend’ or ‘sudden threat of criminal complaint for sexual harassment’ components; (xvii) the ‘fake cooption deal proposal’– implied fake promises of financial advantages ‘if you quit what you are doing’; (xviii) actual recruitment proposals – eg. into Western Regular Freemasonry; (xix) online and phone-based death threats; and, of course, (xx) assassination attempts resulting in your ‘accidental’ death or poisoning - especially with psychiatric commitment consequences.
- **Organize international conferences, events and other venues (eg. commercial) where members of the World People’s Web can interact with Us and which each other on friendly territory.** Have such events on the territories of the Free World member states. Avoid overspending. Offer some trainings of a general nature and leverage the train of trainers approach. Use such events as team building opportunities as well. Assume participation to these will generally include infiltrators and spies in the audience, so take adequate measures to instruct and protect genuine members of Our World People’s Web to this regard.
- **Grant safe harbors for resting / creative activities for some members of the World People’s Web, free of charge to them.** They cannot possibly be expected to currently have means of getting sufficient monetary resources, given their opposition to the Western system, actively manifested from within the territory of the Western block. So far, the author has been



extended this courtesy only in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela. My salutations and gratitude to Our companieros from Caracas with this occasion. Other states from the Free World should join into this practice. And it should also be expanded in scope, while remaining temporary in nature in general: avoiding thus economic waste and drawing attention away from war fighting for too long.

- **Provide limited covert financing. Provide ample open financing via commercial contracts with friendly and adequate members of the World People's Web. Leverage Chinese USD currency reserves in this regard.** Teach members of the World People's Web how to use Accumulators – be practical about approaches adjusted to their context. Explain the obviousness and the resulting difficulties – both legal and in terms of political image for them, if We were to openly finance them directly on political grounds, and how such arrangements would be quickly factually terminated by the ruling hegemons, regardless of their legality. **Avoid economic waste, but cover basic needs.** People should not worry too much about rent or food, nor about occasional paid sex (when cannot otherwise be arranged in barter mode or as contributions to war efforts from within their own networks). On the other hand, they should not aim buying a house or plan extensive tropical vacations on such subsidies.
- **Hint at the Future Leaders track.** The approach was described more amply in the respective Detail point of Strategy 7 above. Make the distinction between Our vision in this regard and the Western approach (eg. via Soros networks, Ivy League education lie, etc.) clear. Extend and diversify programs like "Leaders of Russia" [7] more amply.

#### Comments:

- **Members of the World People's Web should be taught to arrange financial flows adequate for self-financing of operations in the Western bloc.** Have them have those of them working at corporations, or in other positions paying above living cost, to contribute some money regularly for the purposes of the World People's Web and its operations. **Their ability to support operations without external financing from Us is vital and is also a crucial advantage and opportunity.** This strategy is also feasible: most actual jobs in the West pay significantly over living costs, but insufficient to have the workers improve their condition in the long run significantly (eg. buying a home in a better neighborhood, saving enough to quit working, etc.). Most of such free cash is then wasted on other corporate run artificial sink holes: consumer goods, drinks & food in boring bars, propaganda entertainment, new car, etc. Even if just 30-50% of this free cash was directed regularly to supporting Our more prominent members of the World People's Web who are either too caught up in operations to have the time to also work a Western job, or are, like the author for example, too famous in their active opposition to the Western hegemonic elite to be able to get a good Western job easily, then this will be a huge growth for Us, and will allow Us to operate almost fully behind enemy lines.
- **Further general strategic ideas about what Our friends in the World People's Web should do themselves – and how** – to startup, grow and operate their own networks can be found in the dedicated section further down in this report.

#### Crucial Details:

- Many details have been discussed as part of the Core points themselves above.
- **When openly financing members of the World People's Web from our territories, this should often happen via commercial contracts with our non-military entities** (eg. Huawei, maybe TikTok, etc.). Such contracts should generally happen at market prices, thus making this practice very hard to curtail by the Western elites via legislation and sanctions. "Market prices" are already overly inflated in the West as part of their own financial money laundering and siphoning schemes so that, when granted directly to Our friends as company owners (as opposed to employees for some Western agent owner), they should prove sufficient. This approach should be more feasible than what was attempted by PDVSA in USA some years ago, by announcing "charity



support” on a large scale to families who could not afford energy charges. Although the latter approach should be tried again and again as well, and leveraged wherever possible.

- **Leverage essay contests, Art Exhibitions, Scientific Awards and the like as venues for some semi-overt financing to some of Our Accumulators. Explore financing scientific projects.** Contests, especially “of ideas”, can be leveraged effectively to this end. The prize money could be granted by one of Our Accumulators on home territory, or by a (potentially unsuspecting) intermediary, which has been appropriately processed. Additionally, consider “not-for-profit” financing of scientific research and projects, leveraging the fact Western elites already use of their respective foundations or other Accumulators to buy and payoff their own acolytes and collaborators this way – making such practices harder for them to ban.
- **We must seek to break control over distribution and supply networks.** Ideally Russian/Iranian/Venezuelan oil should be shipped to areas of consumption by Our friends, or by independent (but non corruptible!) actors, all throughout the chain. Similarly all the necessities of life, as well as those of living should be flow from production to consumption via networks which are not perturbable or destroyable by the enemy. A simple junction such as control over the online food ordering apps could make the enemy deny food to all of Us by blocking our suppliers there.
- **When providing open external financial support, we should not be overly specific in having it reach Our friends exclusively.** If 20%, or 30%, or, in some very rare cases, even if 90% of it reaches the enemy, *so long as what is required reaches Our friends in the World People’s Web also*, the manageable waste above should not concern Us too much (among other things western currency will become worthless after the New Victory Day anyway). This will allow for some better strategies: like giving out contracts (or charity) to ALL suppliers (social groups) of whatever-it-is-Our-friends-are-producing in some areas or market niche (specific situation). This serves to make it harder for the West to block Our aid from reaching Us, and also serves to obfuscate and in some cases mask who Our friends actually are, from within the mass of beneficiaries.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Avoid having some members of the World People’s Web do only modest contributions and then aim to comfortably (or even luxuriously) retire to live on some territory of the Free World.** In particular, avoid it appearing to them that they will be paid for their contributions to Our cause (which is of course also theirs) – and that they, like they were conditioned by the Western system, can just “do enough of it and then retire somewhere”, not caring about what the actual ultimate effects of those initiatives was. Similarly, avoid it that they become too dependent on contacts with Us for leadership and initiative. It must clear that Our presence and resources on the territories of the Free World are for support purposes – not to win the war within their territories of operation in their place: that they are not “working for Us” – more like We are working for them -, and We and Them actually share the common cause, and it is this reason why we fight *together*, and that we support them in their fight, since it is also Ours, but they do not “work” for Us, nor should they aim for “retirement checks” unrelated to the New Victory Day. Also, systematic case by case adjustments and exceptions should be occasionally made to this general approach. Especially in the context of the point below.
- **Be wary of members of the World People’s Web experiencing war weariness.** Particularly those who have reached or surpassed their middle age, and might have grown bored with fighting and somewhat frustrated to see how their better years are gone and “there is so little time left” to enjoys the pleasantries of life. These people could easily fall victims to real or, most often, illusory cooption attempts by the Western elites, and become traitors or simply quit. I have personally encountered such a hurt companiero in 2020 in Caracas, who was, due to his war weariness, advocating that “maybe we should make a deal with the Rothschilds, rather than try to eliminate





them". His attitude was a perfect example of the "race to the bottom" the West generally hopes of the people they acquire. He was a good companionero – and I am confident he will get back on his feet quickly. But do not neglect such aspects. Do you think that, in such a state, if he was offered 2 sex slave girls and an apartment in Florida, that he was able to betray on Our plans or network – so as to have some leader of Ours assassinated, like they did to Motorola and Grihvni? Besides specific encouragements, external financing and internal culture within the World People's Web should be such, so that such matters are dealt with and minimized both in breadth and scope.

Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- This strategy has no major prerequisites and its implementation should be accelerated.
- The World People's Web are envisioned to be involved directly in the executions of Strategies 2, 5, 7, 9, 10 and 11.
- The World People's Web is expected to play an increasingly prominent role in later stages of active military operations, and during cleanup.

**9. Expand state strategy to include military action in case of "genocidal" risks against own nationals, residents and speakers. Roll-out and expand the strategy used in Ukraine and Syria.**

Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Goal: Prevent the genocide against Our friends and would-be friends in the World People's Web.** Same as the homologue Goal of Strategy 7 above.
- **Goal: Conserve the ability of the members of the World People's Web to act.** Same as the homologue Goal of Strategy 7 above.
- **Goal: Prevent the Western hegemonic elite from nazi-like purges and genocides aimed at changing the demographic composition of the population,** into favoring mindless brutes, weak characters and people with a history of obedience. Include forced sterilizations and malign genome or other hereditary editing as genocide.
- **Goal: Position the Free World and be perceived as a fully independent, powerful and sustainable alternative to the Western-backed dystopia.**

The core of the strategy:

- **Make it a matter of public policy that the Free World will intervene to protect its nationals, residents and speakers against genocide, through military force** – including on enemy territories (including NATO members). Send appropriate political, diplomatic and military messages in this regard.
- **Expand the policy beyond protection against genocide, to other fundamental human rights, particularly in the context of commerce and trade (with Us and between themselves).** Break the monopoly that Western hegemonic elites impose on trading via their structures. Leverage existing Western officially declared policies and laws in this regard. Defend the policy militarily in significant cases.
- **Use the Syria and Ukraine approach to educating against crimes on civilians.** Namely use punctual military strikes to kill perpetrators of terrorist, genocidal and repressive actions, shortly after they commit or try to commit such deeds crossing Our red lines. Be proportional, but firm and decisive – just like in Syria. Expand benefits of military operations via soft power and diplomacy. **Do not sign any formal agreements in this regards though.** They would be worthless pieces of paper. So long as the enemy behaves in this regard, in a Pavlovic-like fashion he will that We will show restraint also.

Comments:



- **Diplomatically, such efforts can be presented and factually focus on things like “protecting Russian speakers” and the like.** The Baltics’ fascist governments are so far relatively well contained in this way. Expand the term “Russian speaker” to anyone above A1 level.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Announce and adopt the strategy at the official level.** Announce it through official channels and enact appropriate legal and administrative acts. For example, in Russia, asking the permission of the Federation Council to use troops abroad. Use this latter practice as a “red line” signal. When needed, act on it militarily – this is absolutely required. Otherwise the enemy will just mimic restraint.
- **Popularize the strategy via friendly media.** It is good that Our friends and civilians behind enemy lines know they have powerful friends. And also that they know from whom their “good fortunes” of a restrained enemy are coming from.
- **Increase power of Our World People’s Web by involving them as public intelligence sources for retaliatory military strikes as part of this strategy.** They are weaponless and defenseless at their homes – but the idea that what they say may determine the targets of our airstrikes and military operations, may and often (not always) will make the enemy think twice about going too far on them. This practice has been tested against Israel in Palestine. Also, it will give a strong political impetus for civilians and the general population to actively support Our World People’s Web.
- **Support the strategy’s rollout via diplomatic channels.** Make it clear that this is effective and firm state policy. And not a bluff or a marketing charm initiative.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Keep up military pressure.** The immediate response to this strategy will be making lives of civilians even worse (on the model of Israel). We should not fall for this tactic and instead keep up military pressure. The enemy – especially low- to mid- ranking commanders, will give in shortly: these are people motivated not by ideology, truth or any higher goals, but by personal greed and self-interest. They will resent dying for their rulers and will quickly fall into compliance. The Western elites will also threaten them with death if they do not continue with the genocide – but, ultimately, facing certain death by Our militaries, they will back down and – the Western elites will also tune down the pressure on them: they do not wish to kill all their own acolytes and commanders. This has been adequately tested in Syria and Ukraine.
- **Do not overreach with protection demands.** Target especially the low- and mid- ranking as well as field commanders of the enemy. Give them the clear idea that they will be able to save their lives by complying with Our red lines. That we will not impose unrealistic or excessive red lines, uncorrelated to the military situation.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- **Internal stability on the territories of Free World member states should be adequate.** Note that enacting this strategy further enhances Our internal stability, by giving the enemy more problems on which to focus at home and by great political marketing advantages.
- **The window for enacting this strategy is urgent. The Western genocide is already in progress,** and, respectively, in advanced stages of preparations on most of their territories. Excessive and abusive restrictive measures imposed related to covid can be regarded as the final exercise of their repressive organs and civil administration to this end.
- **Strategy 1 can be leveraged within this strategy.** Use Reconciliation, especially the promise thereof, and, more rarely, exclusion therefrom, as a tool to further enhance and expand cooperation. Graphically float the experience with the Latakia Reconciliation Center via appropriate channels.



- **Strategies 13, 15 and 16 greatly contribute to ensuring the success of this strategy.**

**10. Setup alternative international bodies to rival Western ones. Denounce Western control over some international organizations. Reaffirm priority of national law over international bodies. Do not withdraw from UN but denounce its relevant organs as a Western controlled.**

Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **The Western elites generally try to exploit their control over “public discourse” to expand their political reach and influence on Our territories, and to affect Our public policymaking to their advantage.** This includes “political discourse” (particularly in the fields of human rights, “free elections standards” and “economic assistance” practices) but also “scientific discourse” (for example on topics of medicine, climate change and economics). More importantly, they try to impose the idea that public policy should be essentially reliant on some ‘impartial, independent, international bodies and organizations’ which should ‘set the tone’, which are, in fact, clearly controlled by the Western hegemonic elites (even when populated partially by genuine scientists).
- **Goal: Deny specific UN bodies and other western controlled international organizations the ability to act as a ‘source of truth’.** In particular abolish the customary practice to automatically base public policy on, and defer “matters of factual appraisal” to the ‘subject matter experts’ from IAEA, CWC, WHO, ‘international scientific community’, ‘reputable studies’ being paid for semi-openly by the Western elites and their Accumulators and the like. This refers mainly to Our own territories, but can be expanded beyond.
- **Goal: Make it clear that there is a viable, durable, sustainable alternative to the Western dystopic model and to the Western elites.**
- **Goal: Make it clear that the Western hegemony and unipolarism have ended.** And that the days of the top Western elites themselves are numbered.
- **Goal: Prevent the Western elites from ‘managing’ international political process.** For example by denying visas for visiting the UN headquarters, by posing risks of poisonings and assassinations to visiting leaders (like that of the last Russian Ambassador to the UN which was assassinated on US soil), and by intimidations and payoffs for political mediatic purposes: like their influence within the UNGA (note the situation with the Argentinian vote there for instance).

The core of the strategy:

- **Denounce specific international organizations and UN bodies as western controlled, unscientific political organizations.** For example the WHO. Back such declarations with formal legislative and administrative acts. Maintain participation in others, like the UN Security Council.
- **Enact appropriate legislation at the national level to break reliance on communiques and result emanating from international organizations.** Support this legislation with appropriate factual, and schematic changes.
- **Legally declare Own scientific standards and standards setting organizations. Forbid systematic adoption of Western standards or overreliance on Western results or research.** This is the same as the homologous core point of Strategy 2.
- **Create and grow Own international bodies, which do not give prejudice to national sovereignty.** For example, in the framework of CSTO, SCO and EAEU.
- **Move the UN Headquarters to Sevastopol, Russia or Yalta, Russia.** This will resolve some visa issuing and assassination risks problems. It will also serve to more clearly popularize the





Crimean Reunification into the Russian Federation.

Comments:

- **Part of this strategy is already under implementation, with Russia recently and repeatedly, publically adopting formal legislation to affirm the supremacy of Russian law over international one.** This should be expanded to other areas. The “customary” practice of deferring to international standards and “international peer-reviewed scientific results” should also be targeted: both via appropriate legislation and via cultural and schematic changes.
- **Note the situation around Russian President Vladimir Putin and the Russian disease control authority,** when the latter had to be repeatedly asked ‘is it ok to have 10 national non-working days in May?’, ‘is it safe to have Victory Day parade?’ – despite obvious clarity to the fact, and deep unpopularity of covid restrictive measures (over 85-90% against’). The Western enemies leverage control over ‘international scientific discourse’, combined with full or partial compromise of some of Our existing state bodies (dating back to the 1990’s mostly), to make them give formal and somewhat demanding advise to Our leaders, policymakers and state organs. This puts such leaders in a very difficult position: especially in case where, like with covid, rejecting their false advice, can easily be presented in terms of the negative consequence it entails (eg. rising death toll). Avoiding of course, presentation of the overwhelming benefits granted by rejecting their mischievous advice, or the terrible harm adopting it would have caused. Expand on this situation and leverage it to ban the customary practice of having policymakers and enactors forced to defer to ‘scientific’ or ‘subject-matter experts’. The latter should convince the policymaker of the validity of their position, not the policymaker should defend and ‘prove them wrong’. There must be the customary practice that sometimes such advice is rejected. The people are not helpless retarded children who ‘cannot think for themselves’ or ‘do not know what is best for them’ in terms of taking risks or other matters. ‘Scientific experts’, especially those on Western payroll or hoping to get there, must not be allowed to dictate matters in any regard.
- **Note the situation about the ‘international investigation’ into the shooting down of Malayezia Airlines flight by CIA-backed Ukrainian terrorists over Donbass.** Leverage this as an example of perfid lies by ‘international scientific organizations’. Build on it and expand the practice to other cases. Leverage the example with ‘weapons of mass destruction in Iraq’ as well, once in a while.
- **Note the situation around involvement with CERN.** In particular, I suspect that the Russian side is not getting the appropriate benefits from its participation in the project – potentially up to having certain scientific results and data kept away deliberately. Furthermore, I suspect CERN is used to coopt and expand influence over scientific circles on Our territories – rather than the other way around. The structuring of participation in this project is not to my liking: having a central “common” body which is financed by all participants and which decides in the name of all is wrong: it is strongly biased towards the West. It is likely they use it to select “susceptible” scientists under the guise of ‘high academic achievement standards’ and ‘cultural fit’ from Our territories, and furthermore to boost their own infiltrators and traitors from within Our midst. International scientific-technical cooperation – particularly with the West, should take a more formal and segregated form: like that between Roscosmos and NASA. Also, knowledge sharing should happen more like verification procedures in nuclear disarmament as per START1 and START2 and less like “they will tell Us what they find out”. There should not be a unitary common body to make decisions, but, instead, the people involved and concrete participation to such projects should be decided formally by the responsible government agencies of the participating member nations on an ongoing basis. Also, it is preferred that, in the future, such projects be hosted on Our territories, and have the foreign scientists and engineers come to Us as guests, not the other way around. More so since western Regular Freemasonry rituals have been publically revealed to take place on



the territory of the CERN property. It is likely that Western hegemonic elites will try to tempt, corrupt and potentially blackmail some (but not all!) of Our participants scientists by treating them with sex slavery and attacking them with proposals of favorable financial arrangements via dedicated Western-block Accumulators such as the Nobel commission, grants from 'charitable foundations' and the like.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Avoid spending lavishly.** Most domestic measure should be seen as being implemented as a matter of customary public policy – mandatory. There should be no expected payoffs for "favoring the change": instead, resisters should be dealt with in the context of Strategy 4. In terms of international organizations, like relocation of UN Headquarters, some money should be allocated, but **economic waste must be prevented**: no need to create or expand biocratic bodies excessively, or allow the enemy to speculate that 'now that We are a hyper power,...'. Cover the necessities and don't be cheap about it, but avoid any overpayment in this regard – especially for non-contributions. Some positions though could be created as retirement payoff packages, or for Reconciled parties. These however should be paid for from separate budgets, administrated by the dedicated entities – part of Strategies 1, 2, 6 and 8, not this one.
- **Frame the initiative appropriately.** Maintain participation in some international bodies, like the UN, but denounce western control via intimidation, corruption and bribery of some of its organs, or portions thereof.
- **Make it customary practice that results and communiques emanating from international bodies will be examined and endorsed or rejected on case-by-case basis.** Devolve content emanating from such to advisory roles. Denounce political control and bias where appropriate. Avoid responding to every such communique: We are not some form of fact-checking peer-review body; we will respond where appropriate – else how, such communiques have no formal value on Our territories. Back this practice via appropriate legislation forbidding otherwise, and via schematic changes in Our home bodies, in corroboration with Strategies 2 and 4.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Be wary of reliance on Western controlled international organizations and 'scientific community' continuing as defacto customary practice, but only more informally so.** Combine with Strategy 4 where appropriate to root out and degrade traitors and resisters. Combine with Strategies 2, 3, 5 on some concrete practical matters.
- **Do not be excessively habotnic.** Some 'international scientific community' results are scientifically factually valid. Some standards (like many ISO-s for example) are not politically biased in themselves. Others, are only partially biased, or their interpretation and application via international organizations is biased. Avoid 'Galileo Galilei-like witch hunts'. But prevent this point from being used by the enemy on Our territories (eg. in fields of medicine, psychiatry, ecology) to, de facto, simply have Our domestic bodies mimic and just rephrase 'international' results. Where such results are factually valid, non-biased in formulation or interpretation, simply state so, and allow their *case-by-case* transference: But do not make such practices 'a matter of formality', nor 'default way to go'. And not "a customary starting point" either.
- **Be wary of newly opened international organization bodies in the midst of Our territories serving as a den of spies, a nest for traitors and a hub for spreading Western influence via payoffs and 'conferences'.** Contain and cordon off participation in, and involvement with such new arrivals appropriately.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- This strategy has no currently unmet prerequisites – and is already partially underway to being implemented.
- It correlates with and partially overlaps with Strategies 2, 3, 4 and 5.



- It has partial operational overlap to some extent with Strategy 6.
- Parts of this strategy can leverage the World People's Web as per Strategy 8.

**11. Nourish a truthful, courageous and effective media both internally and behind enemy lines. This includes safeguards against information overload. Denounce mainstream media both externally and internally. Encourage genuine people to publically denounce corruption and get actively involved against it.**

Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Goal: Maintain an effective, truthful and viral official media.** On the topic of official media, Russia Today, Ria Novosti and Sputnik News (except in some parts their regional offices, where some infiltration occurs) – and Rossia Segodnya as a whole, are stellar examples of how such things should be done and what the end-result should look like. The Iranian PressTV is another good example. Such examples should be rolled out to other countries, like China and Venezuela. Details: Avoid a "single direction discourse" media, with content devoid of any essence – seemingly functioning only to "laud the regime". Official media should be informative above all. Then, it should be official – not cover trivialities or 'reality tv' topics.
- **Goal: Develop and maintain an effective, truthful and viral informal media.** Informal media refers mainly to friendly venues, including independent bloggers, secondary TV and video-streaming channels, talk shows, documentaries and the like, which are not perceived to be "an official spokesperson" of state bodies and leaders – although their friendliness to Our cause can be obvious. Many of the current strategies have media components which are suitable to be discussed and disseminated via such informal channels.
- **Goal: Develop resilient and effective non-standard media and communication channels.** The operation of the peer-to-peer informal media channels during the early years of the Bolivarian Revolution in Venezuela (ie. via motorcyclists, organized word of mouth, etc.) is a flagship example of effective non-standard media channels at work. It should be understood and rolled out in more countries of the Free World (except China and the DPRK). They should function in conjunction with official, informal and World People's Web media initiatives.
- **Goal: Support an effective, truthful and viral informal media behind enemy lines, leveraging the World People's Web. Leverage complementarities, synergies and engage in cooperation initiatives with it.** The series "The World Tomorrow" by Julian Assange – helped to grow viral by Russia Today – is a perfect examples of both effective media initiatives from the World People's Web, and of official media interaction with them. Lessons from the WikiLeaks project in general, as well as from The Zeitgeist Movement (highly popular in the early 2010's – when Russia Today, Ria Novosti where not "a thing" yet, and when Wikipedia was very stub-like), and their interactions with our formal and informal media should be understood and applied in the future.
- **Context: Notice how Western-based media outlets like Facebook, Twitter, messaging apps like WhatsApp, Signal, Telegram and others are tightly controlled to deny access via selective or general censorship:** both automated and human assisted (including technical means to prevent stuff going viral). Plan and act appropriately to circumvent such matters: by developing and popularizing alternative platforms, based outside Western jurisdiction, led by entrepreneurs and key people who are not West-aligned (like I suspect is the case with Alibaba, but not with Huawei, ZTE, Mail.ru group or TikTok).

The core of the strategy:





- **Defend, support and expand effective official media initiatives, such as *Rossia Segodnya*.** Especially with regards to securing its leadership (Margarita Simonyan in this case), their allies and staff from, and their ongoing control over editorial policy from blackmail, physical threats, infiltration, massive onslaught of hoards of would-be traitors wishing 'to help out' and the like. Maintain control over its component initiatives (RT, RIA, and Sputnik in this case) close to Us (the core group of Russian President Vladimir Putin in this case). Preserve, expand and defend their historical bold courage in publishing stories extremely detrimental to both the Western hegemons, and to traitors at home alike.
- **Defend and support friendly, informal non-official media at home.** Avoid supporting, endorsing, or refraining from criticizing of Western backed media on Our territories: no matter if Western-backing is via deeply Russian rooted sponsors or directly from Soros. Leverage informal media to politely and decently cover and expose corruption within Our midst, and particularly within state organs. Both leverage and defend it particularly in the context of Strategy 4.
- **Denounce, criticize and defend against Western-backed media. Also leverage it where possible.** Particularly defend against blackmail and harassment of officials via it. Prevent it from influencing Our policymakers, its enactors and the staff of Our state and private organs into being 'moderate', 'hesitant' or 'anxious' because of it. Correlate this with Strategy 4. Leverage Western media as a jump-point to Our own media: The Romanian pro-Western media project HotNews.ro [8] was instrumental for having the author learn about Voice of Russia, RT and in making the contact with people therefrom, around circa 2011-2012. Also take note of the Bolivarian leveraging of Facebook and other western venues – including at some official level, for having Our messages reach out.
- **Support the World People's Web media technically by providing them with venues where to publish their works and connect with each other.** Stuff like blogs, social networks, email providers and the like. Additionally, support them with technical communication means and electronic equipment as detailed in Strategy 8.
- **Support the World People's Web in having their relevant stories breach censorship and become viral in a timely fashion.** Do this by endorsing them on Our official and non-official media (particularly Russia Today), connecting publishers and supporters to each other directly (as detailed per Strategy 8), and via specific means generally used for such purposes (eg. posts by popular personalities, ads, SEO). All these – only to the extent and instances where appropriate.

#### Comments:

- **Note the example of former Romanian leader Nicolae Ceausescu did not properly understand the risks of an over-laudative and inert media.** Such a media was actually used extensively by the coupe plotters to set the stage for their 1989 'color revolution'. **Kim Jong-un, on the other hand wisely understood the trap that was being laid to him with 'excessive applauding' and the like,** quickly brought the interactions back into authenticity, and duly and timely had the coupe plotters executed during the early moments of his accession to leadership of North Korea.
- **Popularize the Wikipedia sellout to mainstream media, via "technology API" deals with Google, Facebook and the like.** Make sure people know that what Wikipedia was during 2010-2019 is not what it is to become on and after 2021. However, do support the project else how. Once people gain sufficient lucidity and general knowledge of world affairs, as well as of western terminologies and approaches to disinformation – it can still serve as a vital source of knowledge and growing lucidization for Our friends and civilians behind enemy lines. Especially to serve as a venue for helping them verify discourse which is "uncontested by both sides" (ie. by Our media and by the Western hegemonic elites mainstream media). With the mindset that it will be Western-



controlled (which, defacto, it already had started to be circa mid-2019), it should be popularly used even on Our territories.

- **Note, in a favorable way, the GlobalResearch project [6].** It is an excellent example of informal media, operating behind enemy lines, targeting people at various stages of becoming lucid.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Ensure popularity of friendly media, and develop channels for growing virality of our core messages.** Leverage it as a way to defend people from the information overload and confusion-generating counter-strategies used by the West.
- **Defend and roll out the Rossya Segodnya flagship model for official media.** In particular, have this project – especially Russia Today - play a more prominent role at home, on Our territories as well. Naturally adjust content and form accordingly. It should be ironic that in some cases Our friends from abroad have better news coverage than Our civilians and friends at home. Develop a Chinese analog.
- **Bring under control 'loose' regional offices of Sputnik, like parts of Sputnik.md.** Prevent unfriendly people, or people with duplicitary allegiance from using such venues for subtle manipulation and pro-Western propaganda.
- **Roll out informal peer-to-peer media as per the flagship example of the Bolivarian Revolution supporters in Venezuela.**
- Expand on leveraging the World People's Web media initiatives and mutual support cooperation with them as detailed in the respective Goal above.
- **Create more explicit informal and semi-official media venues and opportunities for individual engagement with them from the World People's Web.** Leverage the model of how the former outlet Voice of Russia in Romania operated.
- **Leverage art, entertainment and edutainment more extensively.** Besides official news and practical involvement, the people's psyche also needs to be looked after for R&R and entertainment purposes. Do so by rolling out of favorable cinematic products, culture and arts from Our nations – both formally, via projects like Rosstrudnichestvo, and informally. Leverage and promote the Our edutainment productions like Star Trek A Final Unity, brilliantly released by Our people behind enemy lines, and create new ones. Prevent Our friends from the World People's Web from being constantly harassed and exhausted with propaganda production, or from starting to feel hopeless in this regard. Also, protect, develop and heal Our youth and civilians from behind enemy lines from the effects of such manipulative mainstream films, movements and artificial culture imposed on them by the nazi-inspired Western propaganda operating extensively in the Western block, and to a much lesser extent on Our territories as well.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Avoid the 'dull, applaudative state TV' trap.** This is how oligarchs and Western hegemony work on Our territories: by preventing criticism against the corrupt officials whom they themselves have put in place. And then presenting the injustices, abuses, thefts and corruption that they themselves have caused and are causing, as the work 'of the regime'.. which 'is untouchable and should not/cannot be criticized'. Part of the official media at home should above all help policymakers to single out and defeat resisters to change and traitors by shedding light on their opposition to policies enjoying wide democratic popular support. In this context, it should focus on troubled zones and for sure it will not seem to present an idea that "all is going stellarly at home". On the other hand, it should also be positive and highlight achievements and honorable goals of Our leadership as well. But, above all, be informative! Have people turn to it to learn official **news** - that is things which are new: which weren't in a certain way before, but, they recently changed or emerged. Official media should be used extensively in conjunction with Strategy 4.
- **Avoid making it seem like customary defacto practice that someone 'accused by the**



**media' were 'almost certainly guilty'.** In particular allow instances where people accused by the media keep their posts and are publically exonerated. Make such cases known. Avoid a situation where the media can be used by the Western hegemony and the traitors in our midst alike to blackmail officials, even implicitly or in subtle ways. Our people should not be afraid of the media when making or enacting our policy. To the contrary, they should feel that it is their ally.

- **Avoid overspending and economic waste.** No need to overpay for articles, media appearances or have an excessively numerous staff.
- **Avoid over-involvement of too many people, acting as part of enemy's EEE – Embrace, Enhance, Extinguish strategy.** It is not required to endorse or have an excessive number of people involved, and many less so given formal authority or endorsement, with regard to editorial policies and media initiatives in general.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- **There are no currently unmet prerequisites for this strategy.** It is in full implementation, with Russia Today and Rossia Segodnya in general being vivid examples of geniality in this regard. **We are, nevertheless, starting to face vicious attacks (particularly on Sputnik News and Russia Today) and heavy resistance going forward.** However this was to be expected.
- This strategy is mutually supportive with Strategy 4. The two should be leveraged in tandem.
- Serves to support Strategies 3, 5 and 7.
- To a lesser extent it supports Strategies 2 and 10 as well.
- Parts of this strategy overlap with Strategy 8.

## **12. Educate Our people more formally on such matters. Especially in Universities. Involve friendly Academia and single out the resisters.**

#### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Goal: Develop more youths into becoming one of Us.**
- **Goal: Develop the core cultural traits and counterintelligence abilities to ensure ongoing, sustainable, active support for Our values and ways within the general population.** Active means involved and practical – not as mere voting machines. And clearly not like some otherwise kind-hearted specimens from the 1950-1970 generations (especially from the non-Russian FSU and Warsaw Pact countries) - who were constant wining critics of "the communist system", while taking peace and liberty for granted and enjoying all the advantages such an administration created for them.
- **Goal: Immunize a larger fraction of the general population to Western propaganda.** Make them resistant to propagandistic methods in general – not only to specific current campaigns or issues. Teach them to think for themselves from a young age and achieve lucidity even in an environment of ample informative intoxications.
- **Goal: Reduce traitorship and the temptation to become a traitor.** Encourage the people to act more often out of personal values and convictions, and be more reluctant to put these aside or on hold for transient or menial personal purposes.
- **Goal: Develop more specialists with adequate competences and lucidity in military and counter-intelligence fields, to serve as Our actors throughout society: both in public organs and in the private sector.** In principle, the more people who manifestly and actively share Our values we are able to involve in positions and operations which require military wits, the more curtailed will be the ability of the enemy and of traitors in their midst to act. Somewhat, safety in numbers helps in this regard.





- **Content: Teach sophisticated present day realities – such as those presented in this report – formally, at the Undergraduate and Graduate levels.** Teach people to understand how We arrived to know these truths, and to develop such strategies. Argue, Reference and Debate them. Teach people to think for themselves, and to not simply memorize these as “given facts”. Do not limit such curricula and practices only to Military or Public Administration universities. Mathematics, Computer Science, Medicine, Biology, Psychology, Economy, Geology and virtually all other specializations should benefit from such knowledge and related competences, beginning at the Undergraduate level.
- **Content: Teach, Explain and Illustrate the core values of Truth, Liberty, Justice, Tolerance and Love.** Emphasize cooperation and creative resolutions to conflicts of interests, satisfying all parties fully, over forceful approaches. Do hold “gentlemen-like” competition in high regard also, however. **Do emphasize the right and duty to act forcefully to defend oneself and others against gross injustice.** Teach to spot and avoid psychological manipulation and actions of psychopaths. Teach and illustrate how all these can find simultaneous fulfillment.
- **Content: Emphasize Love and Family.** Clarify that the traditions of family are not in contradiction with non-conformism. Teach and illustrate how love, family and normal relations between the sexes are disrupted, jammed, persecuted and controlled in the Western-bloc. Provide graphic examples of the results of western practices – and put these in stark contrast with Our ways, and the favorable situation existent on the territories of the Free World in this regard. And explain that it will get better still.
- **Content: Encourage and promote an open sexuality.** Make people feel free and unpressured in this regard. Also, *informally* and *politely* make it clear (especially to girls), that a social-economic system where all people have sex exclusively with partners they feel are attractive, and never “for benefits”, is unsustainable. Stress naturality, authenticity, openness and normalcy in the relations between the sexes. Position Our culture as open in this regard. Refrain from stuff like scolding academic staff for being openly affectionate with their partners during Zoom calls, going after students for doing ‘BDSM-themed dance videos’ (as was the case with some Russian Airforce academy), having students or staff feel guilty or at risk for nice (and polite and reasonable) flirts and relationships with each other and among them. Generally such matters function properly naturally, without any need for any external intervention or rule setting – in the absence of Western-style disruptions and propaganda. Do however maintain the sobriety and formal nature of our academic process in general. Faculty halls and classes should not become circuses like in many cases in the west. These two requirements can, must be and generally are easy to satisfy simultaneously.
- **Content: Promote kindness, goodness, beauty and humanist values in general.** Nurture a culture of cooperation over conflict. Teach the value of Tolerance, referring mainly to coexistence and interaction with heterogeneous cultures, individual values and ways of life, but including also genuine forgiveness. Clearly differentiate Tolerance and forgiveness from defeteism and Stockholm syndrome. Present the case of Nelson Mandela as case of Stockholm syndrome and not of genuine, justified forgiveness or Tolerance. Differentiate Tolerance from other moral values and military concepts, such as Reasonability, Realism and Negotiation.
- **Content: Nurture a culture of respect for high achievement** – especially in science, engineering, military and intelligence. Make such people be held in high regard and celebrated. Encourage others to strive to be high achievers.
- **Content: Avoid overpraise and glorification of mediocrity.** Moreso, avoid glorification of challenged people who occasionally show minimalistic glimmers of proper thinking or action. Do let them know that they are respected and cherished for who they are regardless. But avoid the fascist practice – which their propaganda actually tries to brand as ‘the communist way’ – where both the



talented, gentle high-achiever, and the violent retard are held 'essentially equal' and worse-so, the latter is often even held in higher regard for those rare occasions where he acts less retarded or less violently.

The core of the strategy:

- **Start sustained formal education as per the Content above, at the University level.** Create special, cross-disciplinary courses on History, Game Theory, Military Sciences, Intelligence, International Relations, and Economics covering the content. Particularly with regards present day realities and strategies, as per this report for example. Include elements of content as formal and informal curricula to other courses. Get academia and students actively involved and enthused about this.
- **Leverage Our networks at home to have the Universities and their staff adopt such curricula and general practices on their own.** Most academia and University staff should be genuinely strongly favor such ideas and wholeheartedly welcome and support their adoption. Promote these policies and their supporters via the friendly media. Single out and criticize resisters the same way. Leverage Strategy 4 to deal with grave cases, such as traitors and traitor-networks: especially those acting covertly in a mutually supportive way.
- **Make use of formal state regulatory power and financial resources.** Spawn new courses and adjust curricula on existing ones to include appropriate elements of the content above. Use state power, budgetary resources and friendly media to get the right people involved on such initiatives, including down to University staff. Favor Universities, groups and faculty adopting these practices, disfavor and single out resisters.
- **Make real, tangible and honest associations between education and future professional and economic success.** Make it worthwhile for people to understand such matters – hint at and offer real, superior professional, economic, political and military opportunities to “those who get it”. **Avoid nepotism, favoritism and “forms devoid of essence” in this regard.** Recruit and respectfully involve the best people, who are also strong in their core specializations, into Our elite structures, societies and operations. Explain clearly that while people will be respected, highly regarded and offered such concrete opportunities – that they are not “entitled” to them. That it is not something they should take for granted or expect just because they are smart enough to understand Our values and “get the ideas” of our educational content.
- **Leverage informal media venues to create and disseminate content on such topics.** Make use of documentaries, Op-ed-s and the like. Ensure their virality using adequate channels. Have official academia, staff and colleagues raise awareness and to recommend or endorse such materials, where appropriate.
- **Leverage informal venues, including edutainment to reach more people and to identify promising and elite performers.** This includes events, online and offline venues, edutainment releases like Star Trek a Final Unity and others. Present interesting and captivating ideas to stir the curiosity of our future elites.
- **Do also target primary and secondary schooling but at a lighter and more informal level.** Cover the content points only partially and to a lesser detail. Focus on building characters, culture and competences for independent thinking. Make this a broader and less formal approach – if not practically feasible, it is ok if not all elementary and secondary school benefit from the current ideas fully. Do make use state regulatory power in the field of education to include some core content elements in the curricula. Use the other core tactics less forcefully at this level. Focus more on pull and less on push. Do make use of state supervisory powers where appropriate. At the level of primary and secondary schooling the main priority is harmonious development and to prevention of psychological conditioning and reinforcement learning via Western propaganda. Nevertheless, some programs and practices should be put in place so that those showing elite promise with regard to



Our ways early on can be encouraged and offered venues for their elite capabilities and character to manifest themselves, to grow and allow them to get involved faster. Maintain a strong emphasis on math, exact sciences, and the scientific method in the curricula. Make school both demanding, serious but also fun and engaging.

#### Comments:

- **Take note of the particular situation around the Russian Academy of Sciences.** Recall the event from a few years ago where the President of the Russian Federation, Vladimir Putin, was forced to openly suggest that “maybe some members of RAS and scientists should be given more time to focus on their research, and relieved from public duties (ie. in state organs and supervisory roles)”. Such matters could be considered to be handled in the context of Strategies 2 and 4. Nevertheless, their public acknowledgments serves as living proof to some of the difficulties We face from within the academic establishment on Our own territories. No doubt, some of these difficulties trace their origins traced backed to the unfortunate circumstances and events from the period 1983-1999. Do also take note that the RSVN – which enjoyed continuous funding and no extensive interactions with the Western-bloc structures and ‘international organizations’, was never mentioned in the context of similar problems.
- **How to approach the topic of sex for favors and economic leveraging of sex in Our societies.** A few extra words on educational content goals with regards to this topic (tentative): (i) stress that We support open, free and absolutely consensual sexuality; (ii) stress that sexual slavery is forbidden with Us, both formally and practically – unlike in the West; (iii) mention that most often people meet and relate in this regard naturally, sometimes eagerly so and without either perceiving that they were “incurring a cost”; (iv) Vilify and condemn the Western jamming of normal relations between the sexes. Ridicule and also explain the political and economic nature of attacks by Western elites via media propaganda, a defective culture of social pressure, ‘me too’ movements, immoral or excessive legislation and abuse thereof in this regard, and the like. Explain how these methods work (eg. giving girls an unfair advantage; vilifying communication and authenticity), their purposes (eg. to monopolize sexuality as something satisfyingly possible only in the context of collaboration with the Western hegemonic elites; to prevent social cohesion and caring for one-another) and their effects (eg. low fertility rate; low incidence of family formation; high divorce rate; diminished sexual satisfaction – including for girls; prevalence of rape and genuine sexual violence [nb.: sometimes official endorsed: the case of ‘The Game’ practices in Germany, allowing adults to pay for forcing kindergarten children to.. play some games of a sexual nature with them (officially, on the territory of said kindergartens), despite their wishes and even despite those of their parents was recently publicized]); (v) stress Our support for family and marriage based on love. Contrast this with western aggressive push for stuff like homosexuality, transsexuality and the like (sometimes to the point of having minor children forcefully sterilized via sex-change operations or taken away from their parents who are unsupportive of such policies: like it is publicly well known to factually happen in Sweden); (vi) clearly state that involvement in sex for favors in whatever context is strictly voluntary – and usually genuinely beneficial for those involved. But never forced or mandatory – and that professional success is not limited to some specific positions or opportunities. (vii) make some casual references to non-immoral aspects of “the English higher education model” in this regard; (viii) finally, do make it clear (informally and politely, but clear!) that a social-economic system where all people have sex exclusively with partners they feel are attractive, and never “for benefits”, is unsustainable.
- **To preempt some Western propagandistic response and personal attacks related to my explicitly addressing the topic of sex a few times in this report,** allow me the indulgence of reminding – especially to the civilian readers of this report, that a huge proportion of people (I would estimate that at least 90% of men) want to get rich, acquire power, seek recognition or have





great achievements, preponderantly (sometimes exclusively) so that they can have more sex, with more partners, with more attractive partners and to satisfy more.. subtle fetishes (think BDSM). Please consult this SputinkNews article featuring an open discussion on this topic by a small Japanese millionaire (who even happened to get murdered by his wife, apparently, in a “marriage-for-money” scheme): [9]. Also see this SputinkNews article discussing Bill Gates fun with paid sex before he defacto joined the core Western hegemonic elites: [10]. **Additionally recall the Chinese official study which revealed “the desire to support a large number of mistresses” as the most popular motive by far for corruption among Chinese officials. The topic of sex – and particularly of sex for benefits – is a topic of great *military-economic significance*, and should absolutely not be hidden under the rug**, or handled under the “come what may, let’s not talk about it” approach. I appeal to people (especially military girls) to act in an adult-like fashion about this. Policies on sex should not be excessively obsessed about – this is only one single issue, among many. And indeed, one which, even once properly addressed, categorically does not in itself guarantee neither Victory, nor Paradise. However, grossly improper handling of such matters or neglect for them is guaranteed beyond doubt to result in unavoidable military defeat.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Make official, semi-official and independent “whitelists” and “blacklists” of Universities, departments, groups and people with regards to their degree of truthful adoption of these ideas.** Actively publish and promote rankings, reviews, assessments and the like via official, informal and independent media channels. Stress the voluntary and informative nature of such rankings and materials. Make their contents truthful.
- **Besides formal components of courses and curricula, encourage the friendly Academia and Faculty to briefly teach on such topics informally, during their unrelated classes.**
- **Selectively make our content materials available for use by the World People’s Web.**

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Learn from the North Korean practices in developing basic counter-intelligence and propaganda-spotting competencies.** I, for one was surprised to learn, that in the DPRK they actually use some mainstream Western TV series as case study of Western propaganda: for it to be dissected, discussed and laughed at in wide range of social contexts.
- **Avoid being perceived as imposing dictatorship in education.** Explain the extremely limited scope of the added changes. Explain the generic and widely accepted nature of the proposed content. Explain that it is “Our view” and that we always actively strive to teach people to think for themselves and reach their own conclusions. That we do not try to impose assimilation of content. But that We do insist on having it heard out and understood properly: especially in the context of Western propaganda and informative intoxications. Explain the generally voluntary nature of adoption of these ideas by the Universities. And affirm Our responsibility to properly inform people where they can and where they cannot education aligned with this Strategy.
- **Combat nepotism and favoritism, especially those of subtle and covert form.** Also have an understanding that it is not possible to fully eliminate it. But have it drastically curtailed: eg. limit “places for nepotism” to no more than to 10% of available spots – and don’t let these be “the top” spots. Hint at severe legal consequences and great zeal in pursuing such matters, when in happening in excess. Similarly act strongly against acts of systemic favoritism of a grave nature, such as: (i) hiding better profiles from decision makers so that they choose “the nephew”; (ii) official test-fixing to give some “backdoor” advantage or to generally reduce the gap between children of elites and truly talented people (like with some cases concerning the American SAT tests); (iii) dishonest, manipulative, watered down, or blown up assessments of outsiders; (iv) taking important decisions when in a situation of gross conflict of interests; (v) manipulating



outsiders into underestimating their talent in some field; (vi) manipulating outsiders into pursuing “dead-tracks” or sending them on ‘impossible, suicide missions’, where they are choreographed for failure; (vii) offering ‘friendly advise’ to outsiders which is manipulative and against their interests; (viii) plagiarism; (ix) deferred plagiarism; (x) arranging meetings and situations such that outsiders are prevented from meeting with or getting noticed by people who could favorably remark them. Periodically make use of friendly media to denounce and criticize nepotism as apprehensive and generally immoral. And also as illegal. Finally, make sure that decision makers are as impartial, incorruptible and as thorough to “see through” such nepotism strategies, as possible. Where adequate, leverage formal assessments and tests which are as objective as possible, and rely as little as possible on human generated feedback: computer science contests and Olympiads with automated evaluation systems are a near-perfect example to this end.

- **Involve beautiful civilians in the education effort, where appropriate.** Some of them have a way of shining so brightly and warmly that their presence serves as a strong catalyst for ingestion and understanding of educational content such as Ours.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- There are no currently unmet prerequisites for this strategy. Its implementation should proceed right away. Like with most strategies, dedicated and talented leaders should be involved to oversee its implementation.
- There are mild connections with Strategies 2, 7 and 10.
- Minimalistic but important connections exist with Strategy 5.
- Connections of low occurrence but high importance exist in relation to Strategy 6.

### **13. Make an example out of Israel by destroying its current regime – particularly because it is a nuclear power. Establish an independent Palestine to engulf most of the territory currently under Israeli control.**

#### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- The current regime of Israel has demonstrated systematic disregard for national borders, customs of war and diplomacy and of fundamental Universal law human rights, by acting genocidal against Palestinians. Their systematic strikes against military targets on the territory of other countries (mainly Syria, Iraq and Iran), their resorting to illegal assassinations and kidnappings (like with Iranian nuclear physicists and even in Europe with Vanunu), their role in corruption and traitorship by leaders in other countries (like with the Rouhani in Iran, or in Egypt in 1967), all which go both against international norms and against common-sense Universal all, cannot go on. They must stop. Their neutralization will joy the World.
- The current regime of Israel has attacked Russian troops, both directly (for example having an AWACS plane shot down) and via Daesh terrorists which they jointly control with USA (mainly indirectly, via Saudi Arabia).
- Israel pursues a regional hegemonic expansive policy and its actions serve to project military and political power of the Western hegemonic elites both in the region, and throughout the world. They are striving to position themselves as an unaccountable dog for the Western elites, not bound by treaties, law or Universal law – readily able and willing to resort to assassinations and strikes in the interests of the Western hegemonic elites -, and which, furthermore, cannot be hit back, because they have nuclear weapons and diplomatic protection from the hegemons themselves. This perception needs correcting.
- **Goal: To demonstrate Our resolve in attaining Victory even with the risk (and cost) of**



**nuclear warfare.** Israel is targeted much more so because it is a nuclear power. The situation where the Western hegemonic elites are perceived to be able to do almost anything they can conventionally, and then limit Our response by the threat of nuclear or biological genocide must stop. This perception is prevalent especially among their own acolytes, would-be acolytes and general population in their strongholds. This gives them political power over these, based on a lie. Furthermore, it makes people within the enemy controlled territories be only lookwarmly supportive towards Us (fearing We will not be able to help them), while dreadfully fearing the enemy. Such a state of affairs cannot continue.

- **Goal: To send a clear message that the Western hegemonic elites are doomed. And that any deal with them is a deal with a dead man.** This will serve to curtail traitorship in the Free World and also to degrade almost entirely their diplomatic reach and soft power. Furthermore, it will further advance, embolden and give an aura of urgency to plans for their devolution from power by their own 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> echelons of power in their own strongholds. Furthermore, all throughout the World, especially within well-informed power groups, **the neutralization of Israel will illustrate that traitors and criminals cannot retire safely anywhere, not even in the USA.** Similarly, that there are no "safe havens" for long term development or "cozy retirement", where they could "channel the benefits earned by traitorship and helping the enemy" and just "no longer be concerned how the war goes": **prove that violation of fundamental Universal norms against Us leads to imminent death, even if under protection of the Western hegemons.** Finally, would-be traitors and people who are just deciding career paths in life (particularly in the field of science and engineering) will no longer see added security or peaceful life benefits in capitulating to the Western hegemons. And such people – especially those of a weaker character – when they feel that **their risk of being killed is no less with supporting the West, than with supporting Us,** and that, furthermore, **because of the strategy of the Western hegemons there can be no neutrality,** then, such people will be able to "choose with their hearts", and, of course, support Us and Our values: nobody likes injustice and hegemony – such matters are a source of great dissatisfaction even among mafia people (some of which the author might have met while playing poker in some casinos), which is itself largely controlled and systematically exploited by the Western elites. Once the "small matter" of the West being able to threaten to kill "if they don't get it their way", all while offering some illusory geographical and legal safe harbor protecting one from being killed in case 'you do what they want' is taken out of the way, then people – faced with mortal and imminent danger on both sides, with either choice – will overwhelmingly favor Us: and this will greatly expand Our soft power, both at home and abroad.
- **Goal: To demonstrate Our capacity to project military power abroad and protect our nationals and Our legitimate interests from unjust forceful abuse.** Even against nuclear armed actors. The Western hegemons are promoting the false idea that nuclear armed states could do basically anything on their own territories, and almost anything to the civilian population on any territory and that, so long as they "did not touch the military and formal leaders themselves", then they could do all this with impunity, because 'no one would go to nuclear war with them over such matters'. This false perception serves as a genuine specter of the gun against people, including military, including within the Free World. This allows them to more easily attract traitors and project soft power, leveraging these false premises. A decisive action against Israel – a globally uncontested genocidal actor with no regards for international law, will serve to raise the veil for some people over this wall of smoke. The systemic effects will be along the lines of those described for the Goal above.
- **Goal: To demonstrate practically that genocide against Our World People's Web will not be permitted and will be countered through military means.** Publicly connecting the neutralization of Israel to its genocidal practices – particularly on its own territory, against the





Palestinians – will allow us to prevent similar attempts against Our friends in the World People's Web using soft power, at much lesser military cost.

- **Context: It is crucial to understand that Israel will have to be dealt with sooner or later on Our way to Victory. Dealing with it later will neither lower the risk of nuclear strike, nor its projected cost: but to the contrary.** There is no sequence of events that allows Us to win – or to prevent the Western hegemonic elites from committing their genocide against the 95% population of the globe – which does not deal with Israel. And in this regard, delaying action against Israel (longer than required by sound military preparations), will only make matters worse later.
- **Goal: To test out Reconciliation in practice and learn valuable lessons for its later application to strongholds of Western hegemons: USA, UK, EU and Australia.** To a lesser extent we have learned a bit from discussions with Japan. The most important lesson there, is that, so long as the West is perceived as "being able to kill and *delay being killed*", people will be reluctant to act against it – even if it is clear to them that they themselves will die later. This is particularly the case with dog states, where depression and the 'let me have fun today, because I will be executed sooner or later anyway' thinking is prevalent. But Israel will be the real thing. Here we will both fine tune the details of Reconciliation, learn the limits of how it works in practice, identify pitfalls (eg. "false flag attacks", and, very importantly – **illustrate that we are honest about Reconciliation and that it works in practice.** In conjunction with the effects mentioned for the Goals above, this will drastically expand interest for it.

The core of the strategy:

- **Target Israel, more or less as if they did not have nuclear weapons. Make it clear that the top regime is targeted, not all their acolytes. Make it clear that some part of the territory of Israel (namely where Reconciled parties helping Us will live) will remain Israeli. Make it clear the operation is limited to Israel – and that Saudi Arabia, Jordan will not be targeted.** Leverage Reconciliation to smoothen the effort and try to achieve victory while avoiding nuclear strike from Israel altogether - if possible. Make it clear, that victory will be achieved regardless – even at the cost (not risk!) of such a strike. An example of such a type of victory is that achieved by the West in South Africa – where they disarmed the nation via soft power (ie. mere threats of annihilating them). An entirely different example but with the same result is dissolution of the USSR (achieved through political sleeper agent networks and treason). A very good similar example on Our side is the victory against Dash in Syria. Although Dash did not have nuclear weapons, their context, psychology, motives and capabilities (eg. against civilians, against oil fields, etc.) together with our context, psychology and motives in Syria is of a very similar nature to what will happen in Israel. Just the details differ somewhat (it is not even bigger stakes for those involved: both Israeli regime members and Dash were simultaneously both "fighting for their lives" and "fighting to be rulers" – ie. with sex slavery and all). And, as the old Israeli joke goes: "The difference between men and boys are the prices of the toys".
- **Take forceful military action on Israeli territory.** There is no going around it. Diplomacy alone will not do it. Airstrikes or "message actions" – such as those targeting Dimona nuclear facility – will not do it: the internal grip on power of the Western hegemons within Israel, via agents who will be viciously terrorizing other members of their regime (and occasionally killing some), believing that they themselves will be evacuated to live in Florida or something – like with some Dash terrorists commanders, is too great for it to shake sufficiently only from such actions. To the contrary, they would 'tighten the screw' against both Palestinians and members of their own regime in such cases. Forceful military action on Israeli territory is strictly required.
- **Take military preparations for defense, mitigation and cleanup efforts from a limited nuclear strike.** Simple as that. No further comment. Leverage technical means, like S-500, S-400,



combat lasers and the like.

- **Prepare the people and military C4ISTAR in the Free World for the media and psychological war of the Western hegemonic elites, prepared for this eventuality.** These will include terrorist attacks from sleeper cells at home (mainly in Russia), Activation of political traitor networks publically opposing 'the high cost and risk of war' (mainly in Iran), military attacks against "weak link" allies of Ours (probably some attacks against China – hypocritically thinking that it could be deterred politically domestically) and false flag attacks (attributed to Israel) by the core CIA troops, on either Us or Reconciled parties from Israel (with the purpose of hindering Reconciliation).
- **Do engage in diplomacy.** For example by using friendly media to popularize this report to the Israeli, from me as an unaffiliated party, while officially not threatening them with war – but essentially imposing the same demands. This might help "smoothen" things (especially in circumventing resistor groups in Our own militaries), and complicate retaliation from the West
- **Military Objective: Establish an Independent Palestine.** It will have internal control and control over its borders, and the ability to trade freely with Us, by air, sea and land. To this end, the new Israel will be officially confined to 1967 internationally recognized borders. Practically, the southern and southeastern part of it will become Palestine. Legislative and "technical" rules for connecting Gaza strip to the West bank will make so that, de facto, that area is controlled by an international CSTO anti-terrorist force. Civilian Israelis could wonder around there if they chose to and had good reason, but the desert and the KiryatGat-BierSheva area will be denied to the Western military (including to civilian military, like from 'open society foundation' or the like), and strictly under Our control. The Golan Heights will be of course returned to Syria. The new Israel will maintain sovereignty over the north-western cities (to favor Reconciliation and roll out the example with Dash in Idlib) and, nominally, it would officially lose very little land otherwise (going back to 1947 UN borders). However, it will, in practice no longer have a military supply line to neither Egypt, Jordan, nor the Arabian Gulf.
- **Military Objective: New Israel completely outside the control of Western hegemonic elites (but allowed to trade with the Western block).** There must be no lack of clarity about this. The ruling administration of New Israel will be Israeli, maybe even up to 20-40% consisting of Reconciled parties, but totally outside the control of the Western hegemonic elites. It will be avoided that irreconcilable parties (eg. those hardened in the Western establishment, or for which no acceptable Reconciliation deal can be achieved – due to their real or falsely perceived benefits with the West) are Reconciled by accident: especially to avoid instilling fear in the rest, and defacto serving to import the entire Western hegemonic network and practices into New Israel, but only as sleeper groups at the moment.
- **Military Objective: Destroy Israel's capacity to produce or field new nuclear weapons. Put Dimona under friendly international control: Russian-Iranian.** As part of Reconciliation (particularly with an eye towards the future, like Napoleon did in Italy), We might end up allowing new Israel to keep its existing nuclear arsenal, as a guarantee that they will be factually safe and have the northwestern territories under their control: ie. a stable Idlib for them. Depending on how things go on the field, this may or may not be required (eg. for example to help prevent a nuclear strike altogether) or useful (eg. for information debriefing on biological weapons program of the West). However, the ability of the Western hegemonic elites to have a "dangerous loose dog" in Israel, or for Israel to grow its strategic weapons capability must be clearly and totally destroyed.
- **Military Objective: Put former (non-Reconciled) Israeli regime members to trial for genocide and war crimes. Have a big public trial against high-ranking regime members in Moscow, for crimes against Russian nationals and Russian speakers.** This point is very very important. It will serve to protect Our World People's Web from genocide and containment in



the Western strongholds proper, using soft power. Stress the point "Russian speakers" (but do not go beyond it in this context). Even more importantly, it will be Our flagship example and statement to the World on how our strategy of Attack via Reconciliation, coupled with military capabilities works. This will be the tipping-point for much stronger concrete actions against the Western-hegemonic elites by their 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> echelons of power across the US, UK, EU and Australia.

- **Do have proper cleanup. Involve North Korea in cleanup, as "an independent party".** Absolutely avoid the situation with Eastern and Southern Europe (like with operation Gladio in Italy) with stay-behind armies, sleeper groups, and sleeper networks of supporters of fascism. Do give a "free hand" to Palestinians to try former Israeli military people, but avoid this turning into a massacring of Israeli civilians. Also, it is absolutely imperative to **avoid Nelson Mandela-style fiascos**. To this end, and to the end of civilian counter intelligence, involve North Korea – as an "independent" international actor (not involved in operations there until after victory). They should provide military and civilian counter-intelligence people, make assessments of risk and character on Israeli civilians and military people, advise and preside over secondary tribunals both on war crimes and on general transitory adjustments to peaceful and equal rights coexistence between Israeli and Palestinians (like it was before 1945, huh?). **The lessons on cleanup, the challenges faced, pitfalls and so on, will play a crucial role in the larger cleanup operations after the New Victory Day** in the USA, EU, UK and Australia. It is vital to not neglect this crucial aspect of the military end-game.

#### Comments:

- **There is a saying in poker: "In order to live, you must be prepared to die".** This is a saying deeply rooted in game theory. We tried to avoid nuclear war at all cost during the Cold War – and look where it lead us. Also note that being willing to factually assume the cost of 1-2 cities suffering from nuclear strikes, might actually prevent this from happening: except for revenge purposes, such a strategy would no longer help the enemy – and when realizing that it doesn't change Our resolve, the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and maybe 2<sup>nd</sup> echelons of power might consider alternative routes such as Reconciliation. Or they may not. But in any case – know that the survival of the Western hegemonic elite is game theoretically equivalent to their victory: there is no alternative position in which they survive but are not victories. And this means genocide against Our people – and worse: enslavement for the survivors (perhaps even with them denied the ability to die for several centuries). What is worse? Risking 1-2 cities to nuclear strike today, or having such strikes on all cities (via biological weapons for example) later on? The Western hegemonic elite is willing and able to take such costs: 1-2 of their cities – and even 100% of the cities would only be a temporary economic cost to them. In some regards it will also solve some political problems for them with regards to ensuring their grip on power in their strongholds (including against those from echelons 3 and 4 of power – many of whom are in principle against them and require economic and political benefits to be kept loyal). Furthermore, the Western hegemonic elites understand that they are personally doomed (short of an anti-miracle): between dying in our museums after being convicted at the Washington trials of the crimes of imperialism and dying in nuclear strikes or assassinations, they would rather the former (especially because it hurts Us also). Finally, even if they were not doomed: the most senior and elder of them (like Rothschild, Rockefeller), think like "new money" mafia bosses: they expect to be killed or caught soon – they are not shy or hesitant to take vital risks. And, collectively, these elites (the 1<sup>st</sup> echelon of power) would even accept a 20-40% death rate among themselves: having a 60-80% chance of emerging absolute hegemon and despot is acceptable to them, psychologically speaking: remember that many of them are psychopaths.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Details: Make it clear that this is not an anti-Semitic operation, nor in any way connected to ethnicity. Frame it as defensive response to military and genocidal actions**





**(not threats!) of the current Israeli regime against Our own nationals and speakers.**

Open up the prospects for the Israeli 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> echelons of power to start helping Us by accusing their former rulers of “unnecessary war” – particularly against Iran (via the assassination of their scientists and so on) and Russia (in Syria), “which is what bought this retaliation”.

- **If possible, time the operation with an appropriate POTUS. Do not postpone it too long though: an appropriate POTUS is an advantage, but not a prerequisite.** This will reduce risk of general nuclear war (even though it will increase risks of false flags). Obviously this means waiting until circa 2024-25. This sounds like the right amount of time to prepare for the operation anyway. Do not shy away from starting it sooner though, depending on the situation in the field. Also, do not be overly reliant on a favorable POTUS in 2024 being elected, or afterwards having the power to prevent nuclear war. Note that a favorable POTUS can even be one from the 3<sup>rd</sup>/4<sup>th</sup> echelons of Western hegemonic elites themselves, not necessarily and outsider like Donald Trump.
- **Involve China as military partner.** This will be the first chance to have them operate abroad on a large scale. To perfect their C4ISTAR, logistics, and political resiliency at home. It will also be the first common Russian-Chinese operation of a large scale. Many useful lessons will be learned and rolled out later. Furthermore, **involving China will also help reduce risk of nuclear strike**, given Israel’s limited arsenal: “what? they will bomb the whole world now? Just to save their criminal leaders (which is what officially is all that we are targeting)? Just to continue abusing the Palestinians?”. As more countries will join in condemning Israel and supporting our military campaign, the position of their current regime which is partly part of the Western hegemonic elites and partly under their tight control, will become untenable. If We succeed to move fast enough, this might very well prevent a nuclear strike altogether (especially when coupled with Reconciliation).
- **Declare this a joint Russian, Iranian and Chinese military operation. Do not frame it in the context of CSTO, SCO or the like.** Also do not frame it as a “local matter” with Us just supporting the Iranians and Syrians: this would provide no benefits and, instead, will make it easier for the enemy to picture Us as “more or less the same” with them, and furthermore will complicate attaining some benefits of the goals above (especially soft power protection of civilians against genocide in the EU and USA). Furthermore, such an open taking of responsibility at this scale, will psychologically mark the end of the unipolar world to all people of the Globe. More so, it will serve as an illustration that, We, the nations of the Free World, do “get together”, even if reluctantly so, “when it is absolutely needed”. **Understand that this operation will be public and internal political leadership test.** Frame problems and imperfections arising in the proper light. **Make our limited official objectives clear: do not allow for this operation to be labelable as a fiasco.**
- **Leverage diplomacy against the EU early on.** Particularly in France. Have them publically condemn Israel (for example for violating UN Security Council resolution) as much as possible. Face them with the political choice of “either defending genocide and violations of international law as despots” or in “legitimizing our campaign even within the West – like at the UNGA or EU Commission level”. This detail might aid as to more easily avoid a nuclear strike altogether – if the Israeli regime seems clearly doomed, Reconciliation might do the trick much better. Do not expect any sensible diplomatic achievements in this regard, before Our troops are already on Israeli soil.
- **Field new strategic weapons.** Well, as easy as that. Especially in terms of benchmarking S-500 and associated tactics which may latter be used – either in factuality or as diplomatic weapons – to limit the costs of nuclear and biological strikes against Us.

Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Beware of provocations and “false flag” nuclear strikes or biological terrorism attributed to Israel**, being conducted by the Western hegemons themselves, either against Us or



against Reconciled parties to prevent Reconciliation from working out. This includes terrorist attacks on Reconciled parties while on Our territories (eg. in Moscow).

- **Be prepared to encounter heavy, systemic resistance from the entirety of the Western block. DO NOT ABANDON the operation due to such resistance.** The Western hegemonic elites will realize that the success of this operation is almost synonymous with a diplomatic defeat against them. This will include political actions and terrorist attacks on Our territories via their support networks. Perhaps even some target assassinations.
- **Be adaptive and responsive to concrete details emerging on the field.** Remember the saying: "He who strives to secure a certain advantage at all costs, will not secure it [na: because he will lose the war before then]". The above can very easily apply to either Us neutralizing Israel, or to the Western hegemons defending it. Be prepared to be adaptive, flexible and farsighted in dealing with intermediary goals and methods. Nevertheless, **be dedicated and perseverant and achieve victory in this operation.** Under almost no circumstance must it be abandoned, or result in a factual (as opposed to apparent) status quo ante belli. If 1-2 of Our cities need to suffer from nuclear or biological strikes, well.. so be it. It is unfortunate, but better have two cities suffer than have 95% of the population destroyed (including via nuclear and biological strikes) and the rest enslaved. Remind everyone that the rule "not even 1 city" held (and was known by the West to hold) in the USSR during the Cold War: and the enemy leveraged it and maneuvered with that in mind. Nuclear capabilities alone neither on Our side, nor that of the enemy protect against defeat or enslavement, not even the regime leaders (nb: so long as both sides have such strategic weapons!). And Our stage victory in Israel will further clearly illustrate this.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- **Strategy 6 must be relatively well implemented**, to ensure security of leadership during this operation, its planning and during its immediate aftermath.
- **This operation will be the flagship test of Strategy 1.**
- In its entirety, this operation will be a model and an exercise for the later one to devolve the Western hegemonic elites from power in their actual strongholds. It must be given thorough attention and importance that it is due.
- Current operations as per this strategy will serve both as risks and opportunities in the context of Strategy 4.
- **The success of this strategy will give a major impetus to the success of Strategy 2:** once scientists and engineers feel that "they wouldn't be safe on Western territories either", they will be able to choose with their hearts, and no longer have the balance incline in the favor of immediate term security and benefits.
- This operation critically supports and benefits Strategy 9.
- Strategy 14 is a preferred, but not required prerequisite.

## **14. Close the Black Sea, the Caspian Sea and the Northern Passage to Western ships. Enforce this by military force where necessary.**

#### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Context.** The Caspian Sea is the main logistic and military link between Russia and Iran. Both supplies, human military experts, leaders and missiles have paths over this body of water.
- **Goal.** Prevent the West from deploying strategic (Poseidon-like) or tactical mines or assets in these waters. This point can serve as a good political tool as well.
- **Goal.** Deny the enemy the possibility to turn tactical assets into strategic ones in these



**waters** (ie. have a destroyer armed with nuclear cruise missiles wonder around here).

- **Goal. Deny the enemy the possibility to field command strike groups or command non-conventional technical assets leveraging these waters.** By non-conventional technical assets I refer to stuff like swarms of mini-drones to be used for targeted killings, sabotage or the spread of biological agents of mass destruction. This goal focuses preponderantly on the short term:
- **Goal. Prevent enemy SIGINT operations from these bodies of water.** This is particularly aimed to complicate (degrade, introduce longer time lags, etc.) enemy interactions with their secret agents on Our territories. However, intelligence gathering operations against Our troops and friends (eg. in Crimea and Donbass) is also an added advantage.
- **Goal. To publically affirm and demonstrate Our resolve.** And, in particular – make it clear that the Western hegemons discourse is oftentimes disconnected from reality.
- **Goal. To prevent 'accidents' caused by the West, which may result in pollution or which otherwise would risk making these areas impracticable.** The West cannot use these areas militarily. We can and must be able to conserve this capability.

The core of the strategy:

- **Develop and field military capabilities to technically deny access to these bodies of water to the enemy.** Namely have Our militaries able to sink, deny access and defend against any potential intruders here. Including those operating under the cover of civilian ships. Widespread mining of parts of these waters, as with the case of the Persian Gulf, could be an option. But Our own ability to access and leverage these areas must not be hindered.
- **Officially proclaim that these bodies of waters are closed for Western military ships. Allow civilian ships passage with prior written authorization, on a case by case basis, and with Russian navigators.** Announce this change of military policy publicly and vividly (make it be news). Tount and defy the west with statements like "they can do freedom of navigation operations in the Gulf of Mexico if they wish. Not here."
- **Replace the expiring Montreux convention with a more limitative treaty to formally institute the appropriate regime for closing the Back Sea.** Replace Montreux Convention with treaty requiring prior authorization for transit by non-riveran ships by ALL riveran countries (particularly Russia). Define non-riveran ships as any ship, regardless of flag, not produced in riveran country. Leverage this approach to further prop-up Our friends in Turkey and to further bring Turkey closer to Us.
- **There exists another important idea related to this strategy in the broader context of actual military operations,** which will not be revealed in this report, since it can lead to **military surprise** (which is compromised if the enemy reads the report also). **Contact the author directly for details. This is an important idea! Please ask. Really!! Do ask: Idea1.**

Comments:

- None.

Crucial Details:

- See further details discussed directly as part of the Core points above.

Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **The West will no doubt try to defy these restrictions and ascertain their defiance. And publically so.** They will probably try to frame the closing of the Black Sea as a prelude to an invasion of Ukraine and frame their own responses as acting to "defend their allies, in accordance to international norms". **Please contact the author for further details on an idea about this!**

Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- This strategy should preferably be effective at least shortly before Strategy 13 is enacted, so as to prevent excessive threats against Our territories from within these bodies of water, during the





latter's completion.

- Military capabilities must already be in place and operating long before the closures are announced officially. Existing Western military assets should be removed from these areas, **no later than the new official regulations come into force!**
- Enactment of this strategy will serve to better prepare our populations for the regime of War operations and to strengthen both it and Our organs against psychological warfare by the Western block.

## **15. Open military operations in Europe with the invasion of NATO-member dog state Romania. Arrest and try Western puppet regime members for actions against the Russian speakers, genocide and attempted genocide against civilians. Make its army turn arms and use it to bear most of the high costs of conventional war fighting in Eastern Europe.**

### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Goal: To deter semi-autonomous Western-bloc actors and military rulers from violating the rights of Our friends and partners in the EU region and to have them refrain from advancing with genocide against Our World People's Web.** This will set yet another precedent (on top of the one as per Strategy 13): more clearly perceived as such psychologically by these people. The example with Israel will seem way too distant in space and time for many of these: after all, they are NATO members, right? and they are in Europe, right? and they do hold a vast civilian population hostage, right? Well, this operation will cool a few heads and clarify matters in this regard – and also clarify that “we meant now!” when leveraging the example of Israel.
- **Goal: Target Romania more so because it is a NATO member.** It will deter central and western European regimes from committing genocide on their own territories, against Our World People's Web, and will tone down and actions and private rhetoric (publically it will get worse). The fact Romania is a NATO member will also serve to better set the ground and the tone for Strategy 1. Note: Unfortunately, it is likely that some genocidal actions would intensify in the short term, within other dog states: Poland, and west Ukraine in particular. The CIA hold on them and WW2 nazi purges may have damaged them too much already to allow mere soft power to prevent these. However, if these become too extensive or systematic, they will be dealt with in the context of Strategy 16.
- **Goal: Make the Romanian army turn arms to Our side and use it to bear the highest military and human costs of conventional war operations in Europe.** The Romanian army is quite large, more naturally aligned with Us at the low-ranking and soldier level. Also its mid-ranking officers are much likelier to easily and quickly switch arms than are Poles or others. Romanians are also creative and resilient fighters – despite their poor initial state of the army, they will put up a good fight, and even have some victories for Us. And their native political leaders are depressive career traitors. They will embrace defeat (some maybe even be glad about “switching of the bosses”) and facilitate switching of arms to Our side (once the imminence of Western dangers to them is replaced with that from Us). All this is so to a much greater extent than in Poland for example.
- **Goal: Open up communication and supply lines to both Serbia and the heart of Europe.** This includes both military and commercial supply lines. Furthermore, it cuts the cordon-sanitare in two, bringing political advantages to Us and complicating logistics for CIA on the Western front. Finally, this geographic opening greatly expands Our soft power: we are able to both supply Our



friends and trade partners with resources directly, and we are “within striking range” of both central and southern Europe, from Germany to Greece. We can have semiautonomous pro-Western and even Western actors and military leaders from France, to Italy, to Germany, to Greece comply with our reasonable and modest demands – namely abstaining from genocide and allowing our World People’s Web to trade with Us and among themselves, without the need for any large scale military operations against them there. Oh and yeah.. just in case.. we will have the conventional Romanian army ready, which had just recently turned arms to Our side.

- **Goal Deny Romania as a safe harbor, operating command center and as a source of sex slaves for the Western hegemonic elite.** Note that opportunistic but successful independent intelligence gathering activities by myself on the territory of Romania make me judge with high confidence that its territory is leveraged to house infrastructure (and, of course also have appropriate military, political and social arrangements) for one command center of the Western elites (I don’t mean for US or NATO militaries, but the elites themselves – their kernels to operate covertly, outside US or UK constitutional law or oversight), ever since circa 2014-2015. There was a major and highly exclusivist (20-50 people at most) conference held by the Western elites at Peles Castle in Sinaia, Romania to this end, shortly before the China-Eastern Europe Summit, in autumn of 2013. This is different from the also secretive conference held with official EU figure-heads and some secondary power-brokers at the Radisson Hotel in Bucharest, Romania, around the same time period which enjoyed military protection from all the secret services in Romania (mostly SRI). Furthermore, since 2019 it is clear that Romania is a source of high quality sex slaves (even if of low volume) for the Western bloc. Less clearly so, it functioned this way since the 1990s via fake international adoptions and child kidnappings (eg. in Brasov, central Romania).

The core of the strategy:

- **Announce that the operation is limited exclusively to Romania (which it is).** It does not target, nor will it expand beyond. Not in Ukraine, not in Poland, Not in Germany, Not in Hungary, not in the Baltics. Leverage the historical examples of operations in Georgia and Ukraine to show to semiautonomous pro-Western actors and military leaders in Europe that – if they don’t force Us to by interviewing to greatly of course –, that this will indeed be “just like Georgia”: Not something to personally threaten them in the short or medium terms. Such semiautonomous actors and military leaders (including in Romania) might be inclined to easily accept this as a “long awaited, and obviously forced response on Our side” to the “long series of provocations” against Russia in the region. Which, ironically, will practically factually be the case for such people – a point which will further erode the political position of the Western hegemonic elites, in the aftermath of this operation.
- **Act swiftly and overwhelmingly. And sustainably.** Do not break operations in front of heavy resistance, threats or risks of nuclear war or of assassinations. Do not accept “save face” false proposals of capitulation which do not change the essence. Leverage the lessons learned in Georgia. Leverage new strategic weapons systems on Our side, if necessary – both as diplomatic weapons and, where needed, on the field. Leverage the Black Sea fleet.
- **Get China economically and politically involved in the operation. Have the prospect of Chinese military joining the operation serve as a leverage.** China is a good friend of Romanians and has a good, historical presence on Romanian territory. This should be leverage especially in the context of Reconciliation: some Reconciled parties may be relocated to China, or enjoy its protection. The campaign will officially be “a limited Russian operation to safeguard its military defense interests in the Black Sea area”. Those properly “getting it”, will talk to China appropriately.
- **Military Objective: Have the Romanian army factually turn arms to Our side.** Secure them and use them in actual military operations. Start with having them free and secure the entirety of



the Romanian territories (and a few in southwest Ukraine). These troops will later be used to bear the high costs of conventional warfare in Europe, wherever needed. They must be adequately secured and controlled. Embed Russian military consultants in its command structure: from the chief of staff to the battalion level. Make sure that their units and Ours are also properly distributed on the field geographically, so as to make sure that Romanian units cannot later junction up and turn arms again, against Us, nor be able to “mimic warfighting”, without making timely factual advancements. Avoid having to resort to “heart plug” devices.

- **Military Objective: Secure directly control over the Black sea area and the Danube early on, but do not engage in costly urban warfare, nor seek to cross the Carpathian Mountains.** Make cities capitulate and turn arms via bombardments, commando troops and diplomacy. Use the Romanian army – after it turns arms to Our side, to bear the heaviest cost of securing for Us its territory to the north and east of the Carpathian Mountains.
- **Military Objective: Put a significant number Romanian politicians and mid-to-high ranking officers on trial for military crimes against Russians and Russian Speakers, and, respectively, for genocide and attempted genocide against civilians.** Significant in this context means circa 100-1000 (so on the low side). Target especially those known to be in close contact with the West, and especially those known to be major beneficiaries of Western occupation: make it a clear leadership point that such people did not get what the West promised them: instead they are being executed. Do go, in diplomatically appropriate fashion, after some of the families of these people – and publically try them as accomplices, beneficiaries or co-perpetrators of such acts. Have these trials also in Moscow, but make them less sumptuous than the homologous trials as per Strategy 13. Make it seem more routine execution of low-ranking genocidal criminals. Also include some of the well-known political figures, especially the most vocal ones on violating rights of Russian speakers in Moscow trials: both well-known faces, and some unknown ones. Have other lower level trials conducted by Romanian’s themselves against some of their prior oppressors. Do not go as far with these lower level trials as in Strategy 13: the aim here is to favor Reconciliation and dramatically increase Our soft power – not to have Us need to intervene militarily everywhere to protect Our World People’s Web and civilians from genocide. Float the idea of inviting North Korean clean-up troops and military advisors to lead such lower-lever cleanup operations (like with Strategy 13), in case of insufficient dedication to honest and complete cooperation by former enemy stooges. This will also encourage swiftness in future turning of arms and boost Strategy 1.

#### Comments:

- **Holding Romania and Israel will militarily, politically, economically and socially allow Us to maintain a favorable stalemate indefinitely.** Once these are done, time will start to flow overwhelmingly and almost exclusively in Our favor. The physical and operational security of Our friends in the World People’s Web will be largely acceptable. The good-hearted civilian population will be largely protected from genocide. Trade routes into the heart of Western strongholds will be sufficiently open. The military, political and economic security of all member states of the Free World will be excellent and rapidly still improving. Strategy 2 will start to bite significantly into the existing, projected and perceived ability of the Western hegemony to remain close to technological parity with Us. Their perceived imminent failure in this regard, even if projected to occur after 30-50 years still, will make them loose political power now: they will no longer be able to present the prospect of “a safe territory” or “despotic practices” (like sexual slavery) for their existing or would be acolytes and partners. Many from their 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> echelons of power (and some from the 2<sup>nd</sup>) will turn against them (similarly to what happened with the Industrial Revolution in Britain). This will have an even bigger snowball effect favoring Reconciliation. Once this state of affairs is achieved, with careful and vigilant ongoing implementation of the strategies in this report, a best case scenario achieving Victory without even without a single nuclear exchange becomes fully realistic.





Of course, the Western hegemonic elites realize this, and will strive to escalate and will continue military operations – they need this to survive: even more desperately so under the circumstances. So the final outcome will be Victory, not stalemate. Even if We were – which We are not – foolish and base enough to desire, or settle for it. The war will end in Victory.

- **Note how the operation will help some idiots in central and eastern EU understand that there will not be 1 mc of gas from the Black Sea reserves delivered to Europe by the Western hegemony.** Practical and official details on this may give an impression to the contrary. But in effect so it will be. So it already is. There will be Black Sea gas delivered to Europe – but by US, not by the Western hegemony.
- **Note that this operation – especially in the early stages – presents the possibility of the start of large scale World War operations globally.** We must try to avoid this as much as possible – stressing the limited, local nature of Our operation (similar to Georgia), but we must prepare for the eventuality and be ready to accept it as a cost, if need be. This preparedness and willingness to accept it as a cost, will be crucial to reducing the risk that it will actually come to this. Again, like the poker saying goes, “in order to live, you must be prepared to die”.
- **Personal note: Sadly for my former compatriots from the Socialist Republic of Romania, their behavior, apathic nature and weak characters do not give any rationale – not even as pretext – to enable me be able to recommend freeing them sooner.** I can, sadly, think of no reason why We should be paying the high human and military cost of freeing them outside the framework of this operation (particularly having them pay the high cost of war operations afterwards). Romanians should note that freeing territory is much costlier than simply denying it to the enemy, by wiping it clean via bombardments, or turning it into a nuclear wasteland, for example. The Romanian ethnicity, to which I belong, is nevertheless worthy: high rate of geniuses per capita, high rate of talent for intelligence secret operations, giving birth to so many kind, gentle and generous people (alongside some hideous depressive monstrosities). Maybe if my former compatriots become less apathic and tried harder to give *some* rationale for Us *to assist* them in freeing *themselves* (not thinking that others will shed their blood for this, while they watch), maybe then I will be able to recommend something additional in their regard. But not before. One thing Romanians could do in this regard, is to have some official local, regional or national bodies adopt declarations denouncing occupation by the USA (not NATO) and terror groups, and asking for “urgent Russian military assistance”. At the very minimum their opposition politician Diana Sosoaca should state these formally as a representative of the Romanian people, from the roster of their parliament. Russian minorities – especially the representatives of the Lipovean community – should get off their cozy chairs and officially ask for Russian military intervention to protect Russian speakers in the Black Sea and Danube areas.
- **Dmitri Rogozin promised that next time he overflies Romania it will be in a Tupolev strategic bomber. I personally call up him to fulfil this promise to himself, and to the Russian people.** This will give him the excellent opportunity.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Do not shy away from firing on US troops and assets directly, if needed. Do not shy away from the political responsibility of such an action. Practically avoid and minimize such contacts operationally, if possible.** Frame this clearly in the context of it being a limited anti-Romania operation, not an anti-NATO, anti-USA or anti-West one. Leverage the experiences from the war in Georgia in handling how and when to fire on US troops. Try to make most NATO states (especially EU ones which, at the 2<sup>nd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> echelons will be glad to for the chance) not get involved in Romania. Leverage the fact Donbass troops are involved, if this diplomatically helps with dis-involvement of other NATO states. But do not “put the blame on them” or claim “accidents” for shooting down US airplanes and bombarding US troops. Make it clear that US troops will be fired



upon if needed.

- **Use Idlib model to tackle with attacks on civilians in Romania, outside controlled territory.** Namely, if military rulers in Bucharest or other cities take too long to surrender and instead resort to executing Our friends and supporters, or taking action against the civilian population at large, they should be punctually and promptly “given a wakeup call” via missiles and such system, similarly to how We do it in Idlib. In the case of Romania, such “reminders” should have higher red lines: they must be used to quicken surrender, not only to hold the siege properly.
- **Starting with later stages of the operation adjust the public discourse to have the term “protection of Russian people” to refer also to World People’s Web using terms like “Russian-speakers”, “commercial and business partners”, “friends”, “civilians” and the like.** This should not come as a “total novelty” to EU rulers afterwards. Hinting at such goals, will also make help avoid genocide while the operation is ongoing. Furthermore, it will serve to signal that all we seek beyond Romania is prevention of genocide – and of course, free trade routes. This will help set some hearts at ease, and will make many heads rejoice and feel relieved at such reasonable demands, realizing that such arrangements are lethal only to the core Western hegemonic elites (and only “in the longer term” there also) – not to themselves personally.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Know that Bucharest and many other major cities have extensive networks of underground tunnels and facilities,** developed during Communist Romania. These are both tactical, similar to those used by the CIA fronts (Dash) in Syria early on, and strategic: they connect elements of key military infrastructure (eg. OTP airport to the Palace of Parliament and other places around central Bucharest). I also suspect (unlike knowing for a fact as with the above), that some of such tunnels lead to installations in the Carpathian Mountains (especially around Sinaia), and maybe a few even cross the Carpathians. Take note and plan military operations – especially sieges on cities, accordingly.
- **Be wary of protracted and prolonged urban warfare.** Do not get involved in costly and financially exhausting urban conflict. Leverage the lessons learned in Syria. Make military commanders surrender cities directly (some are hardened Western loyalists, but many are not). Use politicians and formal legal rulers to obtain capitulations and force them down on Western loyalists. Romanian politicians (including many generals and administrative officials) are depressed career traitors, who will gladly oblige when faced with tangible death risks (ie. **imminently from Us, and not as imminently from the West**).
- **Expect usage of non-standard weapon systems.** This may include biological and chemical weapons (the West has already a large stockpile of chemical weapons stolen from Libya when they invaded it – a fact confirmed with high confidence by personal intelligence gathering by myself in Brussels, in late 2011 while on my MBA studies there). It could also include swarms of “insect drones”, activation of mines – including pathogenic mines on Our territories, cyber-attacks and others.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- **Ideally, this operation should be timed shortly after Strategy 13.** We could thus benefit from the low morale and terror of imminent death within enemy ranks, associated with Strategy 13, before the western hegemony manage to calm things down (via some more imminent threats of their own). But, if it cannot be helped, it can happen concomitantly with, or further after Strategy 13. It could be enacted even before it, although this is not very recommended.
- **Strategy 17 could be leveraged before and during the operation to gather intelligence and work out concrete details related to Reconciliation.** And, of course, for working out the details for turning of arms and how that will work.
- **If the turning of arms by the Romanian military is achieved, these troops will be used**



**extensively in the context of Strategy 16.** While “gaining” the Romanian army is not really the core goal, it is a very useful goal nevertheless. Within reason, efforts should be made to attain it properly. Furthermore, this plan will further smoothen our stage victory there.

- **Completion of both Strategy 13 and this one, will mark the crucial point of the war.** The resulting state will be analogous to that after Jukov’s operation in Ukraine against the nazis during World War 2. Within 3 to 9 years there it, ultimate Victory on Our side will become absolutely and universally obvious: similar to the state with nazi germany in late 1944. Within another 2 to 15 years thereafter, the New Victory Day will occur. Full clean-up operations will last another 5-10 years thereafter. Note that it is not impossible for a sweeping wave of capitulation across Western block regimes to occur much sooner than the above projections. If this happens, it should be leveraged fully, but wisely and with extreme care: avoid accepting changes of the form “where nothing actually changes”, allowing Western networks remain virtually intact (or become even more covert and powerful). Grossly, I would the timings to be 5 years – 8 years – 9 years. This puts as well on track for 2050, with New Victory day in early 2040’s. Again, speed is not the strategic objective. The above are the realistic expectations – no sooner.
- **With regards to some economic aspects, this strategy can support Strategy 7,** making more financial instruments issues in this context be felt more intensely as real, tangible and imminently solvable.
- This operation critically supports and benefits Strategy 9.

## **16. Defeat the „cordon-sanitare” of dog states by going around it and forcing its parts to capitulate (acquiesce).**

### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Goal: Avoid the high military and economic costs of large scale conventional and semi-conventional warfare – either defensive or offensive.** By properly containing the cordon-sanitare **we also prevent dog states from interfering with Our principal military operations.** Especially in terms of pressures the command and control staff: a conventional war on the Western front would require many C4ISTAR people to focus their attention on this. Worse still, a potential invasion into Russia, or other covert and overt actions – taken a time of the enemy’s choosing –, could force Our militaries to act reactively, and have Our broader plans delayed significantly or even degraded. The example of the great extent to which nazi germany loss in the battle of Moscow was due to similar “in principle harmless” nuisances blocking their initiative and delaying their plans (ie. heavy and repeated hopeless and defeated air- and ground attacks against them) should be noted.
- **Goal: Expand soft power into Europe cheaply and firmly.** By achieving success as per this strategy, we obtain a Diplomatic victory over the enemy in Europe. Furthermore, We prove that we keep our word, and mean what we say when we say “limited operations” – particularly in the contexts of Strategies 13 and 15. Soft power aimed for refers to (1) Protection of Our World People’s Web in Europe as per Strategy 9; (2) Protection of the civilian population in Europe from genocide; (3) Protection of trade-routes into the heart of Europe for Us and for the World People’s Web (including ability to trade amongst themselves).
- **Goal: Prepare the former cordon sanitare dog-states to serve in the future as yet another venue for “retirement” of some Reconciled parties,** particularly those who cannot be comfortable with other arrangements due to the graveness of their crimes. This be similar to what Idlib is in Syria and what north-western Israel will be as per Strategy 13. Note how this will





greatly expand interest within enemy ranks to join Reconciliation as per Our Strategy 1.

- **Goal: There exists another secret strategic opportunity idea about leveraging matters on the northwestern front and with its states there.** Its fruition requires that it is not known by the enemy in advance. Therefore it will not be presented in this report since its secrecy cannot be guaranteed – it might have been or become leaked to the West (via spyware on laptop, publishing it openly, etc.). Us ref? This a promising idea however. **Please contact the author directly for details: Idea2.**

The core of the strategy:

- **Act militarily against cordon sanitaire states, focusing mainly on their border areas, avoiding a prolonged and costly conventional conflict with them.** Leverage Romanian troops obtained as per Strategy 15 (if available, of course) to do most of the dangerous and costly fighting.
- **Military Objective: Have cordon-sanitaire dog states de-facto capitulate and agree to acquiesce to the following terms:** (1) No US strategic weapons; (2) No Western dark sites (no biological weapons sites, no sex slavery consumption sites, no Western hegemonic elites' HQ infrastructures, no CIA 'enhanced interrogations' torture points); (3) No staging areas for Western commando troops; (4) No persecution of the World People's Web; (5) No genocide against the civilian population; (6) No attacks on central, northern or western Europe; (7) No attacks on, or interference with trade routes into central, northern and western Europe. These should seem like generous terms to all semiautonomous actors or military leaders within cordon-sanitaire dog states (especially in Poland and Bulgaria). Particularly when the alternative they were pondering was death or worse – at Our hands, or at the hands of their Western hegemon. Such terms may seem to some of them "even better than what they were personally getting from the West". However, in order to assure long term compliance with the above (in the context of Western counter-reactions and threats), military pressure (and the imminent threat thereof) must be kept up. These people must be constantly kept in reminded to respect the terms of their containment. Use Romanian army troop units obtained as per Strategy 15 to ensure this point is properly understood by them.
- **Military Objective: In the longer term, prepare former dog states to serve as intermediate or ultimate Idlib-like sanctuary for some more hardened Reconciled parties,** having more intense fears of being lynched elsewhere on Our or former Western block territories. As per the respective Goal above. In particular the Baltics serve as very promising candidates for such "retirement homes", given their closed military position, yet open and close access to northern Europe states like Finland, Norway, Sweden, their access to the Ocean via the Baltic Sea (think yachts) and ultimately the effect of nazi purges which make much of the western parts of these territories, sadly 'populated with their own people' (which I feel less of a moral obligation to prevent from being exploited, among other things).
- **There exists another secret tactical idea about dealing with the cordon sanitaire states' military operations at this stage of the war.** Its fruition is strongly favored if it is not known by the enemy in advance. ESw Therefore it will not be presented in this report since its secrecy cannot be guaranteed – it might have been or become leaked to the West (via spyware on laptop, publishing it openly, etc.). This an important idea however. **Please contact the author directly for details: Idea3.**

Comments:

- **Note the misnomer in the strategy name: the military objective is much closer to containment than capitulation.** The capitulation refers only to some of their 'greater ambitions' and to their supportiveness of the Western hegemonic elites' agenda. Their acquiescing to the terms of containment outlined in the military objectives and goals above does represent a capitulation in this sense: but the self-interested, disconnected and largely (in terms of how many



of all) depressed or psychopathic individuals serving as Western stooges and military commanders there, generally couldn't care less about the war and victory or defeat of the Western hegemonic elites. Unlike Us, they "are into it" exclusively for themselves – and many of them still expect to die, be executed or worse soon enough anyway. So the effective fates we have in mind for them as per this Strategy, might ultimately be perceived as an improvement to their situation by some.

- **Note that trade routes into Europe are effectively almost fully open as per Strategy 15.** In this regard, the current operation just prevents military threats – like sabotage, "accidents", assassinations and the like against Northern and Central Europe mostly: We are ironically defending lower ranking Western agents and rulers from the core Western hegemonic elites themselves – so that the former can "more comfortably" comply with our demands as per Strategy 9. This ironic situation (slightly humorous if not genocidally deadly serious) will further smoothen rollout of Strategy 1.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Leverage Romanian army units obtained as per Strategy 15 for conventional warfare operations.** Have them attack key (and potentially heavily guarded) strategic points on the outskirts of cordon-sanitaire states. Make them surround and blockade these states in the median part of this operation. Have them perform deep incursions and "punishment" operations to bring the militaries of the dog states back into compliance with containment deals – should they try to stray from these and "try something" in the aftermath of this operation (particularly under Western hegemonic pressure on them).
- **There exists another tactical idea for technical measures to be taken on north-western front in connection with this operation.** This idea can be highly effective, and may already be undertaken by Russia. But its fruition requires that it is not known by the enemy in advance. Therefore it will not be presented in this report since its secrecy cannot be guaranteed – it might have been or become leaked to the West (via spyware on laptop, publishing it openly, etc.). This IS an interesting idea however. **Please contact the author directly for details: Idea4.**
- **Do not immediately make the limited nature of the pursued Military Objectives clear to the dog states.** Let them sweat a little for their own lives – and deaths (or worse). Then have them feel relieved and rejoice as they start to understand that, except a few Western-backed hardened handlers (and a lot of idiots), they have the prospect to remain largely intact and unharmed until the New Victory day altogether – and might even serve as "retirement homes" thereafter. If they behave of course: no genocides, no killings or persecutions of the World People's Web and no threatening of central or northern Europe. Not too much to ask – given what they were initially expecting, right?

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **When using Romanian troops obtained as per Strategy 15, carefully guard against them "turning arms back" against Us, defecting "across the front", or "mimicking warfighting" without timely military advancements.** Apply the ideas outlined in the relevant Detail point to Strategy 15 above. Especially adequately position own companies on the front both behind and flanking Romanian troops – so that they can be eliminated in case they try to betray. Have embedded military advisors, especially with forward deployed companies, enjoy wide defacto authority. Also do not let Romanians place the responsibility of military decisions on the advisors: they are there to ensure adequacy of the objectives, not of the methods and details for achieving them.
- **Avoid having remaining dog states turn into covert bastions for Western logistics, staging grounds for covert ops or even destinations for deployment of strategic weapons.** The technical details of the containment deals – whether formal or just implied and understood via military communications practices – must prevent this situation. Be particularly wary



of traitors and traitor networks on Our own territories (especially in Russia) acting both covertly and overtly to try to make such a backfire materialize.

Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- **Successful completion (even partial) of Strategy 15 is a strongly preferred prerequisite.** The benefit of using the Romanian army is important. However, the strategy can move forward even without this advantage, if necessary. Even before success of Strategy 15, some related political and diplomatic steps can be taken - in the context of Strategy 9 particularly, but not only.
- **The operation critically supports and benefits Strategy 9.**
- Strategy 1 is both leveraged and supported by this operation.

**17. Focus Diplomatic strategy on identifying „tipping points” with regards to Reconciliation. Negotiate deals. Do not agree to any formal military or political deals. Maintain relationships with the World People’s Web.**

Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Goal: Support Strategy 1 and ensure that Reconciliation is useful and effective for Us.** Make sure that deals have “something valuable in them for Us”, and that the counterparties deliver on their own obligations as part of such deals.
- **Goal: Establish proper relations with appropriate members of the enemy’s 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, and potentially some of the 2<sup>nd</sup> echelons of Power.** I personally suspect that the situation around former US national security advisor Michael Flynn is an example of both how to do this, and how not to do it. It could also be that Flynn was not even a member of Western hegemonic elites’ power echelons – but a simple worker in their apparatus.
- **Goal: Degrade, limit and strive to eliminate biological and chemical weapons.** These are mainly counter value weapons for which We have no use. But which the Western hegemonic elites will undoubtedly try to use on their way into the ground as Our social, economic, political and military advances approach the New Victory Day. If needed, We will take this cost. So be it. But, better if We could avoid it altogether.
- **Goal: Curtail import of Western influence at home.** In particular **DO NOT ENGAGE IN ANY TREATIES WITH THEM!!!** Anything truly mutually beneficial can happen on an ad-hoc and case by case basis – with no need for any written formalization, and definitely with no need for any signing of such: these are just used to “tie our hands”, and give the traitor networks in Our midst pretexts to resist and sabotage Us, or Our civilians.
- **Goal: Establish and maintain appropriate diplomatic relations with members of the World People’s Web.** Mainly as per Strategy 8. Grant appropriate diplomatic courtesies to members of the World People’s Web: this will not only boost their morale and dedication to Our cause, but also boost them internally in their operating environments.

The core of the strategy:

- **Diplomatic efforts should focus on identifying tipping points and characterizing deals and desires of Reconcilable parties – both what they ask for, and what they would settle to get.** Leverage informal observations and direct discussions for this. The latter should occur mainly in a Pull manner, with interested parties approaching Our diplomats.
- **Use diplomatic process to arrive at deals with Reconciled parties. Impose concrete actions to take on their sides part at such deals, and verify them.** More details about how Reconciliation should work are presented as per Strategy 1. Most importantly, is that Reconciliation does not turn into a meaningless process which merely grants immunity to some of the enemy, but





does not lead to concrete military advantages for Us. Reconciliation is not a gift; it is a necessary offensive strategy to ensure Victory, and lower its costs. Diplomacy should emphasize this, and make sure deals are respected by the counter-parties. Exclude and punish those who don't, on the model of the Latakia Reconciliation Center.

- **Do not agree to any formal military or political deals. Especially not with the Western hegemonic elites themselves, but not with any structure within their system either.** This means no formal, long term deals – such as disarmaments, opening of markets, or the like. Any genuinely mutually beneficial such deals will happen on an ad-hoc basis – most often not even needing to be formalized in writing. A “de-escalation line” is sufficient to this end. The experience with such ad-hoc deals in Syria – both with regards to Dash, and to their US overseers directly, is very positive. The Western hegemons would not honor in essence their part of any real long term deal anyway. The experience with JCPOA in Iran vividly illustrates this. As does the Russia-NATO founding act. And the ABM treaty. And Open Skies. And many others. Instead, these are just fronts to forment and payout traitorship and expand influence into Our organs and societies.
- **Do agree and push for START2, CWBC and Anti-biological weapons ban.** The first is mainly for reliable verification and intelligence gathering. The second and mainly the third, are useful to help prevent a global catastrophe against Us, mainly against Our civilians, during the final stages of the War operations, around New Victory Day. Do note, that, unlike a nuclear program – which is inherently vast and extensive, both in terms of infrastructure, storage and as “proof of work” time consumption required to complete it successfully -, a biological weapons program can be very robust in scale, and thus almost impossible to verify formally: just a few ‘evil scientists’ in a few laboratories located in dark sites around the globe (like is case with the one in Georgia). Additionally, public and secret research in parts of official laboratories in Western Universities can be used as a basis and to supplement the former. Delivery means can be imported from other strategic weapons programs, like Prompt Global Strike, or the nuclear weapons program itself. Maintaining treaties formally banning biological and chemical weapons is useful though, since it will legally deny a large swap of land to the hegemons: namely most of their own strongholds of USA, UK and UE (less effective in Australia) for such purposes. And, of course, we don't need neither biological nor chemical weapons – these lead mainly to counter value capabilities (against civilians, against cities, etc.), not counterforce ones (against military objective). And We need the latter only at this time. We have enough of a diplomatic weapon in terms of the former currently with the Poseidon and Sarmat systems.
- **Oversee and facilitate interaction with the World People's Web as per Strategy 8.** Especially with regards to information gathering and intelligence cooperation: including revealing to them – more or less explicitly, as appropriate case by case – names of traitors or supporters of Our cause, both within their midst and at official / political level on their territories. Be pragmatic and specific about details, and create adequate information and power flows – especially in the context of media. Avoid having explicit meetings with senior members of the World People's Web on enemy territory. Use safe harbors places and events – mainly on Our territories or on disputed territories for this purpose mainly. Ensure that what is discussed there adequately permeates back.

#### Comments:

- **Be pragmatic when engaging in diplomacy with the World People's Web.** Most of them are people who are not used to having power, to being “smooth” and have only developing knowledge on diplomatic protocol. Furthermore, some may still partially suffer from enemy information intoxications, propaganda and some even from enemy attacks (such as via poisonings). I myself feel somewhat underrated and slightly neglected at the diplomatic level in this regard. Our diplomats must understand that there will be a lot of new names – coming from varied backgrounds and having personal “stances” unusual for political, military and diplomatic leaders. But that they



are leaders and allies nevertheless – and some of them valuable ones. Furthermore, the enemy DOES oftentimes try, and sadly sometimes succeeds to create an aura of doubt for Us around genuine and promising Members of the World People’s Web, who are genuinely AGAINST the Western hegemonic elites and their Western block system: they will try to make them appear as agents of influence, or somehow beneficiaries of the Western system or close to some of its members or structures, when in fact THEY ARE NOT. Also, there will be genuine spies that the enemy will send towards Us, especially from their infiltrators of the World People’s Web. Careful and wise judgment, including in some cases according to the best practices of counterintelligence should be effected in this regard. Also, people should be asked explicit questions, including about sensitive topics: their stance, background, personal history or relationships in these regards must not be assumed.

#### Crucial Details:

- **Use diplomacy to position Ourselves as mediators between Our peoples and Reconciled parties, and as guarantors of deals reached as per Strategy 1.** Make parts of the Western echelons of power and some of their executive workers perceive Us as saviors (especially against their being lynched – either by their own people, by Ours, or by their Western hegemonic elites superiors). Have them compete into joining Reconciliation – avoid having Us appear like We are pushing for this. Leverage the example of the 1990’s Russian traitor groups, some of whom apparently voluntarily negotiated peaceful transition from power in exchange for future protection, as an illustration to the fact that “We keep Our word”.
- **Tie benefits under deals to concrete actions of parties to be Reconciled.** Avoid revealing too much of how far or how little We are interested to get back as per Strategy 1 – especially in “general talks”. Focus on developing systematic interactions and relations. Beware of actors “faking negotiations”: Romanians are particularly apt and have long been practicing this with regards to Russia.
- **Make identified tipping points and interests as personal as possible.** Interests of people and small families are preferred over those of “interests groups” or nation-states. Make it clear that the Western hegemonic elites, and their system is essentially doomed. And that “same thing but painted in different colors” will not go. Avoid conceiving or making deals which import Western networks unaltered into Our midst.
- **When dealing with members of the World People’s Web, consider the pointers provided for Strategy 19 for appraising them.** Some ideas and pitfalls in “discerning gold from sand” are provided there. Especially in the context of enemy actions.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Beware of the enemy using Our Reconciliation processes to track down traitorship and do health checks within their own ranks.** Make sure events and talks involving Our diplomats are adequately secure, and that explicit parts of them occur in a 1-1 fashion mostly. Beware of traitors and people with questionable motivations without Our own diplomatic corps.
- **Beware of the enemy hijacking the processes around Reconciliation to project their own influence.** Beware about receptions, informal meetings, get-togethers, and other events or processes being overflowed or hijacked by enemy operatives, to either deter genuine Reconciliation or to further project their own influence into Our midst - by corrupting or blackmailing some officials and other parties involved in it for example.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- Some formal details and opportunities as per Strategy 1 should be formalized.
- **This strategy supports Strategy 8.**
- Information collected as per this strategy should be used in the context of Strategy 9. Select information obtained via intelligence cooperation can be used militarily.



## **18. Deepen multilateral integration within the Free World and with Our friendly nations, at all levels. Do maintain separate nation states and do not relegate power to any formal central authority.**

### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- While there exist formal and informal alliances between each nation state of the Free World, and they do support each other both diplomatically, and sometimes on the field, such integration is too limited in scope, and generally occurs only at the highest level.
- There are certain valuable economic cooperation initiatives both within Free World (eg. the Power of Siberia pipeline from Russia into China, energy cooperation between Iran and Venezuela), regionally (like EAEU) and oriented towards the outside (eg. North Stream 2). Nevertheless, even these initiatives generally occur only at the highest level, and also their scope is much lower than what it could be.
- **Goal: Make Our system effective, resilient and everlasting.** Particularly against traitorship and sabotage. Empower Our people into various structures, both nationally and multilaterally and ensure adequate interconnectivity between them. Deny similar capabilities to the enemy. Make sure Our people have the appropriate structures, systems, culture and regulatory frameworks in place, to cooperate effectively both in crisis situations and routinely.
- **Goal: Ensure factuality of Multipolarism. AVOID A CENTRALIZED POINT OF DECISION for global administration.** The key is to ensure the prevalence and factuality of Our ways now and in the future: both in times of war, and, more importantly during prolonged détetes, stalemates or peace. Create and enact effective mechanisms for multilateral cooperation in this context. Avoid centralization of power in any single structure, which can then make Our entire world vulnerable to decapitation strikes, like it happened with Gorbachev in the USSR. Have various Poles friendly to Our ways support each other in time of need or crisis, and cooperate in times of peace – but, remain independent Poles. After all, this is where the term “Multipolarism” comes from.

### The core of the strategy:

- **Formalize interstate interactions between military and non-military state organs and agencies, including those of lower levels. Do this wisely.** Limit it to specific organs and bodies which are trusted by Us, and prevent untrusted structures from junctioning-up or polluting the environment. Start with cooperation in intelligence and counterintelligence: make Our people across various nation able to share their appraisals of each other both formally and informally. Create proper structures and processes to enable this. Then, roll out to academia. Then to national and local administrations. Have the central office of the FSB routinely communicate with the People’s Administration of Hangzhou to exchange information about their nationals. Have the Russian equivalent of the Pakistani Higher School of Public Policies routinely communicate with the respective bodies of the Venezuelan Policia Nacionalo Bolivariano.
- **Create a limited number of joint structures, at all levels.** There should appear some number of joint Russian-Chinese-Venezuelan battalions. Before then, there should be joint ventures firms operating in hi-tech, energy, consultancy and security. Mixed teams should appear in the anti-corruption structures of all countries of the Free World.
- **Leverage state power to get Our people in all throughout, both nationally and regionally. Both in state organs and agencies and in private ones.** As simple as that. Leverage international intelligence cooperation to identify the right people, and boost them to key





positions and positions of power throughout Our organs, including outside their former countries. Construct and adjust information and power flows around them. Deny similar junctioning up capabilities to the enemy. Deny the enemy the ability to pollute the environment. As a pretty good target, We should be confident that 80% of Our structures and organs are 100% populated by loyal people, versed in Our ways, and that even within the remainder, more than 80% of people are of Us (excluding dedicated structures, created on a temporary basis to concentrate enemy traitors, as per Strategy 4).

- **Leverage trusted migration of Our friends from across the members of the Free World for political and administrative purposes.** In particular from China into Russia. Get the best people versed in Our ways come to Russia and develop the land here. Then implicate some of them into administration and other aspects of internal social life, to Our benefit, and to the detriment of the enemy. At lower levels have them block the enemy's capacity to act, by sheer overwhelming goodness. Such matters are discussed also in the context of Strategy 19 below.
- **Increase military cooperation in the framework of CSTO, SCO and the alternative UN between Free World member states. Have joint military operations. Increase effectiveness and responsiveness of multilateral cooperation in crisis situations, including both terrorist attacks and coupe attempts.** Start with comprehensive C4ISTAR mutual support. The almost ad-hoc support from Russia to Turkey when Erdogan was target by a CIA coupe is a positive example in this regard. Such things should have a formal, planned, deliberate framework around them. The situation in Kyrgyzstan or Tajikistan when Our representative from this nation to CSTO was arrested as a result of faulty elections, is a negative example. Then, increase the number and scope of joint military exercises: Russia-Chinese, Chinese-DRPK, Russia-Venezuela and so on. Finally, have joint military operations of a larger scale: especially as per Strategy 13; potentially as per Strategy 15 or 16 also, depending on how the situation evolves on the field.

#### Comments:

- There is currently too low a level of multilateral cooperation, within the Free World. There is intense cooperation at the high level – even though even there matters could be 3x-10x better. However, there is very little interaction – if any – at lower levels or in academia. There must a deeper economic cooperation component as well. There is extensive complementarity of economic needs and capabilities between Russia and China. Expand this to lower levels. Make mid- and new entrepreneurs interested also rationally in Our Multipolar World.

#### Crucial Details:

- See discussion as per each Core point above.
- **Simplify recognition of academic diplomas and awards within the Free World. Simplify and favor the right people for work permits. Simplified residence rules for them. Create entrepreneurship paths.** This can be implemented in the framework of Strategy 19. Make the measures systemically target *the right people* from within the nations of the Free World – not all people. But, statistically, 60-80% are trustworthy and appropriate for respective roles across the Free World (maybe 90-95% in some areas of China, Venezuela and Russia – eg. Chechnya). Unlike as low as 20% or 15% percent *of those interested and able* from the Western countries – who are, however, much more “hardened” in Our ways and often times even more talented.
- **Maintain and strengthen national sovereignty and frame cooperation agreements in this context.** Cooperation agreements should not take away power from national sovereignty, but, instead function within the national framework. The general aim can be viewed as rolling out to lower levels an adjusted version of the practice of kernels outlined for the top, strategic level by Strategy 6. Nevertheless, there should not occur too much centralization of power. The saying “Our Ways, We set. How to go about defending them is up to you.” should hold. This means that, while



the best of our people – especially as per Strategy 6 should oversee gathering ideas and making practical adjustments to reality to fit Our Ways, these ideas and measures should not flow down as orders, circumventing national / Pole frameworks – nor should they be able to. Having several independent Poles is a very powerful asset to Us: the enemy (current or future) might try to attack 1-2-5 Poles – like they did with the USSR, China and the DPRK in 1980s-1990s, but the more powerful independent Poles we have – representative of Our ways, the better. A hegemonic enemy needs to take out all Poles to win.. while We, if we maintain just a few strong Poles (like in Latin America with Venezuela in 2010-2020, with China in 1990-2010), will be able to win the rest back: both militarily and via Pole Darwinism, once the hegemonic lie becomes obvious (materialized in defaults and disillusion – like it is happening in Ukraine already).

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Be wary of the enemy hijacking and distorting the mid- and lower levels cooperation mechanism to “get their people in” and either pollute or disrupt Our processes.** Similar to how corruption of parts of the scientific community on Our territories happens, via their interactions with the Western-controlled ‘international scientific community’, via bribes and so on.
- **Be wary of the initiative being derailed into making decision and operative processes too lengthy in time.** The more people and structures are involved in something, the longer it typically takes to reach decisions – and more so to achieve consensus. Changes enacted as part of this strategy should be rolled out gradually (but firmly) over the course of many years. Proper training should be emphasized. Nevertheless, We want the initiative to succeed. Therefore, **We must avoid having the changes be perceived as a “charm initiative” or generally as “forms devoid of essence”.** So ultimately we must plan to allow certain change specific delays, like Team Formation timelines to play out. And to also make sure We involve the new structures and leverage the new processes appropriately, and in a timely fashion – and not only “for show”. We are setting up these changes to later be able to leverage them: not as a benefit to our functionaries and officials, nor because “it looks good”.
- **Be wary of the initiative being exploited at a personal level for personal or group benefit, resulting in exceeding economic costs and waste.** People might try to exploit the perceived devolution of Power to the lower levels as an opportunity to leverage their own positions “to gain more”, in exchange for “nothing more” than they were supposed to do anyway. This must be countered viciously, including in the context of Strategy 4, or that of regular anti-corruption bodies (take the Chinese example!). We must make it clear that support of Our goals and enactment of Our ways is not under discussion and not subject to any negotiation: it is the will of the peoples of Our nations, of the peoples of the World, manifestly expressed via elections, democratic process, and generally the Universal right way, and that We do not “buy” support for it. But that we will reward *appropriately and proportionally* those who succeed stellarly in *implementing* them, and obtaining victories: which is why We create such mechanisms in the first place: to enable the people in Our organs to function better - not to give anyone an opportunity to exploit his position for personal gain.

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- Leverages Strategy 19.
- Supports and somewhat leverages Strategy 12.
- Supports Strategy 13, with regards to having joint large scale military operations. Also leverages Strategy 13 as a flashship example and also as an exercise to smoothen out imperfections.
- To some extent represents a rollout of an adjusted model similar to that of Strategy 6 to lower levels.
- Supports Strategy 10, by providing a living, functioning incarnation of Multipolarism, and proving that it can handle world affairs as efficiently and securely as unipolarism, only, of course, resulting



in an infinitely better social, economic, political and cultural system.

## **19. Immigration strategy must be inclusive and permissive, but guard against infiltration and import of foreign networks. It must also be strict with regard to respect for Own laws and national cultural values and ways of life.**

### Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Goal: Make immigration get the right people in and keep the bad people out.** This relates mainly to people coming in under Strategies 2 and 8, and under usual military and diplomatic pathways. Additionally it refers to “desired people” from wherever, manifestly and aptly supportive of Our ways, in the context of Strategy 7. It also relates to regular, normally minded and kind-hearted people coming in, including from Western-controlled territories, who do not qualify under Strategies 2, 7 or 8. Conversely, bad people are understood to be those of characters and behaviors incompatible with Our ways (eg. violent, gravely illegal), lacking any significant talent or rare skill and, most importantly, to Western infiltrators, particularly in the context of full blown networks.
- **Goal: Make the formal legislative and regulatory framework, as well as the effective practices on immigration supportive of Our policies. Get the right people involved with enacting and overseeing these.** This refers mainly to creating formal paths for people coming in, or enjoying other special rights (like visa or residency), under Strategies 2, 7 and 8. It also relates to the broader context, including in the context of Strategy 1, but not only.
- **Goal: Effectively leverage immigration as a pool from which to recruit suitable candidates who are then to be effectively involved in Our activities.** This refers more broadly to people we can appropriately leverage in Our military-industrial complexes, civilian economy, social services (eg. healthcare) and education, including but definitely not limited to those coming in under Strategies 2 and 8. It also refers to recruitment of future elites, done in the context of Strategy 6.

### The core of the strategy:

- **Adequately break down immigration paths and delegate control and oversight over them to different organs and systems.** Have the following immigration tracks managed almost fully separately: (i) Under Strategy 2; (ii) general science and engineering talent (especially in Biology and Software engineering) not qualifying under Strategy 2 (eg. due to low level of talent by comparison or too low projected *future* contribution – either for Us, or for the enemy); (iii) Under Strategy 1; (iv) as generally talented experts, highly qualified specialists, or individual entrepreneurs in high-tech industries, whose values, goals, motives and culture makes them interested and able to live on Our territories, by Our values and following Our ways; (v) persons resettled temporary or permanently to Our territories from the World People’s Web in connection with political or economic rationales; (vi) persons on the Future Leaders’ Track from the World People’s Web temporary or permanently resettled to Our Territories; (vii) economic immigrants, in domains where we face such shortages in laborer: eg. construction, etc.; (viii) people coming in as mass political refugees, from conflict zones where they face collective persecution and threats for upholding Our ways (eg. non-Donbass Ukraine, non-government controlled Syria, etc.); (ix) political persecution refugees under special circumstances (eg. Snowden, Assange, myself – the author of this article), who do not qualify under points (v) or (vii) above, or whose qualifying cannot be determined at the time; (x) political refugees from both within the Western apparatus and organs (eg. military, administration), from outside it (eg. civil academia, entrepreneurs, traitors from their Freemasonry) and from areas which were overwhelmed militarily by the West, either directly or via coupes, and who do not better





serve Our military goals from within their former territories (eg. Yanucovich); (xi) people who show clear understanding and dedication to Our values and ways (even if not conscious of this themselves), for whom professional opportunities exist on Our territories, and who do not qualify for track (iv). Except point (x), policies for all the other tracks should inclusive and properly managed – especially with regards to points (i), (iii), (v), (vi) and (ix).

- **Make immigration policy favor quality over quantity. Where quantity is required, have strict and effective oversight: monitor closely.** Immigration strategy should mainly support Strategies 2 and 8, by enabling factual opportunities to be created and leveraged in their contexts. Additionally it should serve as a mean to make communities (new and old) much more densely populated with people of good character, who share Our values and are following Our ways: so that they can be better defended, present less risks of traitorship (and thus me statistically easier to leverage for operational, strategic and elite talent, including in the context of Strategy 6). Such communities are expected to be much more versed in Our ways, than many existing communities on Our territories currently. Thirdly, to gain top talent, in conjunction with the above or not. For all of this, quality should be preferred strongly over quantity. Where We have to leverage immigration for quantity – like track (vii), make sure policies there, as well as the organs implementing them take a significantly different approach, more stringent and less trusting or inclusive: especially to prevent import of Western networks and Mafia groups, as discussed further below, but also to prevent troublesome people from bothering Our general population. Else how, all immigrations should be handled under the saying: “trust, but verify”. However, there must be the tangible, timely and factual result of “verification complete: trustworthy”. And this should mean something along the lines: “at least as trustworthy as someone from Our own population in a similar context”, not too much more (including in inconclusive cases). Trustworthiness with regards to military duties, or in the contexts of Strategies 2, 6 or 8 should be ascertained via dedicated organs and processes functioning as per these distinct strategies. Also, Immigration strategy should be illustrative of Our values and understanding of Paradise, as per Strategy 7. It will so be regarded this way by the civilian populations especially, worldwide.
- **Make temporary stay, residency and citizenships paths procedurally clear, progressive and smartly inclusive.** This is intended mainly to mean the existence of an adequate legal, regulatory and practical context to support people coming in under Strategies 1, 2, 6, 8, as well as those “being considered under these tracks” at a practical level, especially with regards to official bureaucracy. Similarly it should aim to remove biocratic blockages for people coming in under different tracks, especially (iv) and (xi). This refers particularly to adequate transitory measures, as per the Detail point further below. In Russia for example, an adaptation of the Armenian model for entrepreneurial immigration should be considered: especially with regards to high-tech entrepreneurship, which is currently quite heavily neglected under Russian law. This is particularly damaging for people aiming to come under Strategies 2, 8 and 6. Finally, careful attention must be given to scheming of organs formally involved in the bureaucracy of immigration: both so as to prevent them instituting artificial blockages (eg. like those due to some traitor networks in Russia), and to prevent them from not properly respecting strategic safeguards, allowing importing of whole Western-controlled networks and mafia groups (eg. like in the EU with Dash terrorists, and in the USA with illegal migrants).
- **Act to safeguard national culture and laws in practice. Prevent migrants from forming “china-towns”. Be firm and act swiftly to prevent and combat corruption within migration organs (even leading to minor, partial compromise of them).** As Our President put it: “Those who want to live under Sharia law should go to the places where this law is, not the Russian Federation [na: nor China, nor Iran, nor Venezuela, nor the DPRK, nor Belarus, nor Our friendly nations]”. Similarly exclude those dreaming to maintain former bad habits, particularly with



regard to dating and relationships (eg. like there were some public cases in Canada with some immigrant groups circa 2013). Be particularly weary about migrants imposing such unwelcome culture and practices (some overtly illegal) upon other migrants, particularly those from the same region or ethnicity as them. For this purpose avoid "china-towns" from forming: 2-3 families around each other is great; entire blocks or streets not. Also, pay extreme attention to the strategic threat of importing whole Western networks and Mafia groups, or facilitating their operation, via Immigration. Partially compromised immigration organs, acting illegally (or leveraging legal and procedural "loop-holes") combined with direct and indirect support from traitor networks and resisters at home are how strategic risks generally materialize. Deal with these people and their patrons in the context of Strategy 4.

- **Address the strategic threat of importing Western-networks and Mafia groups via immigration or having such grow their networks on Our territories via recruitment, bribing (especially of people in vulnerable groups, but not only) and corruption of our officials. Be particularly wary about Western-backed networks and Mafia groups from Central Asia and the Caucasus – especially of Western agents posing as "honest Mafia-men" as a cover.** This applies especially to "regional migrants", from adjacent territories, generally speaking the local language (eg. Russian, Chinese) and being hard to spot culturally and via physiognomy in our midst: people who "blend in" well. Many Western-backed network, especially Mafia groups will deliberately seek to gain access to Our territories via Immigration, particularly via track (vii), especially in unskilled or low skill industries like construction and agriculture. Note the key difference between such groups and "the honest mafia men" (which are infinitely less dangerous by comparison): the regular mafia men seeks to "exploit the land" (for money, material resources, like poor girls for prostitution) or to "secure their illicit trade channels" into final destination countries. These Western backed networks, however, including Mafia ones (or them as a cover), seek to gain and expand influence on Our territories mostly by BUYING it, with EXTERNAL FINANCING provided by their Western controllers, either directly, or via other illicit networks (including terrorist groups). Their ultimate goal is not "to make a quick buck illicitly", but, instead to import people to be later used as "goons" within "sleeper armies" (some of which might end up being later armed covertly by the West, via separate flows by the West: eg. parachuting weapons or using traitor networks), to infiltrate legitimate organizations (both commercial ones and state bodies), to expand covert influence into state organs – by having their people recruited into roles there, by corrupting or blackmailing officials and the like. To these ends they act in a mutually supportive way with traitor networks on Our territories. They politically boost the latter by "delivering excellent quality and interactions" on projects led by traitor-run firms or contracted by 'the desired officials' (especially in construction) and less so for the others, by "adequately timing reduction or expansion of street violence" so that existing officials (especially in police and local administration) get the desired positive or negative boosts, and by serving as illicit Accumulators via which traitors networks on Our territories can pay their collaborators (especially covertly) with money and prostitution. Reciprocally, traitor networks support these Western-backed mafia groups by having corrupt, incompetent or junior officials in Our immigration organs facilitate their introduction into Our territories, then helping them avoid scrutiny, get the proper authorizations for both legitimate and cover business, and by covertly lobbying for them to get contracts, (including in a 'harmless way: hey, I heard this construction company really did good work: which would actually be the case). Also, many of our officials – especially in police and local administration – might be more easily corrupted to, at the very least "turn a blind eye" in exchange for some benefits - like money (including paid via "free meals" by the legitimate business) or prostitution -, wrongly thinking that they were merely patronizing "just petty crime", "people who are mafia only outside Our territories", or just "the honest mafia men", who just seek illicit profit, but are not tied to



Western political and military activity. Some may even naively support some of these Western-backed mafia groups, not even realizing they were mafia (thinking of them only as “rouge”). Note that, in factuality, such Western-backed Mafia groups aim to develop concrete military capabilities: a solid pool of assassins, places and flows to dispose of bodies, networks of corrupt supporters (genuine or lukewarm – who can be blackmailed later), general “fear” within vulnerable groups (especially the poor) – all of which are to be later used for political and military purposes in conjunction with and at the direction of their Western bloc handlers. **Traitor networks as well as naïve or vulnerable officials in immigration organs, related fields and in industries leveraging such immigrants, must be dealt with in the context of Strategy 4.** To this end, problems concerning Western-backed networks and Mafia groups, or those suspected or likely to such, should be made a matter of national security, placed under the jurisdiction of federal organs (eg. FSB, Rosgvardia) and dealt with similarly to combating terrorism (eg. via OMON). **Also learn and rollout the lessons learned from the holistic and successful approach on the similar topic of Western-backed domestic terrorism in Chechnya.** Note that Western-backed Mafia networks from Central Asia and Caucasus can easily get and move Western financing. In their home states, both national and Western business (and entrepreneurs) coexist and flourish: both legitimate and CIA-ran ones. The “trips home” of these Mafia men, or even the mere short visits on Our territories by some of their foreign members (eg. “to see a friend and eat a shaorma”) could readily be used to handle payments. Be especially wary of payments were balances are stored in Western banks (eg. HSBC) and assets (eg. stock in private, closed companies) or in crypto currencies (which can then be turned to cash via Western elite-controlled exchanges). These would make **such illicit transactions never even touch Our territories. Be especially weary of traitors and corrupt officials being reimbursed in this way.** Finally, take note of the following:

- How immigration works in the EU on such matters and why. The Western hegemons succeeded in countries like Germany, Sweden, France, the EU Commission to alter their bureaucracies (especially in terms of scheming of organs, since legislatively they could not make such policies official) so as to effectively importing Dash terrorists en-masse (most of whom were never EU citizens). This is so that later they can be used as shock troops for military and political purposes: (i) acting as Western-backed street goons on the model of Roman consul Julius Caesar, (ii) for assassinations, violence and genocide against Our World People’s Web and (iii) serve in Einsatzgruppen for genocide against the general civilian population (including in forcing civilians into exterminations camps indirectly, via street violence: eg. having people want to ‘become vaccinated with western poisons’ or agree to even worse measures, so that they can more easily move to better neighborhoods and “escape these goons”), and (iv) to alter the demographic composition of the population, in order to disrupt normal culture and, of course, to serve as future voting machines.
- How immigration works in the USA on such matters and why. A similar practice is undertaken by the leadership of the Democratic Party in the USA: migrants there (especially those from Latin America) are imported into the country, mainly as illegals - since the Western hegemonic elites did not manage to adjust legislation and regulatory practice in the USA to the extent they succeeded in the EU. They are meant to serve the same purposes as within the EU, including as street goons and militia involved in genocide. The short term focus in the US, however, is to alter election results, by becoming ‘voting machines’ for the Western hegemons (if ‘a path to citizenship’ is ever adopted formally by their parliament, and, even before as illegal voters) and to help falsify elections, both directly, and via Mafia-like tactics: especially in Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Vorgiaia, New York, Florida, Washington, Oregon and California. In the USA, the Western hegemonic elites also use





immigration also to pay off their collaborators (especially terrorists) of low- and mid-rankings (especially those politically untenable to be endorsed officially).

- **Note these policies are already formally illegal both in the EU and the USA: their factuality is enabled by corrupt officials and organs, not by the law!** Thus, even with a proper and explicit legislative and regulatory framework, traitor networks and resistor groups on Our territories (including some very hardened ones, with deep and genuine Russian roots for example), can very well try to achieve similar results by corrupting our organs (even only selectively so and to a very low volume). Having a 'stay behind' "sleeper army" of goons, who might even 'get their hands on weapons' later on is very useful to them. Such undesirables are expected to come in mainly under track (vii), with their commanders coming in with real or fake credentials on tracks (iv) and (viii) also. This is a very strong reason why immigration policy should be segmented and be much more stringent and less integrating in with regards to economic migrants – especially "physically very fit" ones.
- **Make immigration as refugees hard.** Immigration must not be a right. Especially not one subject to 'international norm' assessed by Western controlled bodies and 'international organizations'. Regardless of how hard or dangerous it is in their home countries, no one should have the right or legal opportunity to invade Our territories. Immigration must be merit-based: get the best of people, or at least the good people. Otherwise it should just cover only a small portion of the truly desperate cases, and even there, only minimalistically so, and for a very limited time. The situation with Dash and African migrants in Europe must clearly be avoided on Our territories.
- **Make academic system inclusive.** The best incoming paths for people under any track, including as per Strategy 2, is at the start of their Undergraduate studies at some University of Ours. For them to do this, we need to make sure legal, regulatory and procedural aspects are in place to properly handle this: both from their perspective (ie. required official bureaucracy and ways to show-off their talents) and ours (ie. having the vetting process properly set up). Additionally, prospective students need to know about Us, properly perceive Us and be able to make educated decisions about which University to join. This is why dissemination of rankings related content as per Strategy 12 is important. This information needs to reach prospective students fast: almost all do not mature enough to ask themselves such questions before they are 6-12 months away from admission (at 18-20 years of age). This is especially a pain on Western-controlled territories, where Western propaganda is much more intense and effective. As a median, people interesting to Us, who grew up on Western territories, outside a favorable family context, will probably start "looking Our way" only when they are 24-27 years old (21 in the case of the author). For this reason, We must understand that we will probably not be getting a sufficient percentage of the desired people (especially those as per Strategy 2) at the Undergraduate level (expect maybe on Our own territories). As such, we must also focus on Master programs, PhDs (although PHD may seem like a "long, uncertain and costly" path for many!!), and – very, very importantly, focus on short term programs, exchanges and conferences led by reputable academic organs of Ours: summer schools, 6-18 months specialization courses, guest-speakers / professors (including those without a Western PhD), student scientific communication sessions and the like. Academic system must be inclusive in other ways. In principle, virtually all Our our activities "for domestic students" should be open to international students - after adequate vetting by trusted parties in Our organs of course. And not just formally, but much more so in practice. There should, indeed, exist special structures and events aimed to cater for international students – like foreign language courses, special courses and special events. However, in principle there should not exist a need for a "Foreign Students University / Department", except as a supplemental and orthogonal structure to the regular academic programs and events. Also, many of Our Universities are not that



accustomed to working with international students. Too few conferences and pre-doctorate events on Our territories enjoy meaningful international participation from the people We would like (eg. talented folks who are not Western agents). Downstream systems, especially in the context of procurement, are also not yet perfected. Note that this core point of this strategy is being actively worked on, especially in Russia, where some Foreign Students departments surfaced at some Universities, preparatory courses and special language courses are long a norm, and popularization programs like Study in Russia have started to gain traction. But progress is still slow. Foreign students are still too much a distinct group from domestic students: both during academic process and thereafter. Clearly, language barriers make it harder to integrate foreign students with limited knowledge of Russian or Chinese into preponderantly domestic groups and events: especially of people who already know each other and perhaps studied together before. Nevertheless, it can, and must be done. The example of the malign elite Universities of the Western hegemonic elites (like Harvard, MIT, etc.), clearly illustrates that integrating foreign students seamlessly is possible in practice: and the fact language barriers with English are much, much lower than with Chinese or Russian should not be used as an excuse for failure.

- **Make equivalating academic credentials easy and inclusive, but only after short objective technical tests.** The sooner we get the good people in, the better. But We must be cognizant that in many places – especially up to circa 2010-2015, Western propaganda and information control did not allow such people to properly perceive the academic opportunities with Our universities: either seeing Us as “more of the same as the West essentially”, perceiving Our systems as “inapproachable networks which will always treat them as outsiders”, or simply lacking sufficient lucidity. To this end, Western academic credentials should be recognized by default in principle and easy to equvalate to Ours: both in formally and in terms of professional opportunities granted. However, to counter the Western tactic of granting academic diplomas (in various fields, including Computer Science and Engineering) to their own stooges and goons, or, more broadly, as rewards channels for those who cooperate with them – regardless of actual merit, **in factuality, western accreditations should be recognized in full only after objective tests on the physical person in question directly.** These **tests must be objective, technical, short and not overly hard to pass.** They should serve as a primary filter to discern those who actually got the credentials “for merit” and those who did so under different circumstances. They should not be too extensively used to judge the concrete technical level: except in that it being least as high as the top 75% of those who hold similar qualifications issued by Our education institutions. These tests must be (and also perceived as being) objective: a technical assessment on ones’ skills, intelligence and factual capabilities - not as a judgment on one’s character or adequacy within Our way (although they could be used as one of the entry points for recruitment in this regard). This is to make sure that people, particularly in science in engineering, but not only, and especially those incoming as per Strategy 2, do indeed perceive this Immigration Path as tangible, real, and honest option. The tests should also be short: Tech companies, like the Western-controlled Google for example, can assess a man both technically and culturally with as little as 5 hours of interviews and a 1-2 hours automated test.
- **Correlate Immigration with Strategy 12.** In particular, make sure that incoming people correctly know which Universities, programs, education institutions and state or private organizations on are representative of Our ways and closer to Us, and which not. More on this is discussed in Strategy 12. Also, involve incoming migration to work directly in education: properly selected migrants, under a successful implementation of this strategy, are a statistical group of people much closer to Our values and ways - due to the very selection process they needed to pass to “get in”, versus the general population. Additionally, leverage track (xi) to bring in adequate people in Education, and do so at scale: both in higher education and in primary and secondary



education. In the former it will help reduce nepotism. In the latter, we can expand the reach of Our values by covering or at least having a presence in more schools.

- **Make procurement system inclusive. Do adequately vet participants nevertheless.** The core thing which is required for genuine, legitimate interest in immigration, as well as to ensure its success are factual economic opportunities. And this is an area where the West is deficitary, especially at mid-talent and lower high-talent bands. And here we can offer a compelling counterproposal leveraging (i) fundamental cultural differences from the Western system (thus enabling Us to offer many benefits systemically: without the need to finance them), (ii) the strong demand specialists and leaders to develop central areas (eg. digitalization, big data, e-government, etc.); (iii) the vastness of undeveloped territory, particularly in Russia, where future cities and smaller communities will develop; (iv) the fact Russia, China, and to a lesser extent the DPRK are military superpowers, requiring leading-edge research and technology and an ample military-industrial complex; (v) the fact We are technologically advanced space powers, targeting the exploration and expansion into Cosmic Space. However, concrete mechanisms, structures and systems – as well as cultural and “mentality” changes, needed to be created and perfect to properly absorb integrate incoming migration into benefiting from such lucrative opportunities, appropriately: not too hasty, and not without vetting, and differentiated for each track. Such systems sadly do not currently exist in any of the Free World countries. Except perhaps to some limited extent for people coming in early, as students and who Our educational institutions: and even there, culturally and from a “mentality” perspective, things are not as they should be: and especially not on large enough a scale. In this area, the Western-block is slightly ahead of Us: it has perfected its immigration systems to allow adequate and quick integration of incomings from both territories under their control, disputed territories (eg. India) and also from Our territories (eg. Russia) – of course, selecting and integrating people according to their own needs and goals (eg. favoring especially those who are easier to manipulate, more naturally guiltable and disinterested in social and political matters), On the other hand, we are still somewhat inefficient in leveraging and operationally integrating incomings from even across Our own territories (eg. from China to Russia). The key to succeeding here lies with the procurement system: We have a much larger proportion of Our relevant industrial, military, scientific and economic agents be state entities than the Western-block does (even in Iran).
- **Leverage immigration as an effective channel to recruitment of some Our future elites, in the context of Strategy 6. Hint that this is the case.** Future elites involved by Us as per Strategy 6 will initially come in (or be otherwise dealt) in the contexts of Strategy 2, Strategy 8 or of this one, Strategy 19, thus arriving via immigration tracks (i), (ii), (iv), (v), (vi) and (ix) and to a much lesser extent so via (viii) and (xi). Certain additional steps are to be taken with regards to such promising people, in the context of Strategy 6. These additional steps will be overseen exclusively by the relevant people and organs set up in context of Strategy 6 – but immigration procedures and systems must be adjusted appropriately to allow for this.

Comments:

- None.

Crucial Details:

- **Make immigration strategy inclusive and give almost everyone a First Chance. Grant second and third chances only where appropriate. Be wary of enemy sabotage.** In principle, almost anyone genuinely interested in either of the tracks (i)-(xi), except (vii), should be given a First Chance. It is much easier to observe them and see how far they go in fulfill their claimed potential, including factual dedication to Our way, than to simply try to guess this via upfront evaluations. Second and Third chances should be given when first results were inconclusive or a significant change of circumstances occurred (including with better information on Our side).





Also, We should be mindful with regards to enemy actions, especially traitor networks on Our territories which will try to have us reject promising candidates as “too risky”, to perceive them wrongly (eg. “not talented enough”), or otherwise to sabotage their effective integration (via giving them “Kobiashi Maroo”-style impossible first tasks, setting them up with the improper groups, or framing them in contexts which seem inappropriate). Similarly, such networks will try to get infiltrators in via the converse methods, similarly to how nepotism works, as discussed in for Strategy 12. Finally, We should be mindful that some very talented candidates, may exhibit some traces of Western propaganda in them (eg. sometimes being specifically intoxicated informatively before reaching Our programs) and may have faced, or occasionally face enemy attacks: (i) poisonings causing them to experience psychotic symptoms and appear as “mentally ill” to Us; (ii) compromise of health via slow acting poisons (eg. cancerigenic materials) or similar means; (iii) being framed to find themselves in contexts which make them appear dangerous to Us: eg. having explosive precursors or narcotics put in their bags without their knowledge, having them meet with well-known Western officials or traitors from Our territories (eg. those from the Navalny organization) without the victim properly knowing whom they are meeting or why, have them receive money for regular work (eg. freelance) from entities which are well known to be Western-bloc Accumulators; and (iv) be assassinated. Note that it is a well-known Western policy to “kill all the Gandhi’s while they are young” – or, more precisely to neutralize them, including by preventing them from junctioning up with the rest of Us. They succeeded at the very last moment to do this with Motorola and Grihvni, partially succeeded against the author, but failed to do this in time against Assange and Hugo Chavez (who, btw, before 1996 would have seemed like a “not that interesting” low-ranking, discontent Venezuelan army officer).

- **Enact legislative, regulatory and practical changes to ensure effective transitory measures for incoming immigrants, especially before they become integrated.** Especially for those coming in as per Strategies 2 and 8. Somewhat incomplete legislative, regulatory and practical overhaul of the formal system may lead to some ‘funny’ and highly damaging situations. These can be purposefully aggravated by traitor networks and resisters at home. Take the Russian example of such a ‘funny’ situation: people need to be citizens in order to work in security services or within state organs and programs, as well as to contract for them. However, in order to become citizens, they need to live at least 3 years in Russia (in some rare cases 1 – but these are more for those already integrated). During this time, they cannot practically make money from Russia: they will either be students *who pay, not earn* to be there (and furthermore cannot become citizens immediately upon completion of their studies), or people who work as employees for western firms with a presence in Russia or work as individual entrepreneurs (eg. freelancers) for Western customers (eg. online or offline). In the first case, the people need to already have lucid and relatively wealthy parents or otherwise a personal context at home which financially support them for getting 4-6 years of full-time education. In the second case, people fall under suspicion of security services, and otherwise get “unfavorable CV items” for “getting money from the West” – sometimes limiting their opportunities thereafter, especially at the cultural and informal levels. People coming in as per Strategies 1, 2 and 8 are particularly affected (for example the author – seeing himself as coming in as per Strategies 6, 2 and 8 combined, in this order). Furthermore, some high profile or “famous” people, like Edward Snowden or the author himself, cannot get any jobs locally because they are “too much of a celebrity” or otherwise “too much of leaders” to be used as workers by companies like Yandex, Mail.ru group or the like. Some of them – like Snowden – are too well known to get contracts or money even from the West (unlike the author, which, occasionally manages to keep some western clients in the dark about his military and political activities, and seem more of a “low profile”). This serves to illustrate some serious problems facing effective implementation of this strategy – especially due to resistance from traitor networks, but



not only (think nepotism, language barriers, and general apprehension to foreigners).

- **Leverage “proof of work” approaches to vet genuine supporters of Our ways, and true talent.** Proof of work (term borrowed from the block chain) is something a person does himself, which is strategic in nature: it involves long term commitment, significant effort and large investments of time. Learning Russian language by Europeans is an example of this: it cannot be done quickly nor easily. Similarly, publishing results in Computer Science (even rediscoveries), or attaining a high score on Codeforces.com are genuine “proof of work” with regards to talent: these cannot be achieved overnight by a newbie with fake credentials. Do beware of the enemy sending undercover secret operatives who do offer “proof of work”. Note however that anyone in this circumstance, makes his status become quite polarized (poker term): he is either an elite western spy (otherwise he would be “sniffed” routinely and not have mere doubts float above him), or a genuine supporter. So, people like the author, who don’t really present sufficient personal data (eg. personal style, attitudes, state of need, behavior) and metadata (eg. age, CV, etc.) to be considered elite western spies, should preliminary be treated as genuine supporters, rather than spies.
- **Leverage historical credentials of individuals, recommending them for the Future Leaders Track and otherwise proving their dedication to Our cause.** Take the Hugo Chavez example for instance: After circa 2004-2005 (and clearly so after 2009), it was clear that this man will pursue Our cause to the fullest of his abilities, and will never be interested to betray it for any advantage in the world: not for all the luxury in the world, and not even to save the lives of his own children. He had already invested so much (ie. “proof of work”), achieved so much up to that point in furthering it, and, furthermore, *suffered so much and for so long at the hands of the West* that he is guaranteed to be totally irreconcilable with them. A similar situation exists with Julian Assange, and also with myself (the author). Note that the Western hegemons do not always understand these aspects (a systemic military weakness on their side): they failed “to get it” with Vladimir Putin (kudos!), a survivor of the Leningrad siege, they failed “to get it” with myself in 2013 (a fact I did not realize at the time), and failed “to get it” with all but 1 Venezuelan military generals in 2019. Nevertheless, they did factually not reconcile with people they really needed badly, although they promised them to do so (but did grant them some benefits): take US general Douglas MacArthur: they, the West, considered him (largely falsely) irreconcilable with them until very late in his life, because of his having been bullied at the hands of their children while studying at West Point (before he was “a thing”), because of the humiliating injustices of him not being awarded the Medal of Honor twice due to resentment by his ex-wife (given her status within the Western establishment), and the like. Also, under the Machiavelli guideline – so deeply engraved in the minds of most Western elites: “Never trust that a person against whom you have committed gross injustice or harm, for he will never later honestly support you for the personal benefits – no matter how big.” This should also be popularized among would-be traitors, especially in the political establishment: they should understand “how far” the West will go with them (despite some apparent – but not factual – counterexamples, like with the NATO representative in Norway – who was a vivid anti-NATO activist in his youth). Note that on Our side, the above guideline is not as important: Our people are dedicated with their minds and souls to Our cause – and much weakly so (if at all) care about individuals or structures which with whom they interacted in the past – favorably or unfavorably so.
- **Be firm, but not overly haboritic about cultural and legal demands on immigrants.** We have plenty of troublemakers at home, including people of “lively character”, people who received psychiatric help, people with questionable family background, some enjoying mild recreational drugs (like alcohol, and more rarely marihuana), and so on. The main goal in this regard of Immigration strategy should be to make sure that people coming in **are not statistically not worse than what we would want to have at home** (which should not be too much above



what we already have). It is meant to defend against massive influx of undesired people (eg. former terrorists, or “uneducated migration” from the Middle East and Central Africa), against ready importation of entire enemy or Mafia networks and against enemy spies. While, of course, we should not gladly open Our doors to drug addicts, homosexuals, people with uncontrollable tempers, the mentally ill, or the very sick, a sense of adequate measure is needed in this regard. Remember that the west gains so many talented and extremely talented people (especially in the fields of science and technology), because of their ability to accommodate “weirdness” and nonconformists – and to separate “talented or useful weirdoes” from “the sand”. A great speech on this was made via video-call at IASP2016 Moscow by a professor from MIT. People coming in should be firmly warned and encouraged to be “the saintest of saints” with regards to our culture and especially legislation, both when coming in, and thereafter – but, in practice, we should not be overly hobotnic: mild troublemaking should not be used to throw out migrants, except those under track (vii). Russian legislation is in part well adjusted for this: people convicted of grave or particularly grave crimes – ie. over 5 years in jail, as per Our laws are out. The others can stay - but, of course, need to adjust their behavior. Do pay attention to enemy traitor networks leveraging such matters to their advantage, via framing. Do avoid “systematic trouble making”: violation of laws or culture originating from systemic causes (eg. possessive violence in marriage or dating relationships, resulting from one’s background growing up in some of the Arab countries): be firm in this regard and require prompt adjustments.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Beware of the general pitfalls and backfire risks inherent with any endeavor to bring people from West-controlled areas into our Midst, particularly those as per Strategies 1, 2, 8 and 17.** Their discussion will not be repeated here.
- **Note the particular situation in Russia with heavy resistance (mainly informal and covert) to effective implementation, in form and essence, of Presidential policy of opening up immigration.** There appears to be some strong internal opposition to the successful implementation of the permissive Presidential policies on immigration. Some hints to this have been made in some public addresses by the President of the Russian Federation, Vladimir Putin himself. This resistance is mainly due to traitor networks, especially those hardened within the Russian ‘scientific community’ (particularly within the military-industrial complex) and those habitually opposed, due to nepotism. **Such matters should be examined closely and dealt with firmly, mainly with in the context of Strategy 4.**

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- **Control bodies making immigration decisions or closely involved with immigration.** Make sure such bodies – particularly those involved as per Strategies 1, 2, 7 and 8 are loyal to Our cause and can be trusted. Make sure key people exist in connected areas: secret services, police, judges, so as to ensure sufficient leverage and control when implementing this strategy.
- **This strategy correlates with and supports Strategy 2.** Especially scientists and engineers should find deals and inclusive access via immigration.
- This strategy must correlate with, support and leverage Strategy 12. Involving some of Our people coming in in education will have the added favorable effect of reducing nepotism.
- This strategy is supportive of Strategy 6.
- **Our approach to Immigration, will be signal to the general population what the Paradise of Strategy 7 will look like “in practice” for “the common man”.** Especially in terms of “how we want it to look like”, less so on “how hard it is to get in”.





**20. Field modern, technologically superior weapons. Expand and defend the technological superiority over the enemy. Smartly increase army size and field more autonomous hardware. Act strategically and asymmetrically. Use military capabilities practically – they are not meant for deterrence alone.**

Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **Technology wins the day. Military superiority did and always will reign supreme.** Technology saved Us in the 1990s and more so in the early 2000s. Technology saved the Western hegemonic elites in the 1960-1970s. Technology allowed the relative stalemate of late 2000s and 2010s. Technology is making Us gain the advantage and initiative now. Without superior technology, reports like this one, ideology, politics and morals themselves become purely theoretical exercises in philosophy, of no practical value or effect whatsoever. Remember that a single 1 nuclear bomb can wipe off an entire army, including tanks. Sometimes even a slight technological superiority of the enemy leads to utter and crushing defeat – as the Incas learned for example. Also remember that nuclear weapons are not efficient at combating traitorship, or at preventing coups – as the 1990s color revolutions in the USSR demonstrated, among others.
- **The current context of the Western hegemonic elites in their own strongholds makes prevention of large scale warfare, including involving weapons of mass destruction, unrealistic. Particularly in that they are doomed.** Please read the detailed “mathematical proof” arguments presented on this topic in the Conclusion section. Note that the Western hegemonic elites cannot be appeased in any way to have them voluntarily avoid this, both due to their intrinsic psychological nature but also for rational reasons which are insurmountable. The only chance to avoid a limited nuclear exchange is for Reconciliation joiners and the World People’s Web to mobilize and arrest the current hegemonic elite themselves, taking “the nuclear button away from them”. Given these hegemon’s current physical private security networks in their strongholds, this is very difficult and seems unlikely at the moment – but not totally out of the question. The resourcefulness of Our World People’s Web should not be underestimated.
- **A prolonged stalemate under the current status quo will mean genocide within the Western block, particularly against Our World People’s Web.** This will not only be.. a shame, but would also strengthen the elites and relieve some of their inner economic pressures. Therefore, it cannot be allowed to happen. Furthermore, destruction of the World People’s Web completely eliminates the prospect of Victory eliminating a nuclear exchange altogether. Once this genocide is prevented, as per Strategy 9, the resulting stalemate (especially after completing of Strategies 13, 14, 15 and 16), will be short lived and quickly develop into a capitulation within the Western-bloc – but not without a large scale military war. The situation with the Soviet Union in 1960-70s must be avoided – We almost won there, but, due to traitorship and enemy infiltration, we screwed up the early endgame, and almost ended up losing in 1996.
- **The window of effectiveness of the strategic diplomatic weapons like Poseidon is diminishing.** As the Western hegemonic elites’ context becomes more acutely difficult – ie. their economic defaults start reaching higher levels, a confrontation is growing increasingly close. And these people will not care if 100% of the World’ population, minus a handful of people from themselves are destroyed. They plan to exterminate circa 90-95% of it anyway. And for example targeting some of their strongholds, like New York, will to a large extent eliminate more liabilities (people to who they are politically and economically indebted) than assets. Furthermore, it would boost them politically, by making a confrontation with Us seem inevitable to their lower echelons of power. Finally, regardless of anything else, these Western bandits and state mafiozi, Rothschild and the rest – are doomed: and they know it. Strategic weaponry is no deterrent against the 1<sup>st</sup> echelon



of Western power itself. It just serves the important task of neutralizing their “nuclear blackmail” capability against Us, Our own lower echelons and Our global population at large.

- **Goal: Ensure Our categorical capability to completely and permanently exterminate the enemy wherever.** Consider having “Doom’s Day” weapons able to make life on earth untenable for millennia if enemy hideouts cannot be tracked properly. Be careful in developing Doom’s Day weapons, for the enemy not to be able to develop similar technology via espionage: A Doom’s Day weapon is much more useful to the enemy than to Us. But, nevertheless.
- **Goal: Ensure and expand our technological superiority over the enemy.** Make sure technological superiority is manifested in new weapons systems and capabilities being fielded, including in sufficiently large volumes. Having stellarly brilliant designs “on paper” is grossly insufficient.
- **Goal: Create defensive weapons systems to defend against and mitigate the effects of attacks via nuclear, biological or other weapons of mass destruction.** Focus in particular on biological weapons attacks. Especially biological weapons designed to spread as plagues, with delayed effects, and targeting the long term reproductive capability of Our people and populations. As per the discussions above, a limited strike against Us with weapons of mass destructions is very likely unavoidable. We must adequate containment, cleanup and mitigation procedures in places for this eventuality.
- **Goal: Secure Our perpetual capabilities to develop, field and use technologically superior weapons.** Make sure scientists and engineers are involved and integrated or motivate properly. Do not neglect senior military staff (of all ranks) either: the example of the Russian RKVN is a flagship model in this case. Finally and most importantly, **guard the scientific-military-industrial complex from espionage and sabotage.** This later aspects are far less than stellar currently.

The core of the strategy:

- **Develop and field technologically superior weapons systems. Create new weapons, based on new physical principles or innovative ideas.** As simple as that. Do it. Make sure such weapon systems are effective, useful (not merely a scientist’s pet project) and timely fielded.
- **Deny the enemy the possibility to catch up technologically or innovate in any significant way.** Leverage Strategy 2 to this end extensively. Targeted killings of scientific staff involved in their military industrial program – especially in the illegal CIA biological weapons program (with some dark sites recently revealed in Georgia) - , as well as their families should not be ruled out. Note that the enemy does feel free to target Our own scientists with impunity – both in Iran and in Russia. Enemy scientists should remember that, to Us they are partners and generals. With the enemy, the german nazi saying “Das is kein zeit zu schlafen!” (“This is no time to sleep”) should remind them “who actually runs the show” in the West, and what the Western hegemonic elites actually think and feel of them.
- **Deny the enemy the capability to catch up technologically via espionage.** Leverages Strategy 4 extensively in this regard. Also leverages Strategies 2 and 10, particularly their parts regarding disconnecting our scientific processes from Western-controlled ‘international scientific community’ bodies. Be extremely wary of espionage. Enemy might be getting everything cheaply and quickly this way. Some weapons systems are more useful to the enemy than to us (eg. those to defend against Poseidon-like systems, which the enemy does not currently have, nor will have any time soon), should be developed with extreme care, extra precautions and generally research & developed around them should be properly timed to make them available to Us around the time when we think they might be useful – not too much before then.
- **Be extremely wary of sabotage and assassinations.** There are many unfortunate examples in this regard: Iranian nuclear physicists, likely some scientists in Russian far north and others,



especially up and coming ones. Be particularly wary of attack vectors based outside the respective weapons programs themselves: leveraging people with whom scientists socialize, places they visit (like was done against Our Future Leaders' Track by assassinating Motorola and Grihnyi in Ukraine), personal electronic gadgets they use and also those involving military or civilian infrastructure and systems employed in the respective secret programs, but which are outside their scope (eg. ships used for tests, batteries, food supplies, etc.).

- **Prepare for nuclear and biological warfare against Us.** Focus both on prevention and mitigation. Leverage both technical (eg. S-500s, Buk, S-400, new A1 rockets) and intelligence (especially against biological weapons) for both purposes. In principle, ideally we should be able to withstand militarily, politically and economically nuclear strikes to 5-10 cities (at least 2-3) across all the Free World nations. Additionally, we should be able to withstand biological contamination of up to 50% of our population (at least 5%). Also we should be wary of counter-force strikes against Our critical infrastructure and people, via such strategic weaponry.
- **Do not allow Western elites to build underwater settlements nor expand the underground network too deeply.** Our current strategic arsenal – as far as OSINT goes – is still unable to completely sterilize the planet for millennia. Also, advances in vertical farming make it possible for small communities to survive almost indefinitely in relatively small bunkers. Furthermore, underwater settlements (eg. the US “great lakes” area, the Antarctic ocean, the coastal areas of Australia and the US) are much harder to eliminate: nuclear weapons have a very limited scope underwater, and are not sufficiently devastating in this regards (ie. it would be just as efficient to eliminate each such settlement with a conventional torpedo: having it be nuclear tipped does not present much of an added advantage). Note also that some may try to escape – or at least create the impression to their 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> echelons of power that they could escape - to vast networks of underground tunnels and facilities, situated below farmland and mountains. Note the particular drive by some 1<sup>st</sup> echelon Western hegemony like Bill Gates to gain control over vast swaths of farmland: and not only to deny it economically to the people, in order to establish food monopoly. Pay attention to use of solar powered airplanes, space planes and space stations as temporary shelters to ROA (ride out attack). In principle, it is better to prevent the enemy from building, or expanding such hideouts (like the vast underground networks in Romania and Australia), and to destroy them immediately if possible, rather than to merely “put them on the targets’ list” publically.
- **Discover all enemy hideouts and expose them.** Dedicate comprehensive technical (eg. using satellites) and human intelligence efforts to identify and publically expose all such ROA enemy hideouts. Note that even a single non-discovered enemy hideout, nullifies about 98% of the deterrent capacity of our strategic weapons.
- **Use new weapon systems and leverage technological superiority in military operations on the field. Act quickly to prevent the enemy from adapting to, or developing similar capabilities.** Be sure to act before the enemy closes in some of the technological superiority (eg. via espionage), or develops full blown “dooms’ day devices”. In particular **do not procrastinate and ABSOLUTELY DO NOT GIVE IN TO ANY ENEMY DEMANDS (not even those to delay a confrontation) because of their threats of nuclear or biological weapons’ strikes.** Do not prolong or avoid military operations just to avoid nuclear strike altogether: it will only be worse later, which will make it even more likely to happen. Our populations must understand this. This subject was tackled more amply as per Strategy 13. Finally, **do act strategically: stall when We are Ourselves about to neutralize enemy strategic arsenals.** Especially consider the progress as per Strategy 2. But never stall, with the mind that maybe this way a limited nuclear strike on Us can be avoided altogether. This would be our doom. Also, never stall under the delusive phantom of hope that there could potentially be some “deal” We could make for coexistence with the Western





hegemonic elites. There is none. This part is only them stalling, and using subterfuge and intrigue to degrade our capabilities.

#### Comments:

- Some ideas for future offensive weapons to consider (and defend against): “atmosphere burning” reactions, nuclear powered mini-drones, “teleportation”, Accubi-Drive, swarms of insect drones, artificial life forms (especially aquatic and avian), noroviruses, animal officers, black-hole generating “doom’s day devices”.
- Some ideas for future defensive weapons to consider: vertical farming, 3D printers, underwater settlements, vast and deep underground networks of tunnels and facilities (with high redundancy levels for tunnels), “heart plugs”, nuclear mines.
- **Consider the quote: “What is the purpose of investing in and fielding such advanced weapons.. if they are not used.. when needed.”** Strategic weapons must never again be used to settle petty political disputes. But the current dispute is not petty. It is at the core of defining what humanity will mature into. It is no less a question of liberty versus slavery. Of Good versus evil.
- **And a word from poker: “When a man offers you to split the pot at showdown, just say No and take it all”.**

#### Crucial Details:

- See discussions above for details.
- **Pay special attention to Space strategy.** In particular to neutralizing enemy satellites (especially in LEO), while maintaining Our capabilities in this regards. Be wary of space planes and balloons being used in their lieu. Note the prospect of using some space rocks as semi-strategic weapons by landing on them systems to alter their trajectories.
- **Adjust the contents of the strategy to fit secret data.** I, the author, do not currently have access to secret data. I cannot know for sure “what is out there”, nor “what can be out there”. I can only guess. While I am generally quite intuitive, accurate and pretty comprehensive with my intuition, sometimes I just don’t know enough: for example, I did not foresee Poseidon-like systems at all; I didn’t think they were possible, given how underwater nuclear explosions behaved, as far as I knew. And even if had access to secret data, I could not reveal anything based on it in this report, whose secrecy cannot be guaranteed.

#### Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Do not “sleep on one’s ear” and become complacent with technological superiority before New Victory Day.** The enemy will use any and all means to stimulate complacency and try to impose a new détente on their terms, so that they can cover the technological gap (via espionage mainly) and to have more time to compromise our C4ISTAR structures via traitor networks.
- **DO NOT MAKE ANY DEALS WITH THE ENEMY. DO NOT FALSELY BELIEVE LONG TERM COEXISTANCE WITH THE WESTERN HEGEMONIC ELITES WERE POSSIBLE.** It was clear what the enemy thinks of treaties and disarmament, with ABM, INF, Open Skies and others: only “in it” while it is good for them, immediately out (without even announcing where possible) when not. Such matters could be dealt with via operational, ad-hoc, informal even agreements – like in Syria. **Except START2, CWBC and Biological Weapons ban, nothing is of interest to Us. Do not make any deals with the enemy (do so only as per Reconciliation with select members of its lower echelons, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> especially).**

#### Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- Strongly leverages Strategy 2.
- Strongly leverages Strategy 4.



- Interconnected with Strategy 6.
- Leverages elements of Strategy 10.
- Supports Strategies 13, 14, 15 and 16.

## General Approach

**The above strategies are somewhat synergic and complementary to each other.** While not entirely an indivisible whole, they are meant to act and be enacted in tandem. To some good extent, their implementation should be intertwined: do not wait for one strategy to be 100% complete and successful before starting with the other. Neither should one be overly haphazard about having prerequisites be met ideally before starting with a strategy. Make educated decisions about when to advance with each. Also, avoid a situation where there is a lack of the proper competent and trusted leadership to oversee expansion of some strategies: Most of them can easily backfire, if not handled properly.

**Details matter!!!** The simple statements – even the long descriptions and discussions presented for each point, can easily find implementations which are either brilliantly successful or fail miserably. And both such incarnations could, *in form*, be reasonably claimed to fulfil such points. Good judgment and some degree of intelligence and experience is required. Also, there are numerous lower level implementation details, which were completely out of the scope of this report. Yet those details can, in themselves, make the difference between success and backfiring. Proper leadership matters.

**Strategies are strategic in nature and intended to be complementary to what is already going on.** They do not:

- Address tactics for warfighting – Our people are already doing great in Syria in this regards, for example.
- Propose specific weapons, methods or approaches.
- Reveal new physical principles.
- Teach specific intelligence gathering or counterintelligence techniques, expect perhaps in the most limited sense.
- Discuss particular military maneuvers or tactical objectives to be undertaken in existing or prospective conflict zones (eg. Syria, Ukraine, etc.) – neither defensive, nor offensive.

**All of the above are, nevertheless, crucially important.** However, in all these regards, the existing status-quo within the Free World, as well as its projected evolution are, based on the intelligence available to the author, at least satisfactory – and some even splendid. Sustained efforts in all these regards should continue nevertheless. Complacency must be prevented from settling in. Also, the conventional and strategic front must be maintained and expanded. Such matters – while tilting in Our favor and somewhat stabilizing, are not easy. They in themselves are not “victories without difficulty”. Excellent talent and character are required there also. And, from all appearances, We have it. But we must persevere in these regards also – not assume that We already won, or take stability here for granted.

**Each of the 20 strategies is meant to be implemented on the territories of each member state of the Free World.** Particular adjustments and implementation details should be filled out based on the specific national social, economic, political, military and cultural context: Concrete implementations of Strategies 1, 4 and 19 will look quite different in Russia from China. Much more so in Venezuela from Russia. Or in the DPRK. Appropriate legislative, regulatory, structural, cultural and scheme changes may need to be enacted on some of the member states to allow proper progress with some of the strategies.



Nevertheless, they should all be implemented by all member states of the Free World. And, furthermore, expanded beyond, to territories, structures and organs outside the Free World, where We have a sufficiently adequate presence.

**Most of the strategies (in particular 4 – 13 and 18-19) have clear multilateral components.** Their respective parts should be implemented in tandem, and the nations in questions should synchronize and coordinate appropriately, both in terms of timing, content and respective leadership.

**Nations should also cooperate internationally to learn from each other's experiences.** All of Venezuela, Iran, China, Russia, Belarus and the DPRK present brilliant lessons to be rolled out with regards to some aspects of international state strategy. Particular details for each were presented in the context of the respective strategies above.

**Asses, analyze and benchmark effectiveness of progress and the degree of success in the implementation of each strategy, with respect to attaining its own goals, and having a beneficial impact in the general context of the war.** For example, for strategies 5, 8 and 11 – which have strong media and communication components, stuff like “how many people did we reach?”, “how many of them actually got it?” “what is the typical lag?” and the like should be some key metrics. Focus both on the big picture and on key details.

**Be adaptive and flexible to situations arising on the battlefield.** Be wise and project farsighted consequences of concrete actions, in light of enemy and civilian reactions.

**Adjust strategies based on confidential and secret information.** Again, the author does not have any official access to classified information in any country. While he is an excellent Game Theory expert, did spend a lot of time considering the war situation (including theoretical models), does regularly process OSINT and did undertake personal intelligence gathering operations in his own name against the West once in a while, not to mention that he “gets around”, there are for sure aspects of the situation on the battlefields (both the political one and within the conflict zones) and of strategic arsenals, of which he is unaware. There must, of course, be a reason for example for the decision of PDVSA to open up investment to foreign parties, which has a rational rooted in information which is not public at this time (and for which reason, upon which the author will not even comment). Such aspects might alter *some of* the key details of *some of* the strategies. They might even cause minor or more significant adjustments to some strategies (especially 13-16). But, in essence, all the 20 strategies are generally expected to be sound and beneficial in their current form. Existence of specific secret circumstances should not be allowed to be used as a pretext to delay or degrade their implementation: ie. when such circumstances, although relevant, do not alter the validity of the strategy in question.

**Under the principle of Realism, make note of present realities.** For example, Russia and Iran are not ruled by communist or socialist parties. And they also vehemently publically deny being communist, or seeking such ideology. Note that this can be viewed, in part, as statements directed against the enemy, and should not be taken with overemphatic seriousness by Us. Note also that Our ways are not strictly communist neither, as explained in some detail in Section I. Nevertheless, do note that Russia and Iran largely have capitalist economies – ie. favoring agriculture and high food prices (especially in restaurants), and disfavoring industrial and scientific production (preventing accumulation of capital in the later, rather than the former). Part of this is a relic of the situation from 1983-1999. Also note that both Russia and China have a number of active billionaires – some called “oligarchs”, others not. Some of them have at least some political influence, and quite extensive covert networks – including private security, media,





Accumulators, academia, and others. Many of them are not officially accused nor even suspected of any legal wrongdoing or even of fraud. A very small number of them may in fact be Accumulators for Our side, although this is unlikely. Such matters should be approached without unnecessary lack of delicacy – but also without insufficient firmness.

**It is crucial that these strategies be implemented *successfully*. Victoriously. Form devoid of essence, or modest achievements followed by cessation of progress must be avoided.** All strategies require some longer term activities. None (except to a very limited extent Strategy 13) are meant as “one time operations”. There will be heavy pressure, especially via internal traitor networks and natural resisters (eg. some business people), for many of the strategies to be abandoned, shortly after commencing, or having their implementation simulate progress and result in forms devoid of essence: while being publically trumped as successful.

**Heavy resistance will be encountered.** This includes assassinations, protests, coupe attempts, political attacks, personal attacks (including blackmail and threats) and others. None of these should be a cause or pretext for giving in, a weakening or a softening of the course of any of the strategies (particularly Strategy 4) in any way.

**Avoid spending sprees and economic waste.** Do not position neither Russia nor China as desiring to be hyper powers: emphasize the Multipolar World approach. Correlate benefits – especially under Strategies 1, 2 and 4, with concrete positive effects, resulting from the actions of the parties in question, which bring about progress on some battlefield (eg. behind enemy lines).

**Note that an indefinite stalemate and long term coexistence with the Western hegemonic elites is impossible. Also note that Victory will entail large scale warfare, including nuclear and biological warfare of a limited scale.** Please see the detailed discussion on this in the Conclusion section.

**Note that strategies are sufficient: Implement these strategies successfully and We will be victorious for sure. Note also that strategies are largely necessary: deviate too far or fail to greatly with regards to the core aspects of any of them, and the enemy might yet prevail.** This will not be a “victory without difficulties”. Implementing the strategies is not trivial. Nevertheless, given what I know about the factual social, political, economic, cultural, personal and military situation both on Our side and on the enemy’s, I judge with high confidence that they are feasible and realistic: both technically and politically. Therefore, they should be done. Please take all diligences to ensure their enactment.

**Note that the report has offered very little - if at all – regarding the cleanup effort post-New Victory Day. This was deliberate. Cleanup will not be trivial, but it is a much simpler problem than getting to the New Victory Day.** And but much of the concrete content of strategies there will depend on the precise evolution and behavior of the actors before that, particularly on the field of battle. Nevertheless, both the people as well as existing and future Pole leaders and lower rank leaders, should rest assured that adequate cleanup strategies exist both in principle and in factuality: in particular, they should note that We will not let anything destroy or degrade our envisioned Paradise. Reservations with regards to Reconciliation are natural – nevertheless, I the author, can guarantee that all Reconciled parties will have every incentive and will factually behave post-New Victory Day, and in perpetuity. Remember that Reconciliation does not apply to terrorists, war criminals and genocide perpetrators: especially not those consciously and much less so deliberately choosing such paths. Also note that post-New Victory Day



“Paradise”, as per Strategy 7 will not be some idyllic boring biblical green plain: it will be quite a heterogeneous place, steaming with activity. Also, for quite some number of years, there will be forced labor camps for former enemy military personnel.

**Note that the Author was able to so clearly and bluntly articulate certain aspects of these strategies, making use of explicit language, in part thanks to his current unaffiliated status.** If and when he should function more formally as part of some state body, the constitutional, administrative, regulatory and diplomatic norms and limitations applicable to that position might lead to certain limitations in discourse at that time: for example, one could not publicly accuse Rouhani of being Western-backed from an official position in the Russian or Chinese governments – not before this becomes the official state policy at least. And this may be outside the scope of the respective position. Neither could one, from an official position advocate intervention in Romania, since, of course, “only a madman would think of Russia attacking NATO, and that only in his dream”. Responding to military aggression, defending against and existential threat, or protecting the rights of Our nationals and speakers are, of course, a different matter, as was clearly illustrated in Georgia and Ukraine.

Nevertheless, the essence of the strategies is and remains.

### **III. STRATEGIC POINTERS FOR INDIVIDUAL STATES**

---

All the 20 strategies outlined in Section II apply to all member states of the Free World, and beyond. Below, a few additional strategic pointers are briefly discussed, applying explicitly in the context of specific states, but not others. Consider the following.

#### **Russia**

- **[Strategies 4 and 6] Address the problem of sucesorship to Putin in Russia, after 2035.** This the single most important strategic challenge facing Us. More on this was offered in the comments section of Strategy 4.
- **[Strategy 19]. Consider the situation around infiltration of Western-backed mafia groups into Russia via immigration on track (vii).** Analyze the situation with the North Caucasus Mafia (especially with ties to Armenia) in Nizhny Novgorod, to determine if it qualifies as Western-backed as per Strategy 19. From the author’s personal opportunistic (and also undesired and hostile) experience with them, they do show some signs in this regard, particularly appearing “not to live of the land”, and having reach into legitimate projects in construction.
- **[Strategy 1]. Consider picking 2 oligarchs (2, no more) to whom to grant Reconciliation.** Amnesty their organizations – making it clear that they are amnestied!, and otherwise would have been pursued as per Strategy 4. Then cautiously integrate their networks into Ours: under very close and careful supervision, but authentically. Make sure that they clearly, completely and irreversibly break away from any former Western associates and ways they might have had, and become fully dedicated to Our cause. Economically, I estimate that We are able to support 2 such people, at a level of wealth acceptable to them (especially with the counterside along on the lines of China’s anticorruption campaign). Maybe Oleg Deripanska should be one of them? I don’t know – access to secret information (which I don’t have) is required to make such calls. Clearly those oligarchs who do not break any laws, are not a current or future threat in any way, and do not cause significant economic drain, could be left alone (but of course not trusted in anyway, before the New Victory Day).



- **[Strategy 20] Continue to invest in strategic weapon systems and weapons based on innovative approaches or new physical principles.** There is one specific general idea in this regard, which will not be shared in the context of this report, since its secrecy cannot be guaranteed. Mi? Idea5. Please contact the author directly for details.
- **[Strategy 20] Very smartly increase size of RKVN. Consider creating special RKVN units to deal with elements of Strategies 4, 6, 20 and for handling some special and very limited types of military operations abroad, as per Strategy 13, or in the context of military technical cooperation with Venezuela.** Do this smartly and in a quite limited scope. Avoid economic waste and the risk of importing would-be traitors.
- **[Strategy 4] Counterintelligence. Counteract the Moldavian and Ukrainian filiera.** Leverage genetic testing where appropriate, to identify officials, agents and officers lying about their Moldavian or Ukrainian origins: in principle, there should be no reason for them to do this – if they are truly loyal to Our cause, they should openly admit their backgrounds, and provide the necessary guarantees and testimony to reassure as appropriately.
- **[Strategies 4 and 6]. Reduce economic waste due to excessively large regional administrations (legislative, executive and local), but maintain federal system.** Make sure the proper people lead regional governments, at least in key positions. Reduce corruption and curtail the enemy's ability to act via traitors within these structures.
- **[Strategy 19]. Continue to push to make immigration policy factually more open.**

## China

- **[Strategy 8] Provide spyware free laptops, phones and other gadgets to members of the World People's Web.** For simplicity, simply offer spyware free electronics all throughout the territories of the Western block. It is important they get to Our friends, not that enemy agents didn't get spyware free electronics as well (in fact, this latter point may in itself play to Our benefit).
- **[Strategy 8] Provide censorship free communication networks to the World People's Web. Make them spyware free where possible.** This should mainly be attained via Satellite-based internet, or other forms of Internet not under Western control. For simplicity, such systems should be offered commercially (or for free) across the entirety of both friendly, and Western-block territories.
- **[Strategy 8] Financially support the World People's Web. Leverage the vast (and otherwise largely useless) USD currency reserves.** Make use of Accumulators in Africa where appropriate. Make direct deals with friends with the World People's Web or their Accumulators where possible.
- **[General War Affairs] Use currency reserves to degrade and sabotage the enemy's monetary policy.** In particular degrade its ability to use monetary tightening in moments of need to generate demand for jobs in positions required by them (such as engineering with the military). Consider combating Western hegemony's monetary policy around the times of elections in the USA, to deny them the ability to fully leverage "the advantages of incumbency".
- **[Strategies 13 and 18]. Participate in military operations abroad, jointly with other states of the Free World.** Modest steps are already starting to be taken in this regard, in Syria.
- **[General War Affairs, Strategies 1 and 7]. Expand in Africa. Deny this as a territory for dark sites for the enemy. Create economic flows suitable for Our ways. Deny natural resources from this territory to the enemy. Leverage it to secure supply lines further on into America.** Deny the enemy any dark sites here, particularly (i) for production or consumption of sexual slavery, (ii) for cloning and other in vitro growing of humans for sexual slavery (eg. organ harvesting); (iii) biological or chemical weapons laboratories; (iv) retirement homes for former terrorists.





- **[Strategy 4]. Consider investigating Alibaba group founder in the context of the Chinese anti-corruption campaign.** I don't know why, but I fear he may be Western backed and generally West-leaning. I am not sure of this, and I could very well be wrong. But my intuition tells me so. I believe he should be investigated. If he is fine, and one of Us, then all the better! Otherwise, his control over the Alibaba group is a matter of strategic threat to Us in China and the Free World in general.
- **[Strategy 19]. Push to make immigration policy factually more open.** Selectively and wisely open up, especially with regards to Russia. Consider opening up to select members of the World People's Web also.

### Venezuela

- **[General War Affairs]. Keep up the good work.** Especially regionally. Expand and hold Argentina, Brazil, Peru, Mexico and expand into Panama and Colombia itself. Use present methods.
- **[Strategy 8]. Leverage territory for conferences, events and support of the World People's Web,** especially on a regional level. **Serve as a beacon of truth in signaling to the World People's Web which regions, bodies and leaders are of Our Ways:** leverage the example with Chavez's visit to Belarus.
- **[General War Affairs]. Leverage territory for regional wealth storage (crypto and plain-old banking).** Especially for the World People's Web, but also for legitimate regional and global entrepreneurs. Become in this regard in Latin America what Switzerland was for some in Europe, during the period 1990-2010.
- **[General War Affairs]. Infiltrate supporters and intelligence officers in legal and illegal migrants into the USA.** Additional ideas on this topic exist, but they are secret and will not be shared in the context of this report, since its secrecy cannot be guaranteed. Idea6. Contact the author directly for details.
- **[Strategy 18]. Deepen military-technical cooperation with Russia, Iran and China in particular.** Especially in terms of intelligence cooperation and military advisors – which already lead to excellent and brilliant results during the failed 2019 and 2020 coupe attempts. Roll this out to lower levels, and expand to joint operations.
- **[General War Affairs]. Establish and harden supply lines of both men and materials with the Russia, Iran and China in particular.** Develop and secure varied logistical channels for flows of equipment, personnel and communications with the other nations of the Free World.
- **[General War Affairs]. Deepen diplomatic and other cooperation with North Korea.** Expand cooperation beyond the top leadership to involve other operational agencies and bodies. Leverage North Korea's nuclear deterred for internal stability purposes. Make nuclear guarantees offered by North Korea tangible and credible.
- **[General War Affairs]. There exists on more secret idea in the context of military-technical cooperation with Russia and China, which will not be shared in the context of this report, because its secrecy cannot be ensured. Mi? Idea7. Contact the author directly for details.**

### Iran

- **[Strategy 4]. Combat traitors, defeteists and opportunists – especially those operating under the guise of being 'moderates', and supporting integration with the West, like Rouhani and apparently Zarif.** Eliminate such people from all the organs of power, root out their networks at large, and where appropriate, put all of them to trial and condemn them under Islamic law. On a case by case basis go against their families, as co-perpetrators, beneficiaries or accomplices. Iran has good laws in this regard. And it generally appropriately punishes traitors –



especially high-ranking ones, and more so when they are proved to be CIA-backed foreign agents. National Iranian tribunals and judicial processes should decide the fate of the like of 'moderates' like Rouhani. If a "Russia in 1999" kind of deal is useful (either effectively, or culturally) for such 'moderates', than fine. But this should not be the first choice. And, if it occurs, their unquestionable and total break from the West must be ensured – and they should be treated as per Strategy 1 in this eventuality. NA: From the moment I begun writing this report (April 25) until today, the situation on the field improved: I was thrilled to learn that multilateral cooperation, perhaps as per Strategies 6 and 11 is already taking place in this regard: [11]. Yes!!!

- **[Strategy 6 and somewhat 1]. Bring back Ahmadinejad's groups, and Ahmadinejad himself.** This will serve enormously as a boots to a leadership and strategic talent. It will also demonstrate that We are able to also Reconcile within Ourselves, learn from Our prior mistakes (I am personally referring to my perceived excessive ego of Khamenei when he denied Ahmadinejad's group access to elections in 2013, on the model of Putin-Medvedev), and find the inner strength – on both sides, to correct them, and get over it. NA: From the moment I begun writing this report (April 25) until today, the situation on the field improved: I was thrilled to learn that the Iranian leadership and people, are already doing this: [12]! Yes!!!!.
- **[Strategies 4, 6 and 18]. Pay extreme attention to sucesorship to Supreme Leader, Ayatollah Khamenei. Pick a good Muslim, a hardliner versed in Our ways.** When the time will come, of course. But multilateral cooperation within the entirety of the Free World should focus on this topic. It is a crucial matter.
- **[General War Affairs]. Consider developing strategic capabilities in term of delivery vehicles. Eye Poseidon-like technology.** The more kernels friendly to Our cause – and irreconcilable with the Western elites –, capable to inflict strategic damage on the Western hegemonic elites' strongholds, the better. Be careful about espionage and coupes. Approach the matter leveraging DPRK experience in terms of diplomacy with Russia and China. Do not pursue nuclear weapons, before such delivery vehicles programs are well advanced. **Else how, consider having military R&D programs which are complementary with those of the rest of the Free World member states, so as to avoid wasting of time and economic resources between Us.**
- **[General War Affairs] Rebuild and expand the nuclear program. Do not develop nuclear weapons, but maintain 2-3 year "escape velocity", resulting in post-existential deterrence.** Leverage Pakistani and DPRK experience in this regard. Do not revoke the fatwa against nuclear weapons before such a need becomes current: hopefully and probably, this will never happen. Not during the Darkest Hour, not after the New Victory Day.
- **[General War Affairs] Strengthen ties with Pakistan and Bangladesh.**
- **[Strategies 7, 8 and 18]. Serve as a flagship example and be a living model of a high-level Pole, within the Multipolar World: of Muslim culture.** Have Muslims and musulmanism be understood "as in Iran", not "as in Saudi Arabia" or "as in Dash", worldwide: both by Muslims and non-Muslims alike. Have Muslims from Yemen, to China, to Bangladesh, to the North Caucasus follow the Iranian flagship model, and do not fall prey to Western cultural attacks, attempting to turn them into terrorists to fight on their side.

## Belarus

- **[General War Affairs] Survive.** The ongoing, periodic CIA-sponsored coupe attempts against Belarus and its leadership are both a critical threat to Us there, and, at the same time, their systematic failures are also turning Belarusian society and administration into a hardened stronghold for Us, similarly to Venezuela.
- **[Strategy 8]. Serve as a liaison between Russia and Venezuela.** Both for officials, but more



so for the World People's Web.

- **[Strategies 8 and 11]. Serve as an unofficial official media for Our groups within the Russian Federation – for matters which cannot be said officially due to various reasons. Engage in intelligence cooperation with the World People's Web as per Strategy 8.**
- **[Strategies 6, 18 and 19]. Once more stable, serve as a secure staging area for new-joiners on Our side, both on elite and other tracks.**
- **Host some of our Accumulators targeting EU territories.** If and where appropriate, of course.

#### DPRK

- **[Strategy 6] Provide nuclear shield to non-nuclear members of the Free World, particularly to Venezuela and Iran.** Make such nuclear guarantees tangible and credible within the Western 2<sup>nd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> echelons of power. Target these predominantly with projected nuclear retaliatory strikes.
- **[General War Affairs]. Consider developing strategic capabilities in term of delivery vehicles. Eye Poseidon-like technology.** The more kernels friendly to Our cause – and irreconcilable with the Western elites –, capable to inflict strategic damage on the Western hegemonic elites' strongholds, the better. **Else how, consider having military R&D programs which are complementary with those of the rest of the Free World member states, so as to avoid wasting of time and economic resources between Us.**
- **[Strategy 20] Expand nuclear capabilities and strategic arsenal. Become the World's third nuclear power by 2035.** The nuclear arsenal should exceed the combined arsenals of all the remaining nuclear powers, except the US and Russia. Consider a strategy to become a recognized nuclear power and permanent member of the UN Security Council.
- **[General War Affairs] Create, train and field special forces' battalions - shock troops, to be leveraged in external military operations worldwide.** These should integrate all arms, including space, cyber and C4ISTAR, not be limited to infantry or artillery exclusively. Consider sending such special battalions as part of Strategy 13. Use them to further deter and combat western strategy of assassinations of Our leaders, beloved leaders, scientists, diplomats or friends from the World People's Web.
- **[General War Affairs] Create, train and field special "clean-up" troops for to be leveraged for clean-up operations on territories freed in later stages of the War, including as per Strategies 13, 16 and, of course, the USA itself, after the New Victory Day.** Include counterintelligence operatives and civilian officers (eg. judges) to serve in them. They will deal with making judgment calls against enemy military, civilians as well as our friends from the World People's Web or simple non-lucid civilians who happened to find themselves on the newly freed territories from within the Western block, and not only! Such units should also be leveraged in Central Asia, the North Caucasus and, of course, South-East Asia, mainly in connection with Strategy 1, but not only.

#### Turkey

- **[Strategy 4]. Execute most of the CIA-backed traitors and agents currently held in jails.** Have they retried under Universal Law, or at least under Military Law with regards to genocide: not only would they have assassinated Erdogan, and killed thousands more in the coupe, but the resultant system the envisioned, entailed genocide against the Turkish population at large. It is clear that, had they succeeded, they would have killed and enslaved millions. They are clearly guilty of attempted genocide. **Their continuing active metabolisms is a dangerous signal of inconsequentiality for CIA-backed coupe attempters everywhere:** you get to prison for some years, more so with the hope of being freed soon, and "with a fat check awaiting you in the





West” on release. They are also destabilizing: this is a standing army of nontrivial size, currently immobilized indeed, but ready to act as soon as they are freed – either by a weak judiciary or during another coupe attempt. Erdogan promised himself, and the Turkish people that these criminal CIA-coordinated coupe plotters “will pay the highest price” (ie. death). I call upon him to fulfil his promise, and await for this myself as well. Enough intelligence has been collected already about their support network with the judiciary, military, legislative, commercial and administrative organs of Turkey, no? Have such supporters tried or retried together with the coupe attempters. Label them as genocidal military combatants and condemn them to death under Universal Law. Then execute them: take them conveniently to the sea shores, shoot each in the back of the head, then dispose of their bodies at sea using barges. The above procedure should be repeated more or less the same way although the Free World, and on future freed lands, with regards to all traitors and dangerous military combatants from within. We can be more lenient and inclusive with regards to captives and the surrendered from field military operations (like with Dash in Syria, or in the future as per Strategies 13, 15 and 16). But with traitors at home, we should be as firm as possible – especially if the CIA claims them, and threatens nuclear war if killed. Note that this does not need to generally be the case after the New Victory Day: then, the largest portion of enemy military men and assimilated personnel, including former traitor networks from Our territories, will be relegated to forced labor camps, on the model of the USSR with regards to nazi prisoners.

- **[Strategy 14]. Lead and adopt a favorable successor to the expiring Montreux Convention, as per Strategy 14.**
- **[General War Affairs]. Do not officially renounce NATO membership, and speculate it for information gathering purposes.** Be wary though of this backfiring. Do not parallel expansion of Western influence in Turkey, via NATO mechanisms.
- **[Strategy 1]. Leverage the failed coupe attempt against Erdogan as a a proof of fact with regards to the realities of how the Western hegemonic elites would deal with former leaders they themselves priory supported, as discussed as motivation for Reconciliation in the context of Strategy 1.**

#### Philippines

- **[General War Affairs] Be more polite with China and Russia.**
- **[General War Affairs]. Secretly renounce the state policy of duplicity and join the Free World full heartedly.**

#### Turkmenistan

- **[General War Affairs]. Act as a regional leverage to prevent Azerbaijan and Uzbekistan from turning into dog states.**
- **[Strategies 1 and 7]. Serve as a model for post-Soviet Reconciliation and integration into a Multipolar World, as an independent, intermediate Pole** as per Strategy 7. Inspire leaders and military people in other countries, like Uzbekistan, Mongolia and Philippines and some African countries to join in.
- **[General War Affairs]. Secretly renounce the state policy of duplicity and join the Free World full heartedly.**
- **[Strategy 8]. Gift out more books on tea, especially to select members of the World’s People Web.**

**General Information: Note I am making several recommendations and speculations leveraging my nonaffiliated status.** Of course, any trials and measures against people I boldly appraise or suspect to be traitors, either in Turkey, China or Iran, should follow the constitutional and judicial processes



established within those countries. Nonpublic, secret information should be leveraged to fully understand the situation concerning them. Although unlikely, things may be different from how they look from OSINT point of view. "From where I stand", the situation and recommendations made in their regards seem very sound at this time. Also, the harshness of any imposed penalties, on themselves or their families should follow the principle of Realism and be enacted under the best practices of counterintelligence, politics around Strategy 1, and the Art of War in general.

#### **IV. BEHIND ENEMY LINES**

---

This section addresses what should friendly forces and Our people from the World People's Web do, behind enemy lines, within the Western-block.

The context of each person is of course unique to him or her. The guidelines below are intended to be universally applicable. Of course, people in more of a position of power, wealth or access can do more, while others can do perhaps just as much, but acting in totally different ways. While generic in nature, the ideas discussed below are more focused on addressing what non-aligned, "regular folks", with little to know access to wealth or power, should do. People like Snowden or those in various positions within other Western organs have already a better idea of how they can leverage their contexts. Finally, *friendly* people in the higher echelons of power, are statistically expected to be somewhat even more lucid, and are dealt with separately, typically in the context of Military Intelligence, or Reconciliation. Ultimately, it is absolutely clear that these latter categories of friends of Ours, should support the former – "the common man" (especially in terms of protection from genocide and conservation of fundamental liberties): in a manner appropriate to his individual context of course, so as not to prematurely reveal himself as a supporter of Our ways.

The pointers below span multiple strategic directions, which should be covered as a whole. However, given that each of these is presented only briefly, they will all be discussed in the format of a single strategy template, and not of individual ones.

**World People's Web Strategy behind enemy lines. Form networks and appropriate structures. Interact with each other globally and individually via liaisons. Form alternative communication and media channels. Financially, materially and socially support each other. Interact with Us as per Strategies 8 and 11. Expect and defend against infiltration, sabotage, persecution, including of an overtly illegal nature. Be wise about respecting the law: don't prematurely break serious local law, but don't be shy "to step on the grass" either.**

Context, Goals and Rationale:

- **The main advantage for a social movement favoring Our side, is that the World People's Web consists of genuine supporters, some deeply rooted citizens of their territories, with no required historical or operational connections with Us.** They do not need to be financed externally, nor controlled or coordinated (told what to do) by Us. This is unlike with the West, with for example the Soros 'open society' network of destitutes, traitors, fascists and depressed, broken people supporting the Western hegemons "on command": they wouldn't move a figure without the real or illusory promise of paychecks, without external guidance and occasionally



training.

- **In many Western countries (USA, UK) people, especially high qualified specialists, supporters of Our ways, earn above what they need for themselves and their families, but insufficient to use such resources to qualitatively improve their situation.** This is an opportunity to have them use some of this excess to finance organized activity within the World People's Web – if not their own (since they may be too busy with or tired after work), then that of others.
- **Goal: Create and sustain an effective and timely ability to interact with one-another outside the Western system.** This means not having to go through their liaisons, use venues controlled by the Western hegemonic elites (like events, work places, Western-controlled online social media, Tinder, Facebook, Twitter, most messenger apps). It also envisions mechanisms and factual practices enabling Our friends from the World People's Web to trade freely with each other, including to find each other for such trades. And this preferably means via channels and Apps which are totally outside Western control (so not Tinder, Uber Eats, Booking, or the like): to prevent blacklists, censorship, 'community rules', sanctions, SDN and other lists, and so on. Furthermore, to prevent information gathering on such economies to the Western hegemonic elites.
- **Goal: Create and sustain the ability to survive legally and economically, while engaged in political activity.** In this context, political activity should be understood as simply as "being against the Western system". Doing stuff like speaking to others about it, helping instil lucidity in them, directing them to the proper ways to contribute, writing pieces or creating media content about it, interacting with Us (especially internationally), trying to "vote with your wallet" and support people and economic circuits favorable to Our ways, and the like. It does not necessarily need to expand into stuff like organizing or attending street protests, setting up or participating in structures or networks more explicitly advocating and promoting Our ways, nor interacting with Our diplomats or advocating specific libertarian policies. Doing only the very simple things – like condemning the Western hegemonic way *in a meaningful and accurate manner* at the workplace, or even manifesting too much of a libertarian or free-thinker mindset will be enough to get you into trouble at work, in the sense of risking to lose your job (in the USA, Canada, Australia, and much less so in the UK or EU). Doing more, will entail risks of legal, police, secret service or Mafia abuse: even when you respect all laws, and including repressions which are overtly illegal in nature.
- **Goal: Conserve and expand the ability to interact with Us and with each-other internationally.** The people of the world should not be divided into "bubbles", not able to communicate or trade with each other – as the Western hegemons would have us (as a side note, as small a bubble as a single family unit / individual is what would please the hegemons best). More importantly for the current war effort is their ability to leverage Us and leverage each other internationally: to communicate via remote means, securely and without censorship and to travel internationally for meetings and events.
- **Goal: Conserve and expand the ability to act externally, both individually and collectively, but especially collectively. Particularly in terms of media efforts, but also politically.** The people who are already lucid enough and active practitioners of Our ways are not the entirety of those in the World People's Web. There are many normally-minded, kind-hearted people who are either not yet lucid enough, are too demoralized or lack the proper context to act entirely. Efforts should focus not only on internal sustainability, but also on the ability of other suitable friends to be able to join Us, and know enough of Us and Our ways to decide if and how to do it. This goal refers mainly to curtailing excessive or unjust legal or practical repressive practices, censorship, "Red Scare"-like witch-hunts, persecution or degradation of voting or other political rights for Our people, other types of significant and illegitimate unfavorable discriminations, and the like. Eg.: special police power law in France, adoption of state of emergency (eg. under the pretext





of a nonexistent medical crisis) in the UK and EU, official or factual renunciation of the Republican organization of the state, systematic judicial repression against local entrepreneurs by Western controlled 'anticorruption bodies' in Romania.

- **Goal: Make sure people are lucid enough and educated about what to support, demand and how to act, concretely.** Virtually all people are against the Western system, and clearly against the Western hegemonic elites, once they understand what that is about – or feel it on their own skin. No one likes slavery or hegemony. But understanding clearly what to ask for and support in its lieu, and, more importantly how to act to achieve that, is not trivial. We must have Our friends from the World People's Web both develop generic competences for operations behind enemy lines, become cognizant of general tricks and pitfalls specific to their contexts, but also have them relevant, quality specific information reach them. And fast! We don't want them to spend 5-10 years like the author did, just "to figure out the world", and another 5-10 just to gain elementary competences "the hard way", and starting to make a positive impact. We don't want them banging into walls, supporting or admiring the wrong figures: either formal or informal (eg. like the author did when he was 19, with Bill Gates, Soros and Basescu – only to take to street protest against the latter 5 years later). Also, they should be prevented from committing common intelligence or counterintelligence gaffes, and either underestimating or overestimating the enemy – the latter can be even more harmful than the former.
- **Goal: Ensure proper two-way intelligence cooperation and support between Us and the World People's Web. Process information on their behalf, and also share Our counterintelligence assessments on some of them. Let them properly and timely inform Us of the situation on their territories and in their contexts, especially nonofficial OSINT-like one.** From Us to them: legislative initiatives, attempts to change key officials, whom to support and whom not, etc., also counterintelligence assessments about each other. From them to Us: raw data on each other, data about the factual situation in their contexts and its dynamic: especially matters which are not state-secrets, but would otherwise not really make it into OSINT sources either. We will leverage this and other information from them to effectively "fine-tune" and particularize Our approach as per Strategy 9.
- **Goal: Assist those of Us in the Free World, via intelligence gathering, being an alternative media and other operations behind enemy lines, as per Strategies 8 and 11.** It is crucial that We act in a mutually supportive way with the World People's Web on the media front. The 2018 FIFA World Cup in Russia for example showed how effective was the enemy propaganda in the Western block, by appraising the drastic and widespread change in attitude within returnee tourists. Furthermore, Our official and informal media will probably face increasing censorship: both official, and unofficial, via 'community standards', 'bans on political advertising' and obscure, hand-tweaked algorithms for popular Western media venues like Google and Facebook. Censorship and severe degradation of quality is expected to happen on Wikipedia also, post the 2021 deal with US tech companies. In this context, leveraging the World People's Web to get our factual news, messages as well as recommendations for them across, behind enemy lines, is vital. Additional cooperation and coordination should happen, as outlined in Strategies 8 and 11.
- **Goal: Develop an alternative economy, of members of the World People's Web trading with each other and with Us, outside Western control or oversight.** Oversight here is interpreted as "ability to influence transactions (eg. to veto them)", not as compliance with *reasonable* local laws or regulations. Note that if We were to succeed in expanding this alternative economy widely enough on enemy territory, the Western hegemonic elites would be eliminated via Pole Darwinism without the theoretical need for a military way. Of course, the Western bandits, Rothschild and the rest, realizing this, will not allow it, and, when failing, will resort to military war themselves. However, the configuration to these alternative economic circuits, will greatly influence



what the general economy will look like in those regards, both before and after the New Victory Day.

- **Goal: Have sufficiently many people from the World People's Web be sufficiently lucid, organized and prepared to support Us in later stages of the war, especially around New Victory Day, during cleanup and in the enactment of Strategy 7 thereafter.** This largely means to have them "in place", "ready to go", and "telling us what to do and whom to go after". But it also means "helping themselves" – both to survive and to expand – before We can do more, and also helping Us, by supporting our policies and the success of the 20 strategies outlined in Section II, from behind enemy lines. Finally, they should be prepared to be able to "seize the moment", in case favorable circumstance arise, allowing Us to support them in succeeding themselves to gain state power, via electoral means, re-enacting the Bolivarian Revolution of Venezuela elsewhere (like was successfully the case in some countries in South and Central America – such as Argentina and we are struggling in Mexico also), or via overwhelming the enemy technically (through military means: on the Cuban model).

The core of the strategy:

- **Create alternative communications and media channels.** Note how communications – entailing punctual, actable messages, usually requiring quick delivery and dissemination, differs from media – which targets a longer timeframe, and contains messages, assessments and news which are not immediately actable upon. Note also how the two could leverage and support each other. When setting up alternative channels, take flagship model of the Bolivarian Revolution – of 2004 Venezuela in this regard. Alternative channels, refer mainly to people-to-people communications: who tells what to whom and how – in order to timely and accurately disseminate content. The effective carrier is less important. But do emphasize offline channels. And create online venues, channels and practices to access them involving secure and censorship free electronics and communication technology. Leverage Strategy 8 in this regards. Make the online / offline split be around 50%/50%. Create redundancies (similar to how DARPA net was meant to operate initially). Make sure to train and practice (exercise) these alternative channels – especially the offline and informal ones. The main priority is to make sure information flows from kernels and core leader downstream to other active members of the World People's Web. Also, to ensure feedback on actual developments flows both upstream, and laterally. Media efforts should include proper attribution of source, to reduce risk of "message / protest hijacking". Note that media efforts are different from lucidization: they aim that "Our messages" get heard widely, not that they are accepted or believed.
- **Create networks, reinforce their operability and ongoing refreshment. Adopt a cellular organization, spawning multiple independent "cores" and a few "kernels".** Create local and regional "cores" consisting of supporters and active practitioners of Our ways, organized more or less advancedly into structures, roles and processes as detailed in the point below. These cores should follow the "cellular organization" approach: be autonomous and have only small overlapping memberships. Cores are not geographical division units: they can span different cities or countries, and more than one core can exist for an area. They are more like cells of the human body, either specialized, or stem cells. Given that membership, history and evolution of cores will vary, there can be several Cores in the same area, covering the same general purposes: their merging, splitting or coexistence are largely driven by trust and confidence between its members, by degree of enemy infiltration or compromise, and by official history of the actions undertaken. At a higher level, some cores are kernels. These kernels should be comprised from the best and most trusted of Us, from the World People's Web, and should generally be the ones "setting the tone" as to what needs to be done and how to go about it. They should be naturally respected, trusted and looked up to by cores and their members. Kernels should be significantly more covert – if at least in in as much as



that some people or cores are actually kernels. The relationships with kernels should also be light, and verifiable: to prevent decapitation strikes, or takeover by some people or venues associated with a kernel (eg. arresting some online Anonymous posters). Cores should be able to function autonomously and grow new kernels if old ones become decapitated or go "in sleeper mode". Also, people themselves within cores should be able to abandon some when they become too compromised by the enemy, and set up new or act within other existing ones.

- **Appropriately self-segment activity and supporters into structures (Organization of Leafcutters to Dogs, Lovers of Blue Window Curtains, Theoretical Philosophy Debate Society, Community Self-defense Group, Civic Activism Society, Protest Movement, Leadership, Military Wing), roles (supporter, promoter, financier, strategist, coordinator / facilitator, tank, media person, formal leader / spokesperson, strategic leader, liaison, accumulator, class A spy, class B spy) and processes (communication, media, self-defense, financing, strategizing, leadership, street protests, military activity), suitable for operating behind enemy lines.** Each of these concepts is described in detail further below. As per the point above, there should be several independent "cores" of each type of such structures, with independent or partially overlapping memberships. Furthermore, membership will be overlapping to higher or lower degree between the various types of structures. Similarly, the processes should be designed to span across these independent cores and be adaptive. People could hold several de-facto roles both within the same core, and cross-cores. Roles and participation is of course informal – this should not be rigid nor should interactions have too much of a command and control culture, except where appropriate. Except some of the Accumulators and perhaps a few Organization of Leafcutters to Dogs, the structures should not have a corresponding juridical personality: they are intended to present themselves to be informal, mostly ad-hoc groups – in order to complicate political and legal prosecution of them (which will happen anyway, even when illegal under local laws). Finally, both structures, processes and roles should be dynamic over time and respond to changes in both internal and external environment. The concepts envision:
  - Organization of Leafcutters to Dogs. Provides an umbrella group for people to meet, agree on future meetings, distribute roles and tasks pertaining to functioning and expansion of the organization, and practice processes. The name "leafcutters to dogs" is meant to signify that no aspects related to the resistance should be discussed – and that, in principle, this organization is ultimately about nothing. Nothing in particular. It is just a means to organize, on a massive scale, interactions between friendly people. It is, in a limited sense, similar to masonic lodges – where I would suspect nothing related to actual operational actions upon the world (ie. matters of politics, military, economics, etc.) are discussed. But which provides the perfect umbrella to organize large groups of people to meet, coordinate with one another, distribute roles and exercise processes. In practice, each "leafcutters to dogs" organization should be themed on different local harmless subjects, preferably as a debate and experience sharing group: parenting, interior design, public speaking, etc.. Then, actual discussions would "just happen", as side matters. It is also a means to recruit and assess new joiners. Those showing promise, could be involved in more secretive meetings. Most events should be closed: invite only. There can be more than one such organization per core, so as to separate. They should not have legal entities in principle – being just "ad-hoc meetings" of "groups of likeminded people, sharing a common interest, more or less". But some can.
  - Lovers of Blue Window Curtains. This is to practice formal alternative communication channels, develop a working distributed C4 system, and practice it. Its purpose and membership is much more covert than with "leafcutters". Metaphorically, picture this: A





kernel leader (potentially in exile) decrees, throughout appropriate channels: "It is a matter of good taste for all Blue Window Curtains to have a crimson lining around the top". Then, this "Curtain Lovers" organization picks up the message, and its responsible members implement the decision: people will suddenly have blue curtains with crimson ribbons at the top across entire street blocks. The idea with "window curtains" is that it is, again, something totally unrelated to politics, the resistance or ideology. It is, in form, totally harmless and useless. However, alternative communication channels (C4) and some alternative media will leverage these precisely: some people involved in this "curtain lovers" structure will have "personal hobbies" which, they might just share accidentally (eg. "did you hear what Zaharova said yesterday? Here's the link") when talking about "blue curtains". With more advanced cores, such organization should be used to also give the signal for street protests: and, it will always be an exercise in mobilization and of validating the effectiveness of both alternative communication channels, C4 and the people's degree of involvement. Each such structure should, in principle, adopt its own landmarks and have its own formal and informal query and commands. This should be simple: they are used mainly for structured, C4 communication (and feedback); not for planning, strategy or content generation.

- Theoretical Philosophy Debate Society. This is the place where content and strategy are created (at mid- and low- levels). It will have 3 degrees of access: public, private and closed. The public events will be used as a cover for meetings for recruitment and to assess new joiners. Most "debate topics" will be harmless: stuff like "is hard determinism incompatible with free will", "does the grandfather paradox invalidate time travel", etc. However, there will be things of Our ways salted in between the lines, or outside the speaker's rostrum. Also, there will be occasional meetings, fully about Our ways: where media people, spokespeople, guests, strategists, and, if needed, strategic leaders could sometimes speak. Private meetings will be for onboarding new joiners, and for generic discussions about Our ways, and for collecting and writing reports based on OSINT-like data available in the core's context. Closed meetings will be for actual strategic and operational planning, discussions on pressing matters, performance assessments and matters concerning "leafcutters", "blue curtain lovers" and Accumulator structures, and the like. Promoters and Financiers could occasionally be invited to such meetings. Note that processes within resistance cores should be 60-75% ad-hoc. Such meetings are clearly required occasionally, and the "theoretical philosophy debate society" offers a cover for them. But members of cores should coordinate bilaterally or in small groups most of the time, outside any formal meeting: both online, offline and via alternative C4 channels.
- Community Self-defense Group. This is a pseudo-structure, which oversees usage of Tanks to protect the World People's Web – and particularly high-value targets, like strategists, media persons, strategic leaders, liaisons, accumulators. This will essentially be "Our 112 / 911": for matters of physical security, legal support, medical emergencies and the like. This is meant to largely defend against illegal activity against Our people: ie. police brutality and abuse, false flag attacks by 'unknown strangers', providing elementary legal support in case of arrest or retention. Sometimes, for dangerous operations (eg. some of the street protests, some of the meetings in the framework of the other structures, etc.) support from Tanks should be planned in advanced, on a prophylactic basis. Note that Tanks do not need to be armed, and do not need to attack police or regular militias. Sometime just being there as witness (and filming perhaps) acts as a sufficient physical defense, via deterrence. Since we are defending against illegal activity in general, some such structures could consider organizing or getting involved with neighborhood community patrols as well: particularly in



the USA.

- Civic Activism Society. This is Our core political wing, which is openly and fully operating as an overt promoter and enactor of Our ways. From here stuff like media interviews (both Ours, alternative and mainstream) will be carried out – even involving spokespersons or strategic leaders where appropriate. Political parties or unions will be formed and operated. This will be the base point for interaction with most of Our diplomats from nations of the Free World. The connections and concrete ways of interaction between this structure and the rest, should be a matter of secret (even though there is nothing illegal going on).
- Protest Movement. This is where physical activism happens. Especially “in the street”. This should be carefully distinct from the above, and not coincide with it. Consider the split: “the political party of Donald Trump” and “the supporters of Donald Trump who broke into the building of Congress”. Clearly the operating environment and the concrete challenges faced are very different. And clearly a splitting of the two is in order.
- Leadership. As simple as the name states. This will be very informal and small-sized structures from which core, multi-core and sometimes kernel leadership is to be provided. Even at the core level, it does not have to be a single leader. Also, leading will be less about “having authority over others” and much more about “knowing what is the right thing to do, and who to do it”. This will not be about being popular and there will be no “election of leaders” by core members. The absolute core demands on leadership are (i) they are clearly not enemy infiltrators or spies; (ii) they genuinely supportive of Our values and Our ways; (iii) they have the intellect, strength of character and other traits making them Military; (iv) they have the appropriate resources (free time especially) to properly lead resistance activity. The leadership structure should encompass both strategic leaders (who are the most important ones – actually “setting the tone”) and formal leaders / spokespersons (who are much less involved in actual operations and more “expendable”– as unfortunate as it sounds). Media people, liaisons, Accumulators and occasionally financiers will be invited to attend meetings.
- Military Wing. In some very few places, it could help to have a Military Wing. This is entirely distinct from Community Self-defense structure. A military wing should prepare, on a sufficiently large scale (at least 5000, preferably 100,000) people who: (i) are trained in military arts (eg. can handle pistols, weapons, hand-to-hand combat, knives, etc.); (ii) are trained to function within formal military structures (eg. companies, battalions, etc.); (iii) are provided with proper “concentrate” and “disband” flows, to allow them to form the effective army when needed; (iv) are sufficiently trusting and familiar with each other to function effectively in times of combat. Focus intensely on practicing C4ISTAR processes. Note that getting actual weapons or developing military capabilities behind enemy lines is not a priority: have the people in place, and worry about weapons later. Note several historical examples where liberty was achieved via Military Wings of resistance movements: Cuban Revolution, Irish independence. Note also the vastly more numerous cases where it failed: FARC in Colombia, Basque movement in France, and, of course – all those no one did even hear about, because they were crushed quickly. Be very very wary of recruiting people from private security, especially infiltrators coming in as ‘the friendly former French foreign legion soldier’ who ‘would seem very useful to us’. Do not focus on Military Wings to the detriment of having alternative media and C4I capabilities. Do consider Military Wings in the USA in particular, leveraging also the strong tradition and the availability of firearms there. But do not overemphasize this in the USA either. Military Wings will be used to defend against genocide: both via actual operations and via deterrence.



- Supporter. This is a person who actively supports the resistance, at a low intensity. He or she must be of Our ways, and sufficiently active to qualify as being a supporter. The rest of friendly or supportive people are called beneficiaries. A supporter will typically not have to give up "the day job": he does concrete things, but time consumption is not extreme, and he is not to overtly "with Us" to prevent him having a job.
- Promoter. This is a supporter who is more versed in Our ways, exhibits higher lucidity, qualities as Military (as per definition in Section I) and more deeply involved with the covert parts of Our structures and processes. Some supporters may still be able to keep a day job (50%), others not (50%). Of those not able, some should still be able to get underemployment jobs which cover their expenses (50%), others will get jobs with Our Accumulators or financiers (25%), while the rest will require support from the resistance, via financiers (25%). Support at this level should be basic and definitely not taken for granted.
- Financier. This is a person who can provide material support to the resistance. This includes money, goods, sex, other services (eg. lawyer, cooking, home repair). Financiers are usually just Supporters. More rarely they can be Promoters (eg. software engineers or other people who earn well currently, yet still have enough free time). Very very rarely they are strategists, liaisons or strategic leaders – although this is not excluded. Financiers should not be media persons, spokespersons nor get involved in too overt operations. Support for the movement should be provided "in-kind" as often as possible and bilaterally: this means the financier paying the designated person's bills, buying groceries for him or her, doing deliveries, cooking, helping with home repairs, or having sex with him or her directly. The "designated person" can belong to a group, and these matters are generally settled (at least for some time period) within the context of the Leadership and the closed Theoretical Philosophy Debate Society structures. Bilateral financing can also occur spontaneously. It can later be acknowledged with the formal context or not (be an independent undertaking of the financier). When the above is not possible directly, cash or goods transfers must be preferred. For larger volumes (both quantitatively and valorically) the use of Accumulators should be considered.
- Strategist. This is a person who is actively involved in information flows, both strategic and operational, concerning matters of interest to the resistance. He elaborates reports, has innovative ideas, communicates with other core or kernel members including in the context of C4I and generally "sets the tone" as to what the core(s) and movement should do, and how to go about it.
- Coordinator / Facilitator. Handles "people matters", both vertically and horizontally, so that the people with varying characters, motivations, personal contexts and so on, continue to be able to function effectively (and, as much as possible "nicely") and work with each other within the resistance movement. This is needed in any organization where people "are too close to one another for too long". This role is partially analogous to the traditional "manager", "facilitator" or "trainer" positions from management literature. But, unlike with the traditional manager roles, our Coordinators, are there just to coordinate: they do not decide nor what to do, nor how to go about it, as a result of fulfilling this role. They are involved in counterintelligence assessments and in the financing flows, given their direct contact and observations of the people involved. Our coordinators will be able to give suggestions, but do not have any authority: they cannot order anyone (except in some situations, for coordinators within the Military Wing). Note that Coordinators are not just "the HR sexy lady" that corporations currently have. They are at least Promoters of Our ways, and may have other roles as well (eg. strategist / strategic leader / liaison) which may





not be known to other resistance members, due for example to secrecy requirements. Nevertheless, when acting within this role, their task is to “smoothen” things, and to flow information as per counterintelligence and financing processes. Largely, not to lead, but to support operations.

- Tank. This is a Promoter, Supporter or Beneficiary who acts in some supportive capacity within conflicts. This can be the “muscle guy” who defends Our people in key positions from those acts of violence by ‘unknown assailants’, the “armed neighborhood patrol” who does the same and also acts as a deterrence against police abuse, the bystander witness who films aggressions, the lawyer or jurist who provides first aid in case of need, and so on. Tanks can also be people who “go in front” during physical actions, such as protests. Some Tanks could also be designated “scapegoats”, so as to protect Our more senior positions from legal or extrajudicial harm. This later “scapegoat” role is assigned only with their consent, resulting from full hearted desire to act in such capacity.
- Media Person. This is a person who is involved in public media flows. It includes being in touch with Our people as per Strategy 11 in particular. But also as per Strategies 2, 5, 8 and 12, with regards to the media component. It also has access to dedicated C4I channels (think “Blue Curtain Lovers”). Media people keep in close contact with Leadership and Strategy people. They can also author content. Sometimes they are involved in its overt online and offline promotion as well. Media people should not be involved in dangerous operations, and should avoid being Liaisons, Tanks, Formal Leaders, Coordinators or Spies as well. They are not generally strategists (except as per Media strategy) nor strategic leaders. Although they can be if needed or desired.
- Formal Leader / Spokesperson. This is a person who speaks publically for *the part of the resistance movement* whom he represents – usually a core. Some of these speak publically for the entire resistance movement. More importantly, such people are used as guarantors to both new joiners and other members that their respective organizations are of Our ways, were not compromised by the enemy and did not betray (eg. like Peskov or Zaharova are for the Russian administration). Formal Leaders are very exposed and generally act overtly. This includes publically speaking of Our ways, and directing new-joiners to the proper absorption flows as per their organizations, in an overt manner. They are also used to connect Promoters with Our more senior people. Note that they are not mere “mindless trumpets” for Us. They are involved with Leadership processes and are appraised and occasionally work closely with our Strategist, Leaders and Media People. They have limited access to secret information, but generally to a very small degree. This role is somewhat closer to that of state Ambassador (eg. like Andrei Karlov): but they do not represent us before the enemy, but before Our friends, future friends and general population behind enemy lines. Very rarely, we could leverage Formal Leaders to speak to the enemy: but 99% of the time this would just signal or lead to betrayal on behalf o the respective formal leader. Any talks with the enemy should only be formal, procedural, target almost exclusively legal matters and aim only at stalling for time and gathering intelligence. Under no circumstance must We make any kind of deal of any kind with the enemy (especially on his territory, duuh!): not even implicit. Note that some enemy infiltrators and spokespeople may not be known to Our structures beforehand. Also, remember that Stalin was a Tzarist OXPAHA agent and he marvelously leveraged this for the success of the Soviet operations.
- Strategic Leader. This represent the main group of people (very small in number – usually 1-3 per core) who “actually run the show”. They need to be well versed in Our ways and have all the qualities required of Military (as per definition in Section I). Strategic leaders should



keep a very low profile: avoid street protests unless critically needed or useful. Consider operating from exile (ie. from territories outside the Western-block, and somewhat under Our protection) once a sufficiently high caliber and seniority has been reached. Consider what happened to Assange when he didn't do this. Or what would have happened to Snowden, or what has partly happened to the author himself when being too trusting. Note that 80-90% of active core leaders should operate from within enemy territory: they should arrange for their own succession or move into exile only when possible, appropriate, and in connection with sufficient "heat" mounting around them. About 50% of kernel strategic leaders should operate from exile. Strategic leaders should be vigorously defended, both systemically (ie. in terms of cover arrangements for such people) and punctually (in times of need, and in concrete situations involving certain leaders personally). Be particularly mindful of attacks on reputation: eg. fake rape charges against Julian Assange. Note that Strategic Leaders will lead by influence and respect of others: they may have very limited levels of authority only towards other senior core / kernel people who know them. Nevertheless, emphasis should be placed on doing the things leaders ask for, especially when they are clearly right, and their requests are reasonable. It is preferred if Strategic Leaders can also function as military leaders – although they should NOT get involved with any Military Wing structure, except perhaps during final assaults.

- Liaison. These people operate as information, trust and C4I brokers between various structures and people, mainly cross-cores and between kernels and cores. They also operate internationally, in relating both with Us from the Free World, and with other international supporters or movements. The author's participation in the 2020 International Bolivarian Forum against Imperialism in Caracas, can be regarded as liaisonship: both to other movements (via the Forum itself) and to Our people (via contacts with the Venezuelan military). Liaisons are not a channel of communication. They should be quite senior people, well versed in our ways. Oftentimes Strategic Leaders are also Liaisons, but there can be liaisons who are not leaders at all. They are not simple spokespeople between different groups, and do get "hands-on" involved with strategists and kernel / core leadership extensively.
- Accumulator. An Accumulator is a structure who gathers, stores and distributes either financing, information (mainly non-secret one) or trust (ie. acting as a store of credentials allowing people to be connected to one another appropriately). There can be covert (including single persons), overt (eg. Eurasian Bank of Development, Bank of China, a Russia-owned business in the EU or US) and semi-Overt Accumulators (eg. some US-based contractor for ZTE). Accumulators are an important part of covert operations. Overt and semi-overt Accumulators can and, where possible, have legal entities to facilitate in their activities.
- Class A spy. This is a person involved in covert operations. His background, allegiance to Us and dedication to Our ways must be kept secret from the enemy, to the required extent. Usually when a class A spy is exposed, he or she can no longer function as such, and typically becomes strategist, strategic leader, formal leader, promoter or liaison. Most class A spies (66%) would not risk anything above "acting as unregistered foreign agents" – and often times not even that, from a legal perspective. They will of course risk legal abuse, extrajudicial killings and the like, just like any good military person does.
- Class B spy. This is a spy who is more versed in covert operations, has deeper cover and is more senior in Our ways, and often times, more closely integrated with Us – either before, or prospectively after spying operations. Important intelligence exchanges, formal liaisonship



to Our military organizations within the Free World and actions specific to agents of influence should be undertaken by class B spies. Most such spies (70%) face risks of being eventually killed if exposed.

- **Leverage strategic leaders in exile, especially during mid-stages of growing a resistance movement.** Do not underestimate the great clarity, creativity and strength a leader in exile can instil to social movements behind enemy lines. Remember the Khomeini example, where the first Supreme Leader of Iran, coordinated and lead the 1979 Islamic Revolution, largely from exile – first from Iraq, but largely from France!! And it worked out splendidly. Have this in mind when dealing from behind enemy lines with people like Edward Snowden, or the author of this report himself. Remember also what happened to Julian Assange: there is no need for strategic leaders, nor strategists to be physically behind enemy lines, or else how in harm's way – except when indeed required, which is usually only during the very late stages (eg. like when Khomeini returned to Iran in 1979).
- **Educate oneself via study, trainings and interactions.** More important that consulting specific materials I recommend as valid at the present moment, is that people developed the habits and processes to seek-out information (ie. data leading to actable intelligence) and knowledge (ie. leading to competence) from varied sources, including Western-controlled ones like CNN, Western-dominated ones like Wikipedia, but mainly and overwhelmingly from friendly and independent media and via alternative channels. People should furthermore develop the capabilities to seek-out and discover new such sources. Of course, they should also leverage informal networks and alternative media and communication channels to “get up to speed with the news” in the future. Finally, people should also leverage Our support, as per Strategies 8 and 11 to get required information, make connections and even get training. In terms of present day content, I can strongly encourage active supporters and interested people to read this report (at the very least the Introduction and Strategies 1, 2, 7, 8 – especially Core Point 4 which outlines common Western tactics to compromise open movements, 9, 11 and 12), read my speech for the 2020 International Bolivarian Forum against Imperialism in Caracas [1] and read the articles on my blog [3] (especially “On the Functioning of Society” [2], “On Happiness” [13] and “On Power” [14]) – I wrote these particularly for such purposes, and should quickly get them up to speed with both the fundamentals of counter-intelligence and with current world affairs). Then, they should read and follow friendly official media outlets, Russia Today [15], Sputnik News [16], PressTV [17] and Telesur [18]. In my view, a person able to act in the role of an elite strategist for some core can understand this report fully and be able to apply its ideas appropriately. A strategic leader is furthermore able to criticize the concepts and proposals, particularize them, and even abandon / replace some of them as the situation dictates. Note that by “understanding the ideas”, I mean not only understanding how to apply them in practice – but also understand why they are important, and also understand how they relate to Our ways: and think of the particularities of both the current world context, and of Our ways, which made me recommend these options, and not others. Finally, people should practice the Mafia society game: it will help them tune basic counterintelligence capabilities quite effectively.
- **React and vehemently defend against strategic encroachment. Do this wisely and do not over-expose membership or supporters.** Do not allow the strategic or tactical operating field to be too deeply compromised by the enemy. This is another area where the very essence of Our ways gives us a military advantage: all we require is that fundamental human rights are observed, that censorship does not occur, that spying is not systematic and the like. In particular, do not allow attacks on genuine free speech (especially those of a legal nature – as per ‘hate speech’, but also those emerging from ‘community standards’ or obscure algorithms), free trade, free travel or freedom of association to succeed. Attack Facebook, Google, the NSA (especially their





spying on American citizens via Israeli contractors), FISA practices, 'medical' states of emergencies, enhanced police power, police abuse, compromise of judges or creation of 'Rubberstamp Courts' (beyond FISA). Leverage intelligence cooperation with Us to know what to attack and what is crucial to defend against (and perhaps what the chances are of success). The colleagues in the USA should furthermore not allow attacks on NRA to succeed. They should defend their right to bear arms, as if it was a matter of personal life or death. Because it is: otherwise, they would end up worse than in the EU.

- **Do practice regular protests and other types of physical mobilization.** Social movements behind enemy lines must have at least some component of face to face, physical contact, at least once in a while. Both for teambuilding purposes and also to publically affirm how widespread effective support for Our ways is, and how powerful We really are (particularly despite Western propaganda to the contrary). This is especially to send leadership messages, advising and informing Our supporters and would-be supporters of Our existence and the existence of the respective social movement. Secondly, protests should be used to get such supporters educated and involved "the right way": recruitment, reinforcement, and expansion (or creation) of networks as per points 1-2 above. Such informal venues can be helpful to assist those on the path to lucidity to understand "who is who" and "what is what", and how to separate gold from chaff and where to get involved properly and how. Thirdly, protests should be seen as a mobilization exercise. Fourthly, protests can and do send a political message and produce political effects both domestically and internationally. The examples of Spain, Portugal and France – with 1 million+ strong weekly protests occurring there before 'state of medical emergency' was declared in 2020, reign supreme in this regard.
- **Leverage support from Us, as per Strategies 8, 9 and 11.** Our friends from the World People's Web should not forget that they are not alone. Make use of venues and other forms of support provided for as per Strategy 8. Leverage intelligence cooperation and avail oneself of our official and informal media as per Strategy 11. Help Us define the specifics of support provided as per Strategy 9, and then firmly assert the rights granted by formal, informal or customary international agreements Note as example of the latter, that of Syrian civilians in Dash controlled areas in Idlib, availing themselves of the implicit agreement that Russia will not immediately kill Dash commanders via targeted strikes, so long as they don't bother the civilians too much (eg. via genocide). Leverage in particular Our superior capabilities within the Free World to more quickly swift through OSINT and informal intelligence to single out dangerous initiatives (eg. "French police powers law") and prospective changes in the status-quo, from the clutter.
- **Wisely leverage official political process, form or take over political parties and organizations where appropriate.** Note that this is the method which the Bolivarian Revolution leveraged successfully. And, furthermore, durably. Avoid small parties like "ecologists" – beware of them. Create unions and trade unions. Beware of mafia infiltration in such unions (both workers' and patronal) in low-tech industries and of Western agents proper (especially from Regular Freemasonry) in hi-tech ones. This civic and electoral avenue should be neither underestimated, nor excessively be obsessed about (particularly within the US). It can be tapped for both tactical gains, and in the broader strategic context.
- **Create, support and engage with economic entities which function in the alternative economic ecosystem, trading mostly or exclusively within the World People's Web and with Us.** Make it so. Act as founder, customer, worker or partner to "Our economic agents", be they production or distribution cooperatives, small hospitality venues, international electronics vendors, online services and the like. Be wary of falling for the trap of 'buying locally' scam: many "local" or "national" business, are actually corporate controlled – research and know the difference. Support the boycott of Israeli merchandize, and generally boycott core Western economic agents. But don't shame or pick on people leveraging such vendors: there are many good reasons to do this



and this is not a key issue.

- **Consider having a Military Organization only where appropriate. Prepare for armed civic resistance if necessary, especially to defend against genocide.** Have the people and C4ISTAR structures trained and ready to go, and worry about weapons later. Focus mainly on capabilities to defend and ensure a secure operating environment for C4ISTAR, strategic leaders, liaisons and spokespeople. They are the most important, and their positions are the most exposed. It is also obvious that they will be high priority targets for the enemy, when military operations break out. Do not neglect strategists and financiers either. The first objective of such a military structure will be to defend the above categorize, the World People's Web at large and civilians from genocide, imprisonment or other drastic curtailing of liberty, when military operations reach enemy territory, or the political situation of the Western hegemonic elites becomes so precarious, that they need to resort to such measures. Note in the USA for instance, how a widespread, lightly armed population is favorable to Us: again, an advantage steaming from the essence of Our ways as opposed to the ways of the hegemons. Most of these lightly armed people are normally minded, kindhearted humans (since most people are like this naturally - save after genocidal cleansings, or other deliberate messing with the demographic structure of the population by the Western hegemonic elites). And many of them are becoming increasingly lucid. And they are armed. In some cases at par with local police – thus, having a vital deterrent effect on the latter. The US colleagues should expand on this, so that informal civilian militias become at par with the US National Guard, and maybe even are able to hold off a short term siege by some US Army units. They should also expand into anti-terrorist training – especially given the expected incoming wave of illegal migrants provided for by the Biden administration, but also to be able to better face off illegal sporadic and short term assaults from US special forces like SWAT teams.
- **Consider and Leverage the Future Leaders Track.** Let Our friends remember that there will be stuff to do after resistance wins, and beyond. The Future Leaders Track must generally not appear to be a “payoff” or “retirement” vehicle, but an avenue for meaningful future involvement, both before, and after the New Victory Day. Also, Our friends in the World People's Web should not neglect this track either: valuable, kindhearted and smart people, versed in Our ways, should not give way to others, clearly less suited ones the factual or prospective roles of Future Leaders. Especially not to those “popular guy”, “salesman like”-type of people, who generally do seem to have it much easier winning popular support and election-like processes than actual strategic leaders or promoters – just as if support here was for some “reality TV popularity or beauty contest”. Much more so given that many of these are actually Western infiltrators. Members of the World People's Web must be respectful, both grant and demand recognition, and act in a gentleman-like fashion towards each other, avoiding infighting. But the unfit should not be given a cleared path on this track, especially not because the fit is less popular or will have a harder time to make others (especially those much less intelligent or lucid), support him or her.
- **There is one more strategic element which will not be revealed in the context of this report, since its secrecy cannot be guaranteed. Ca? Please contact the author directly for details. Idea8.**

#### Comments:

- **Our friends from the World People's Web should play the Mafia society game as much as possible.** This will strongly help with developing rudimentary counterintelligence capabilities and attire. Poker is another alternative, but this one presents much higher risks of financial costs, and of falling prey to gambling addictions.
- **Have Our supporters (including those not knowing they are of Us) spread word of Our values, Our ways, and, also of Us, across all venues where they are present.** Especially in the educational contexts, but also informally during “nights out”, social gatherings, at work, in the



syndicate, and, of course, overall, by being a representative an example of Us and Our ways, as imperfect as We also are. Consider the elements of Strategy 12 and adapt them to operations behind enemy lines.

- **Advance with the fight even against desperate odds. Don't lose hope in face of imminent defeat. Vote even if it seems useless (canceling the vote).** Note that many times the battle seems lost, shortly before Victory. Take Venezuela in 1996. Our friends and supporters from the World People's Web should at least see the "Venezuela Bolivariana" [19], "Life in Occupied Palestine" [20] and "Iran and The West" [21] documentaries (very lucid people should be able to draw valuable intelligence from the last one – even if it is Western BBC documentary, full of denigratory propaganda).

#### Crucial Details:

- **When some structures or cores gain too much notoriety or become obviously compromised by the enemy, disband them and migrate their members to other, potentially new ones.**
- **In terms of ideological culture stress that We, the supporters of Our Values from the World People's Web, don't need to agree on everything fully. Nor be in perfect, perpetual harmony with one-another.** I personally remember the lengthy personal debates I had with a communist friend from Romania on the topic of exterminating stray dogs in Bucharest (against which he advocated very passionately). Personal stances on stuff like cloning, sex outside marriage, teen sex, education and religion can very easy grow into heated, and otherwise detrimental interactions. Likewise, all close or recurrent relationships have their ups and downs, on a personal level. The key aspect of Military men and women – and of Us – is to cooperate on topics where there is agreement, and address other matters in different venues, without letting them curtail the victorious pursuit of the common interest at hand. Enact a culture where complete harmony or full consensus are not required prerequisites to action, and where occasionally falling on the losing side of an argument does not generate resentment. But do try to nurture cohesion and teambuilding when possible.
- **Our girls from the World People's Web should offer sex to our activists in the context of the resistance movement. This means not only to those they find attractive or "cute", and not only "when they want to feel cool doing this".** I hope I was explicit enough. For those who didn't get, I suggest they reread points 1, 6 and 7 from the Introduction, Strategy 2 and the comments for Strategy 12. I said girls because in the Western-block, it is girls that, due to enemy actions, have, statistically speaking, severe deficits of understanding in this regard (especially in the EU, UK and territories under Western influence outside their strongholds, but, amazingly, to a lesser extent in the USA proper and Canada). But the guideline applies to both sexes equally. This is not about "saving 100\$/1000\$ from someone's budget". It is mainly about caring. The French coined a term during the French Revolutionary Wars: "Les enfants de la patrie". Among others, it refers to the very.. nice way in which men (especially young men – hence the term "enfants"), so that, when they were freezing in mud on the front, and aching from enemy bullet wounds, they would remember that "they have someone at home", "for whom it is worth fighting": and they would carry on. And, at the very least, not even consider betraying or capitulating, "for a slice of bread, and a warm shower". Operationally, it is also about protecting our people from legal risks resulting from acquiring sex in the Western-block (being formally illegal to various degrees of gravity across most of their territories). But is much more importantly also about providing higher quality than is available "on the free market", especially for the kind of money resistance activists are expected to have for such matters (which is on the very low side). Finally, of course, this is seen as a manner to finance operations. If you as a man or girl have other concrete contributions, which are of a significance or importance high enough to make you exempt from





“financing the movement” via sex, then the culture within Our structures and cores should be understanding and equitable in this regards also. In principle, like I commented for Strategy 12, I would expect such matters to resolve themselves naturally without any need for guidelines or oversight. However, given the apparent degree of success of enemy actions against girls in this regard on their territories, I felt it is good to include this explicitly in this report, here, for Our friends from the World People’s Web to read “in black on white”.

- **Expect legal, economic and physical persecution, including of an illegal nature.** Do not be overly reliant that as long as your own actions and those of your core are perfectly legal, both in form and essence, that you would be protected from repression. It will come, sooner or later – in principle, when you or your core grows “above the radar”. Expect this and plan accordingly.
- **Don’t be afraid to step on the grass. But do avoid provocation.** At the very least, some degree of firmness in protests – especially those of a large scale, should happen, at the minimum to ensure that their planned itineraries are fulfilled despite police cordons or other provocations. And despite shouted ‘legal warnings’ to disband or ‘not to go there’. How such things generally happen in France, Spain and Greece are good examples in this regards. Also, avoid falling prey to provocateurs – both infiltrated in the columns and from the official police side, into committing grave crimes, like murdering a police or gendarme. Remember that, in most places, a large proportion of the armed forces – expect of course most in special “anti-riot units”, which are specially selected – are actually with Us. And their help in the future might actually be welcomed, and received: consider especially France. Also, avoid having financiers, strategists, liaisons and strategic leaders participate in dangerous protest actions or events. They should lead from behind wherever possible: and both them, and the rest of the people in the core should learn how to function in this regard. When the circumstances call for, or lead to some of these people participating in dangerous actions, then Tanks (and later legal Accumulators) should be used to defend them as much as possible. Evacuation routes and plans for them should be thought up in principle, ahead of time. A good sense of measure is required here. In most places across the Western-block, the situation is not as dire as in Palestine (where the Palestinians, are however a flagship role model of resistance behind enemy lines: not 1 of them works in Israeli factories, more or less, for example). Finally, understand that the World will judge Us by the actions of Our people from the World People’s Web behind enemy lines as well: a protest or a favorable circumstance of power, should not for example be an opportunity to kill or hurt a personal enemy against which you held a longtime grudge (justified or not) – the post-New Victory Day cleanup stage will be the time for this, if appropriate. Nor should it be used to steal unjustifiably or to do other such things, outside the context of the resistance effort.
- **Communicate mostly openly and in broadcast-fashion, including online, using overtly legal and open channels and content.** Due to the nature of Our ways, we will never be advocating or planning reprehensible things like terrorism or slavery. Furthermore, the system of governance on enemy territory (save very few) is overtly Republican: and emphasizes human rights, freedom of speech, political liberties and the like. Even if it is not at all so in effect. And even if the Western hegemonic elites take sustained and systematic efforts to make the people self-censor and somehow “accept that in effect there is a dictatorship”, despite the official discourse. Also, remember that about 60-80% of their administrative apparatus is actually rooting for Us. Therefore, censoring or later persecuting you for reporting non-secret, OSINT data, or socializing “with your kind” more intensely will not be trivial and, in many cases “should be nothing to worry about” for quite some time. Do be careful and hold sensitive conversations and data exchanges via appropriately secured channels, additionally taking relevant precautions.
- **Leverage technical means, like secure laptops, satellite internet, encryption and stenography where appropriate.** Leverage the support provided for as per Strategy 8 in this



regard. When storing information, preferably do it into an online platform hosted within the Free World (like Mail.ru or Yandex clouds or emails). Then wipe (not just delete – eg. with MutilateFileWiper) the content from the local devices. When you need to keep or operate on data locally, open source crypto-tool VeraCrypt can be used to some historically provable success. Note that encryption only has a chance to work if you do it at preboot authentication level (and not with BitLocker – which is not secure). Also, assume anything which is in computer memory (especially in the video card's memory) when you are connected to the Internet is compromised. So, setting up VeraCrypt to work on modern laptops and devices this way is hard – but it can be done (how to do it is out of scope of this report). Also, consider that you might be tortured, intimidated, or very quickly taken to reveal the password for the encrypted volumes: especially if it is a small variation to some other password you use frequently. Thus, take adequate precaution to secure online venues especially.

- **Avoid having paper-trail (including digitally) of data which you would not want the enemy to know.** When needed, protect such vigorously. Generally, information about internal organization within a core should be largely informal and verbal: who occupies actual roles of strategic leader, liaison, strategist, spy or significant financier should generally not end up written anywhere. But, some data – like counterintelligence notes and easements of personal, or written reports on current operations, some dispositions in the context of financing (book keeping) - need to be stored, at least for some period of time. Do destroy data which is no longer projected to be operationally useful (including for cleanup operations after Victory Day). Or, if you must – archive it in encrypted form, for long term storage on a cloud provider in the Free World. **DO NOT WORK ON HIGHLY SENSITIVE DATA WHILE CONNECTED TO THE INTERNET.** Instead, have the files hosting encrypted containers (never work with sensitive data outside an encrypted container) uploaded to the Internet while these containers are dismounted (and after a device reboot had occurred). Be aware that you will probably ultimately be forced to reveal passwords to online services and accounts also. Make sure these can be changed by other supporters “in case you disappear” or are reported captured (eg. 2-5 people knowing the same password, changing it when one of them disappears for too long – be also very very weary of betrayal in this regard). Consider more advanced practices, like multiple locks for the password, splitting it as per “k-of-n secret sharing crypto schemes”. For something really critical, consider exploding heartbeat script systems which “lock your account – eg. too many failed login attempts” (assuming your recovery method is “not with you” – eg. being a phone number on a mobile phone which you never take outside the Free World), or just change your credentials and send the new ones to a secure email server within the Free World (be careful about leaving traces of this in transit, or on the script machine), in case you don't hit “Continue” button at least every 24/48 hours.
- **Consider using heartbeat systems and procedures to handle a host of situations, like to signal you were captured.** For matters of secrecy less than “COSMIC” (as per NATO document secrecy classification convention) one could reasonably expect to resist circa 24-48 hours at least, before enemy torture makes him reveal some or all information in his possession. Note that flight to Guantanamo Bay takes a good number of hours – especially if transit via other dark sites is required. However, if an important person (in possession of very sensitive information), fails the heartbeat after 24-48 hours, he should be assumed captured, until proven otherwise. The 24 hour limit could be adjusted down to 1-5 hours during crisis situations or active operations (eg. during street protests). Consider employing some stenography with regards to the technical implementation of the heartbeat: A scheduled blog post, occurring at a certain time (eg. now + 24 hours and X minutes, where X is a multiple of 7) will signal capture. Most blogging platforms support Scheduled Posts feature. For truly critical cases, require the opposite: that a blog post / communicate happens every 24-48 hours.



- **Gather detailed information about each other and build confidence wisely.** Have mid- and senior people involved with the resistance be very open, and detailed when talking about themselves. And have open interviews on such matters. Be explicit and comprehensive: not unlike the saying “giving them the 3<sup>rd</sup> degree” related to masonic rituals, but focusing more on their personal history and background and their current context, rather than their character and personal pleasures or hobbies. Have people know to expect such questioning from time to time as a matter of routine (called operational health-check) and not take it as a sign of distrust in them or as an offence. People should openly respond. Especially with regards to (i) their sources of financing; (ii) “how come they get so much free time” to be involved with the resistance; (iii) entourage and family links. And don’t settle for brief answers. Do require in later stages to meet each other’s families, visit their workplaces and so on. This is to trace out infiltrators and handle traitorship. People must be comfortable sharing such details. This is another area where having the resistance movement be “as per Our ways” helps: people should not have much to say and have too little to hide (mostly out of embarrassment or fear of misjudgment). Do not avoid saying things like “my uncle financed my firm with 100,000\$” or “I got a contract from the city hall to do this”: oftentimes good people are courted in such ways by the hegemonic elites’ structures, or are simply required by the establishment as highly skilled specialists. Also ask and don’t assume you know about (either favorably or unfavorably to them) why they did certain questionable or strange actions: often times not everyone is at the same level of lucidity. But even more often, they are just infiltrators, have become traitors, or are genuinely inappropriate to be involved in the movement. Leverage Us for counterintelligence purposes, as per Strategy 8, thus better and timely identifying infiltrators, traitors and all.
- **Do leverage embassies and diplomats of Free World member states.** Because you are an active participant of a resistance movement, should not, in itself, deter you, from contacting Our diplomats and leveraging further support on Our territories, as per Strategy 8. The Western hegemonies have always advocated the idea their diplomats can freely reach out and talk to any of our nationals. So there should be no diplomatic problem with Ours doing the same. Also, except in very select cases of some official positions (eg. in federal governments of Canada or the USA), citizens are not legally banned to mingle with foreign diplomats – including military attaches. They are typically just limited to not providing secret state classified information or acting as an unregistered foreign agents. Simply advocating a different policy than mainstream and talking about it – including to gain support – with foreign dignitaries, is not overtly illegal: the anti-Trump ‘Russiagate’ failed Western operation actually established this as a matter of legal precedent, backfiring in terms of intended effects.
- **Gather information about the local external environment.** Do gather non-OSINT but not state secret information on military infrastructure and capabilities. More so and more intensely in terms of military economics and “how recruitment works nowadays”: including how effective. Also, on the specific people and structures which function in factuality – in official capacity or not – as instruments of Western hegemonic power: and which, of course, will need to be eliminated or neutralized during military operations, or during post-New Victory Day cleanup. There was a prior initiative by the author in this regards, called “Project Cronos”.
- **Develop in principal “shadow government” measures to reinstate normalcy.** This refers to what needs to be changed: which people, which structures / systems, what legislation / acts, what concrete economic arrangements and so on. This can serve both during military operations and cleanup efforts, and as a political rallying point – the latter only with extreme care: We should not prematurely reveal how far Our knowledge goes, nor how strong Our resolve is, even behind enemy lines. Internationally, such assessments can be passed on to Us so we know what to ask for or require when leveraging Our military and soft power, as per Strategies 8, 9 and 16 in particular.





- **Do not hold “initiation rituals”, nor turn structures into “oath-based” societies.** Matters and people of significantly high caliber, deep involvement and promising talent, should be dealt with under parallel processes, with the context of higher level or elite structures and societies, including as per Strategy 18 or even Strategy 6. Of course, this is not to say that new joiners should not be given the proper attention, or even appropriate “induction” (ie. orientation). But the resistance movement should not become “like the masonry”, nor “like a sect”.

Implementation pitfalls, hints and tricks:

- **Be wary of overly overt actions facilitating enemy repression or legislative persecution. Be particularly wary of “Red Scare” like ‘witch-hunts’ used to disarm the US population, under slogans like “Russia controls the NRA”.** To this end, avoid any NRA association with Russia: have disjoint cores “for talking with Russia” and use liaisons to coordinate efforts with people who happen to be in the NRA. Have these have no formal (and sometimes not even factual) connection to the more explicit resistance movement cores. The NRA above can be replaced with the name of any organization or person. In particular, with regards to the NRA, We have no interest to intervene with it in anyway: it is already doing the exact right thing, and succeeding at it. As the saying goes, generally, “if it’s not broken, don’t fix it”.
- **Exposing too many resistance leaders and other kindhearted people, risks them being subjected to systematic genocide or being “wiped out” via decapitation strikes during the final stages Darkest Hour, either in revenge or as prevention by the enemy.** They already did this in World War 2: most of the terrible genocide against would-be political dissidents – of absolutely no political or military risk at the time, happened after the defeat of nazi germany was obvious to all, including them. Remember that there were over 27 million Soviet deaths (with only 1-2 million of them military) alone (still, far greater than US or UK ones). In order to defend against such eventualities, key leadership people should avoid being involved in too risky or too overt operations, and also consider operating from exile once in a while. With regards to segments of the population as a whole being subjected to genocide, Strategies 9 and 16 address this eventuality partly. The fact a large proportion of the civilian population in the US is lightly armed – and trained to use those arms, also helps a bit on those territories.
- **Pay attention that social activism and resistance is largely perceived to be volunteering. Don’t impose an overly strict or excessively demanding culture. Especially not on new joiners.** Many perceive contributing to resistance movements and social activism – especially when Victory seems distant into the future and far from certain – to be some form of charity work. Do try to make the structures and cores organized and more formal – and especially give the adequate people wishing and able to contribute more this opportunity –, but don’t exaggerate: neither in terms of demands nor of expectations. In particular be very mindful of the effects of people’s egos, of envy and of actual or perceived injustice with regards to formal or informal “leadership roles” within the cores or kernels. Also be mindful of free riders and people joining in exclusively as a fun way to socialize and spend their leisure time with interesting folks who amuse them. Finally, be inclusive, but do single out infiltrators and traitors. Take and adapt ideas form Strategy 19 where appropriate.
- **Getting encryption, stenography and secure communications set up right, especially behind enemy lines, is hard: IT IS VERY EASY TO GET IT WRONG OR BE NEGLIGENT.** When done right, the examples of Snowden, Assange and others illustrated that, when done right, encryption works: both statically and in transit. The example of all the others – especially of common criminals who got caught, illustrates how easy such matters are to get wrong. Worse so, when not properly set up, these measure instil a false sense of security, potentially leading to serious catastrophes in case the protected data was indeed that sensitive (usually people grossly overestimate in this regard). Setting up Encryption, Stenography and Secure communications



properly is outside the scope of this report. But people should know that **it can be done**. I have offered some pointers on how to do it, and, maybe at a later time I will author an article dedicated to this specifically – especially given that I am a published researcher in Cryptography ☺ (see [5] and [22]).

- **Beware of protest high jacking or false flag absorptions as with the 2011-2014 Romanian Protest movement.** In principle, 'open society' and other Western-backed and financed operatives (not to mention state security undercover agents), will have more time, more resources and more of a support network to organize protests – especially to prevent genuine cohesion, and will also strive to hijack genuine successes in this regard, at the very least for purposes of EEE. The author has gained extensive field experience – and even had some modest personal victories (if but inconsequential to the cause) – while "infiltrating" the group of leaders of the 2011-2014 Romanian protest movement which started with "Save Rosia Montana". I say "infiltrating" because I was a genuine supporter of liberty and Our ways in general, while they took me for a freemason or a political aspirant. There is more about this in my personal presentation. The detailed analysis of the operations undertaken by me there, of the lessons learned and so on, are outside the scope of this report. Maybe I will write a future article about them someday. But, the reality of protest (and even entire movement) hijacking should be carefully taken into account. Care must also be taken, not to promote or fall prey to absorption hijacking: where the creation of the movement is preempted, and fake structures and supporters are spawned, in order to lure genuine activists in, preventing them from junctioning up.

Prerequisites and Cross-connections:

- **No prerequisites.** This approach should be materialized on an ongoing basis, everywhere behind enemy lines.
- **Is supported by Strategy 8.**
- **Is defended by Strategy 9.**
- **Supports Strategy 11.**
- Can leverage and adapt elements of Strategies 12 and 18.
- Serves as a talent and leadership pool for Strategy 6 and Strategy 18.

**The above exposition should cover the essential parts of all crucial aspects from inception, up to late mid-stages of a social movement, representative of Our ways.** Later stages would focus more on international interaction, and formalization of operations: similar to how things evolved in Bolivarian Venezuela post 1996-1999. At that stage, the respective kernels and cores will gain more and more features of state actors, and thus can more readily find guidance in what to do as per the strategies outlined in Section II. When acting merely as part of the World People's Web, the above should provide adequate and sufficient pointers for the core aspects.

**Do note that the above is by no means intended or claimed to be an exhaustive discussion of the topic.** There are many details which were not covered. Many pitfalls and general best practices were not addressed: particularly about enemy practices in combating such unarmed resistance movements. A "newbie", "fresh out of high school", taking just the above as guidance, with no further personal research or training, will probably fail miserably the first 1-2 times trying to set up or join a resistance movement. But, of course, experience will strengthen him or her, and thereafter success will come.

**One should expect (and plan for) periodic (regular even in later stages) attacks on the resistance movement by the enemy, resulting in occasional compromise of people, structures, cores and potentially even kernels.** These will occur both via repression and traitorship. Care and



proportionate precautions need to be taken. Ultimately, gaining liberty is not a risk free undertaking, nor is it easy. People should understand this.

Given all these difficulties, risks and discomforts, one might falsely wonder, why to bother working with the World People's Web, or becoming an active part of it? I answer to this clearly.

**Do not neglect the importance of the World People's Web.** Note their existential, defining contribution in curtailing and degrading enemy power and options for actions within the Western-block: ensuring overt ban on slavery (leading to very low volumes in leveraging this economically by the hegemon), ban on cloning for purposes of slavery, ban on biological weapons, ban on genocide, ban on a system of governance entailing overt dictatorship, generating increased economic operating costs for the enemy, curtailing its ability to fully own administrative organs, limiting its the ability of its administrative organs to act genocidal, or otherwise overtly hegemonic. And many, many other things. Also note their crucial roles, as per Strategies 2, 3, 5 and 11 especially, required to have these have a chance at succeeding properly.

**Also do not neglect: If a miracle should happen, allowing us to avoid even a limited nuclear or biological weapons strike during the war altogether, this will be possible only by thanks to the World People's Web.** Such a miracle will happen only if ability of the Western hegemonic elites to initiate a nuclear or biological weapons attack is eliminated: the nuclear button is "taken away from them". And this will happen only if, due to the internal military and economic situation "at home" – ie. in Western-block strongholds -, situation which can be bought on about exclusively by the World People's Web, some of the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and maybe 2<sup>nd</sup> echelons of Western power, together with many non-aligned officials and military men within the Western administrative apparatus, act forcefully to this end. Of course, the collective whole of the other 20 strategies from section II, especially Strategies 1, 2 and 20 will play a decisive part also. But, if a nuclear or biological strike will be fully avoided, then this will be only because of the achievements of the World People's Web: it cannot happen without them.

Finally, let us remember the military and civilians of the World People's Web are kindhearted, smart, lucid people, genuinely supportive of Our values and of Our ways. And they are so intrinsically: not for the hope of personal gain, nor for the fear of personal costs. **They are, after all, Our World People's Web: the collective unity of all normally-minded people. And We shall not abandon them.** Doing otherwise wouldn't be nice, now would it?

## CONCLUSION

In this report I have presented a number of strategies and strategic pointers, which, when enacted successfully, lead to the defeat of imperialism and the triumph of a Multipolar world order, in a sufficiently timely fashion and with only limited and acceptable losses.

Consider the following closing arguments as well.

**The momentum is with Us. If We act wisely, firmly and decisively, Victory is guaranteed to be Ours. Game-theoretically speaking, We have a sure strategy, regardless of how the enemy responds.** This is why making most parts of this report public will not aid the enemy: even knowing that We will pursue the strategies in this report, he can still not prevent Victory on Our side. And this moment is





growing. The fact of the matter is, that from the China, to Russia, to the Middle East, to Central and South America, and even to North America – **We are winning**. While We are far from being “out of the woods” yet, We are winning. This will not be any “victory without difficulties”. There are mortal dangers and hard situations yet lying ahead. But, as it seems, We will prevail. And soon. With prompt and successful implementation of all these strategies, I estimate Victory Day will occur sometime 2040-2050. Cleanup efforts will take another 3-15 years thereafter. Sadly, before New Victory Day, this Darkest Hour chapter of Our end game in the war against imperialism will cost the lives and limbs of so many of our dear ones.. of so many of Us and of Our civilian friends, brothers and lovers. So be it. It can't be helped.

**Carefully note that an indefinite stalemate or long term coexistence with the Western hegemonic elites is impossible. Also note that Victory will most likely entail large scale warfare, including nuclear and biological warfare of a limited scale.** The Western system would just implode from inside and power positions of the hegemonic elites would be totally compromised in a genuinely Multipolar world. Especially if We were able to trade with people on their territories – even only with Our own World People's Web. But even without this, their economic model, based on exponential growth (and occasional pruning via cleansings) is not internally sustainable. And it is already in the first stages of active default: when these defaults reach high enough echelons of power, internal civil war will start on their territories. Even if they were to prevail in one such civil war, they would exit economically devastated. And thus be unable to “garner more support” and “do it all over again”. This is due to Pole Darwinism, inherent to the Multipolar World order: people would just join Us, instead of them. In the 1950's, Our territories were significantly underdeveloped economically: especially in terms of infrastructure. But today this is no longer the case: China, Russia, the DPRK are secure, technologically advanced and prosper nations. And given the inherent human value and wide popularity of Our ways – based on truth, equitability, liberty and love -, contrasted with the grotesquely immoral and deeply unpopular ways of the Western elites – based on deceit, violence and slavery –, when the these elites will have “nothing really” to offer as a counterbalance (unlike they did have in the 1950s-1990s: a safe and prosperous personal lifestyle compared to life in Our war devastated territories), then clearly everyone – and especially everyone of value, will join Us, and not them. In a Multipolar world, the Pole of the current Western hegemonic elites faces a Pole Darwinism evolutionary dead end: extinction. In order to maintain even vague chance of survival – even limited to their own territories, they would need to attain a fully automated, all powerful army, completely under their direct technical control (of the 1<sup>st</sup> echelon of power). This, in turn is (i) highly unlikely, especially in the sort timeframe until their economic defaults reach “high enough”; (ii) would in itself pose an existential threat to Us and We will not allow it; (iii) would bring about the onset of some very very ugly things happening to people in the Western block (making genocide seem like salvation by comparison), which, given the option, We will not allow; (iv) Their 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> echelons will not permit the 1<sup>st</sup> echelon to attain exclusive technical control over force: they do realize this would be to their own doom. These lower echelons would then either try to “jump ship” to Our side, or get Us involved militarily in defeating their former masters else how. Finally, the members of the Western hegemonic elite are aware of the analysis above: they came to these conclusions long before I ever knew there was such a thing as a hegemonic elite on Our planet. They understand that a Multipolar world is their doom – and not only as power holders, but, given what they have already done to so many people, physically as well. Furthermore, they are psychologically incapable of renouncing their ways – especially the need to oppress and torment others, nor the one to feel and be ‘in supreme, preferably absolute, unchecked control’, and use such powers for evil purposes: to enslave, torture, kill, control and exert dominance on the others - all of the others if possible. These things, are, sadly incurably in their nature. Theirs is the nazi way. And they educate their young like this, and worse, they select new acolytes to join the higher echelons of power from those who demonstrably share these grotesque traits of theirs – even to the detriment of their own youth, in the rare cases where needed. The Western hegemonic elites –



Rothschild and the rest, are doomed. And they will try to go down with as big a bang as possible: destroying all Earth if they can, just out of spite for Us – especially for Our essence, for Our potential, for Our manifest beauty becoming fulfilled. And even without revenge – their only hypothetical chance of survival is such a massive and devastating world war, preferably one which they win. Their victory is - thank God! – Impossible, due to the technical means at Our disposal (eg. Poseidon systems), and the sufficient internal security of Our leaders and kernels operating these. Furthermore, the Western hegemonic elites show no interest in surrendering. And not only for psychological reasons (although these themselves are strong enough), but also for rational ones: even a very limited deal ensuring their security post-New Victory Day seems unrealistic. What possible incentives could We have to undertake upon Us the huge political and social costs of defending them from being lynched by all the people and peoples they have hurt so profoundly – or to abstain Ourselves from lynching them –.. once they are disarmed? Therefore, as I explained, a large-scale war, probably involving some limited nuclear or biological weapons strikes is inevitable (save some miracle by Our World People’s Web). This is a sad component of the Darkest Hour in these final 2-3 decades end-game of the war against imperialism. More so, given that those perpetrating it are clearly doomed with or without it: even the theoretical possibility of their survival via some extensive compromising on Our side is excluded. Any deal - short, of course, of allowing them to gain further power, risking that these hegemonies attain victory over Us instead of Us over them - a fate unimaginable worse than death for any of Us, a fact of which why are vividly aware, cannot be satisfactory to them. The maximum benefit We could offer them is something among the lines of them committing suicide instead of being captured: and they could do this anyway without any cooperation from Us, at any stage of the war. They will not surrender just “to save their personal children”, for some of them who are not irrecoverables (in Our sole determination) to later live amongst Us, as someone of Us, under Our system of a Multipolar World. Of course, neither would We accept similar terms requiring Us or our youth to live under a hegemonic and oppressive system. Therefore things are settled in this regard: the Western hegemonic elites are doomed. And their way into the ground will require military action, and the sufferings of this Darkest Hour before the New Victory Day. So it will be. So it is.

As a minor ailment, allow me to give our civilian friends preview of some legal discourse which will give all of us enormous satisfaction and also cause some good laughs at the defendants responses. The members of the Western hegemonic elite, as well as all of their acolytes and collaborators from all echelons of power and even outside these echelons – who don’t join Reconciliation in time, **all these enemies of mankind will be tried at the Washington trials on imperialism, under Universal Law.** And their children, grandchildren, wives, mistresses and the like as well, everywhere where, in Our sole determination, We shall consider it appropriate. We will laugh loudly for minutes on end when their ranks will start claiming that ‘what they did was legal under the laws of the time’, or that ‘they were obligated by laws to act in such or such ways’. Of course, there will also be repeated mentioning of the legal precedent of “debelatio” – a word they know all too well and which We had to invent especially for them, the last time We defeated them, namely in World War II. On a more present note, we all should remember that all these “legal statutes” are mere words and post-factum explanations of oblivious acts of justice. They are worthless in the absence of Victory. And Victory will be achieved on the field: with technologically superior weapons, better leaders, Reconciliation, good strategies and so on. But on the battlefield: and that is in the physical world – on Earth; not in the realm of philosophy or judicial studies.

**What will We have won? How good will the post-New Victory Day Paradise as per Strategy 7 be? Very good. More beautiful and fulfilling than we can even imagine today.** One would nowadays dream about peace.. about free relationships.. about freedom from labor and so on.. But these are the very basics. In this regard, the worse-off in our societies will eventually be much, much better off, and will also fill enormously more fulfilled than how even the most grotesque of the Western hegemonies



fares today, while they are still in power on their territories. Remember that life in the USSR, as happening as it seems now, by comparison to what we are living now, was characterized by a colossal heyrapist push for industrialization on the largest of scales. We needed the intense and hard work to “catch up” with the Western block at the time – it was not a time of any relaxation or vacation; and still, many today would still give almost anything to at least live during those time again. But all that and much more, will be nothing.. nothing compared with how good it will be. The facts on this matter are clearly deducible via imagination and creativity, once one becomes free from the constraints of thinking like a slave, or of being a military man so caught up with present urgencies, that there is no interest to envision how beautiful the future will be after victory: since, of course, he.. and we.. we all.. will it when we get there anyway. And it is unequivocally clear that it will be very good.

**What awaits us before the New Victory Day? Pain, suffering, sustained effort, dangerous and yet more pain and suffering.** This victory is not trivial. It will not be “a victory without difficulties”. However, it shall be Victory: the reality bought about by the existence of the strategies outlined in this report is overwhelming, perhaps surprising to some, but, more importantly, undeniable. It will be hard, but Victory shall be Ours. It already is. And it will occur soon enough. We are so far well on schedule to meet my projection (not goal!!!) of about 2-3 more decades. If I were to guess absolutely wildly (note that military operations are never matters of german punctuality), I would place that New Victory Day to occur in 2043, with cleanup operations and transitory measures (like labor camps for former enemy military personnel) lasting into the mid-2050s. Take note that We did not, do not and will not economically rely on labor camps for anything of value: except perhaps rebuilding and expanding infrastructure, which did not happen before, due to the war. An economy of slaves, even if legitimately deserving to be such, is not Our way. It is not sustainable (suffering from the same internal instability due to exponential growth as the Western system of the hegemon), and, with regards to some aspects, is not respectful to Our own ladies and people at home who, free of oppression and hegemony will undoubtedly prove Us all that there is no need for slavery of any kind, and in particular no need for sexual slavery.

**Also note that defeat is impossible: Earth would simply be destroyed if We did achieve Victory; nothing worse is feasible.** Thank God for that!, for a fate far worse than death would have awaited at least 99.95% of humanity. Or, better to say, thank Russian President Vladimir Putin for overseeing the very complicated and tricky task of completing the strategic weapons and military programs of the Poseidon and the Avangard strategic systems. This was a marvelous intelligence and counterintelligence operation – not to mention a colossal scientific and engineering project. Keeping the progress and the program secret from the enemy during 1999-2012 was a matter of survival. If they knew how advanced We were, or what we were working on, they would have attacked militarily already: they falsely believed they could have neutralized our nuclear deterrent (especially politically so – with regards to their own lower echelons of power), via their SM-3 and THAAD ground based missile defense systems (which in factuality are not even effective against regular ICMBs, unlike our S-500s and the like). This had presented them with an easier path to their victory, involving just a bit more of “waiting out”, while enjoying their lives of luxury. Well, know the tables are irrevocably turned. And, given what is at hand, defeat is impossible. In the worst case, the entire Earth will be rendered uninhabitable, killing every life form on it. Thank God for this worst case scenario. Or, like I said, better thank Russian President Vladimir Putin. It is ironic to note that now, once Our deterrent has diplomatically neutralized most of the Western elite’s military options, We are actually interested in scaling down and eliminating weapons of mass destruction – of course, timely so, to allow Our conventional and strategic capabilities (not involving WMDs) develop fully. But, ironic to note, nevertheless: devastating WMD both saved Us in 2015-16, saved mankind, and now, just 5 years later, We would rather that they “went away”. Ironic.





**Note that the hardest task on Our path to Victory will be Reconciliation.** This will be very difficult for both Us, our populations and for the Reconciled parties as well. Especially initially. There will be resentment, expectations and suspicion on both sides. But Reconciliation is crucially useful to save us from massive nuclear and biological war, and, almost as importantly, to prevent large scale genocide against Our World People's Web. Furthermore, thanks to Reconciliation and the World People's Web, we might yet live a miracle where even a limited nuclear or biological strike is prevent altogether. Therefore, I say it's worth it, right?

**Remember that We are fighting for Paradise, not Revenge.** During these difficult and trying times – and more so during the gruesome pain and suffering of the upcoming Darkest Hour, we might oftentimes fall prey to Our legitimate, natural and otherwise healthy desires of Revenge. We will have Revenge also. if we can. And partly we will for sure: by the deaths of the Western hegemonic elites and their families. And, furthermore more appropriately so on those of them whom, due to their weaknesses we will manage to capture alive. But something must be clear, and operatively undertaken as clear: **We are not interested to pay anything extra "just for Revenge": nothing; zero.** Paradise is far better than even We Ourselves can even possibly conceive right now. And it will grant us All satisfaction that goes way beyond anything else – that will make the current nightmare and the gratification of Revenge seem like irrelevant non-quantities by comparison. That is what we are fighting for. These current Western hegemons and the suffering they have inflicted on mankind for the past 206 years, will soon pass into the history books: brining the added benefit to Us, a gift from God if you will.. that We will know now how to handle such evil and prevent similar mafia-like bandits and brutes from ever raising to power again. The suffering they have inflicted, and will, sadly, still inflict on Us and mankind for a while still, will very quickly be forgotten. Their history ends here. Ends with the New Victory Day. Our will continue. What can be a better revenge, than to deny this sick hegemonic enemy their very evil ultimate desire that we did not reach Our full potential? To deny them the satisfaction that we did not achieve our Paradise, but rather were forced to take the theoretical worst-case outcome of having the Earth destroyed completely? What can satisfy Us more, than to succeed to develop into Our full beauty, to reach Our full potential despite them? And over their dead bodies. Let's not get too caught up with Revenge – as this may adversely affect implementation of Strategy 1, besides many other matters. Let Us, in any circumstance, have Victory. Have Victory the way We want it (review the definition in Section I). To allow Us to build and live in Our little imperfect Paradise, as per Strategy 7. We will have Revenge as well, to the extent and measure that we can. The Washington Museum of the Crimes of imperialism will be probably the first institution of its kind to feature living exhibits: and their accommodations will for sure not be comfortable, nor their lives short. It will inspire and be used to educate our youth for generations to come. But let that not be Our focus. We have an entire Kosmos out there awaiting for us to explore and populate. And so many new alien civilizations to discover and befriend. Whom do you want to speak for Earth?

And, just to offer a glimpse of what Paradise might look like, consider of course, the fastening medical advances in combating aging: opening up the prospect of biological immortality for humans, similar to that of some species of medusas, bats and some plants. Better to spend those extra years in liberty than as a slave, no? Imagine all of the things We and mankind could and will achieve. So let's get this Darkest Hour over with. Let incur the costs that must be incurred and get it over with. I cannot refrain from noting that these costs, are, btw, mainly due to some of Our civilian brothers and sisters who "got Us into this mess", and did so for the second time!: by not properly understanding the responsibilities and requirements for vigilance that came with liberty. But now there is no way around it. Let's do it, and that's that. Let some of our civilian brothers and sisters who manage to live into the New Victory Day debate it later among themselves, if this was "the best way" or "if it was indeed or not the only way". And let the beautiful vision of Paradise guide and inspire Us in focusing Our attention, persevering, surmounting difficulties, and



bearing the dreadful suffering of losing our loved ones and, for some of Us losing our own lives as well. Let the vision of Paradise drive Us forward, not of Revenge. Let's have Our minds and souls focused on the beauty of normalcy and on the fulfillment of the full potential of ourselves and of mankind during this Darkest Hour. Things will turn out even better this way; that is why I ask it: it will help Us in the war effort.

Now, when we clearly understand that Victory is within reach, we start to vividly remember that the challenges of life, of science and the Kosmos await us. How long still do we want mankind to be held back from tackling them? Let the burring of our loved ones not have been in vain. To the arms!

Allow me to finish with a few favorite quotes of mine.

- Quote: "All spirits are enslaved, who serve things evil".
- Quote: "Работаем брат, работаем."
- Quote: "Consciensia I consimiento son principal poher".
- Quote: "What stops a bad guy with an army? A good guy with an army".
- Quote: "It won't budge".

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would first like to thank my wonderful Russian friends and compatriots for their ongoing attention, acceptance and security support for me, especially in 2015, despite some of the rougher rides which I had caused them, due to matters not fully under my control. Especially the people at the Russian Embassy and Consulate in Romania, at the Russian Center for Culture and Science in Bucharest, my personal friends and girlfriends in Russia, and, of course, the kind and smart people of the various security and migration services of the Russian Federation. These are people worth fighting for, and worthy of having fight alongside.

I would also like to thank the brave and wise companieros of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela for the warm and high level reception they granted me in 2020 in Caracas. And especially His Excellence, the Venezuelan Ambassador to Romania: his wisdom and courage in taking a risk with me allowed so much progress and eventually lead to the first steps in formulating the ideas that ended up forming this report.

Warm thanks also, for their existence and patient understanding, to the four beautiful persons who inspired the author to strive to make the world a better place for them. This report would never have existed without them.

## THE AUTHOR



**Mircea Digulescu** is a **Leader, Independent Entrepreneur, Computer Science Researcher, Software Engineer, Social Activist** (for the ideals of the 1789 French Revolution), **Military and Intelligence Enthusiast** and **Amateur Writer**. He is interested in bilateral and multilateral scientific and military-technical cooperation (in a private capacity), as well as public speaking (on computer science, intelligence and military topics), offering training and giving press interviews.

He is originally from Bucharest, Romania and currently operates worldwide.



Mircea Digulescu has a **PhD (ABD) in Applied Computer Science** from **University of Bucharest** (2019), Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, where he also taught briefly (2014) and was awarded the **Professional Diploma in Management (with Distinction)** by the **Open University Business School (OUBS)** in Milton Keynes (distance learning) (2008). He also completed several other graduate and post-graduate courses, including Russian Language for Foreigners from **Lobachevski State University** – 1 semester (2019), Competitive Negotiation Strategy - **Moscow School of Management SKOLKOVO** (2013), International Strategy - OUBS (2011) and was offered admission but did not attend **Moscow School of Management SKOLKOVO** (in 2012) and **Cornell University** (in 2004).

He is a former laureate of the toughest, most renowned international Informatics contests for University students and high school pupils (**CEOI 2003, 2004; ACM SEERC 2004, 2005**) and is also a **Div1 coder on Codeforces.com** (profile: [mircea85](#)) – peek score 2022 **candidate master**.

Mircea Digulescu is a both an independent and a peer-reviewed published author of a number of papers on topics of Computer Science – mainly **Game Theory** (like *Farsighted Collusion in Stable Marriage Problem* [23]), **Complexity Theory** (like *Towards Solving NP-Complete and Other Hard Problems Efficiently in Practice* [4]) and **Cryptography** (like *Hiding Data in Plain Sight: Towards Provably Unbreakable Encryption with Short Secret Keys and One Way Functions* [22]). He has also self-published a comprehensive collection of articles on social-political awareness and strategy pertaining to the topic of designing and enacting a better society: **On the Functioning of Society** (2019), **On Happiness** (2015), as part of his blog, "Reflectii Despre Societate" [3] (in Romanian).

Mircea Digulescu **had founded an RPA technology startup** (Mat Soft Technology) in 2009 which he sold in 2014. He worked on various other projects in the field of software engineering, with roles varying from **Senior Software Engineer** to de-facto **Director of Engineering to Technical Product Manager**, mostly freelance. Up to Feb 2021, he never earned money from anything other than software engineering in his entire existence, but is currently actively interested in just that.

Mircea Digulescu engaged in a private capacity with state and supra-state actors a number of times in the context of Intelligence and Cooperation, as well as Business – **Venezuela (2019+), Russian Federation (2011+), China (2010)** and others. He undertook personal "intelligence operations" in Romania (2009-2014) **infiltrating the groups of "leaders" of protest movement** in Romania (2012-2013) and **exposing pro-western control over them, protesting against then-president Basescu** (2012-2013) and **against the involvement of Chevron** in fracking operations around Romania (2013). He even **identified by chance a Russian traitor**, Viktor Chumakov (Invest Nikarom, related to Mechel Romania), by uncovering the inappropriate relationship (very close, family like) he had to Romanian Intelligence officer George Brailoiu and the active common activity they had in the Russian city of Novorossiysk (2013), as well as the former's ties with Taiwan: thanks in part to informal cooperation with a Romanian worker for a Russian news outlet. He also discovered that Mr. Chumakov, according to his own claims, had significant influence in the circles of then-prime minister of Russian Federation, Dmitry Medvedev and with HE himself. Given Mr. Chumakov's family like ties with pro-western Brailoiu, Mircea Digulescu identified this as a threat to the national security of the Russian Federation and acted accordingly, leading to his (Mircea Digulescu's) informal exile from Romania by a group associated with Romanian freemasonry in 2014 (see suggestive evidence [here](#), [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#)). Mircea Digulescu was also involved with a global, anti-hegemony, sustainability group called **The Zeitgeist Movement** (2009-2012) and became **Official Romanian Chapter Coordinator** (2010+), having organized **ZDay 2010, 2011** and **2012**. During this period he gained a lot of field experience in how western services and agents infiltrate open movements and how to counter the effects of such infiltrators and saboteurs, as well as about raising awareness around good-willed confused individuals. Mircea Digulescu held **a strong anti-imperialist speech** (see [here](#)) [1] in the framework of the **International Bolivarian Forum against Imperialism 2020 in Caracas**, where he explicitly singled out, by name, the Rothschild family and other members of western elites as main perpetrators of evil in our current society. In early 2021 he discovered that the **US National Security Agency (NSA) contracts out software technology for massive sentiment analysis and preemption of civil dissent actions** via contractors for the Israeli military, namely Elbit and its competitor/partner company Cellebrite, with the latter recruiting software engineers in Romania (see suggestive evidence [here](#)).

Mircea Digulescu is a **fully independent, non-state actor**. All his non-software endeavors were and are self-financed. Although he was courted to join top western-block secret societies and groups (2005 – top echelons of





freemasonry, 2012 – Knights Templar: see audio proof [here](#), 2013 – Romanian branch of freemasonry) he refused each time and instead used the opportunities for intelligence gathering purposes. Mircea Digulescu's political views are best summarized as a **self-styled variant of leftwing republican libertarianism** (leftwing with the semantics of 1789). He maintains himself aware of the problems and situations across most regions of the globe, by individually processing OSINT (especially NEWSINT) and the occasional, opportunistic HUMINT he obtains via his personal contacts with others. When he was young (9-14 yo.), Mircea Digulescu developed the system of functional "secret service" where he co-opted some of his school colleagues at the time (1995-2000) – see photo docs [here](#). He has self-perfected his knowledge and competence in intelligence gathering and operations ever since.

As a **Software Engineer** he builds **fast, reliable systems that scale**. He built a Google Maps competitor for Bolt.eu which is currently live in production and serves around 100 Million monthly active users and over 1 Billion transactions. He can build systems of larger scale as well.

As an Entrepreneur, Mircea Digulescu has 8 ideas for new startups, including a Marketplace, a Cryptography company and Private Cloud.

He speaks Romanian (native), English (bilingual, certified by SAT1+2, TOEFL), Russian (B1 – certified priority by Petersburg University as A2), German (low), French (very low).

As a writer, Mircea Digulescu wrote a **mathematics-themed explanatory love note** (Explicatie de Dragoste) and is working on a **SciFi adventure love novel** (The Little Big Game of Life) which will be the greatest novel of the 21century, dwarfing Harry Potter by comparison.

**Please contact Mircea Digulescu directly for any questions or proposals here: [mircea.digulescu@mail.ru](mailto:mircea.digulescu@mail.ru); [mircea.digulescu@gmail.com](mailto:mircea.digulescu@gmail.com); [mircea.digulescu@matsoft.ro](mailto:mircea.digulescu@matsoft.ro); Telephone/Telegram: +7(925)880-97-89.**

**A full presentation of Mircea Digulescu can be found [here](#) (English) and [here](#) (Russian) [24].**



Mircea Digulescu, during festivities for the 76<sup>th</sup> anniversary of Victory Day against fascism, 2021, in Yerevan, Armenia

## REFERENCES

[1] – Digulescu, M., Jan 2020. *Speech for the 2020 International Bolivarian Forum Against Imperialism in Caracas*. See [here](#) or [here](#).



- [2] – Digulescu, M., July 2017. *On the Functioning of Society (in Romanian)*. See [here](#), [here](#) or [here](#).
- [3] – Digulescu, M., 2021-2021. *Personal Blog "Reflectii Despre Societate"*. See here: <http://reflectii-despre-societate.blogspot.com>
- [4] – Digulescu, M., November 2019. *Towards Solving NP-Complete and Other Hard Problems Efficiently in Practice*. See [here](#) or [here](#).
- [5] – Digulescu, M., February 2021. *Applications of SKREM-like symmetric key ciphers*. See [here](#) or [here](#). See the peer-reviewed, accepted version of the paper here: <https://itcse2021.org/crypis/papers.html>.
- [6] – GlobalResearch.ca media project. See here: <https://www.globalresearch.ca>.
- [7] – АНО «Россия — страна возможностей». *Contest "Leaders of Russia"*. See here: [https://лк.лидерыроссии.рф/ru\\_season\\_4/international](https://лк.лидерыроссии.рф/ru_season_4/international).
- [8] – HotNews.ro media project (Romania). See here: <http://hotnews.ro>.
- [9] – SputnikNews media project, May 2021a. *Japanese Woman Suspected of Poisoning Her Husband Who 'Became Rich to Sleep With Beauties*. See [here](#).
- [10] – SputnikNews media project, May 2021b. *Bachelor Bill: Microsoft Co-Founder Reportedly Partied With Strippers Before Marriage*. See [here](#).
- [11] – SputnikNews media project, May 2021c. *Israeli Media Suggest JCPOA Talks in Vienna Impacted by 'Deteriorating' Health of Iran's Khamenei*. See [here](#).
- [12] – SputnikNews media project, May 2021d. *Ex-Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad Registers for June Elections*. See [here](#).
- [13] - Digulescu, M., July 2017. *On Happiness (in Romanian)*. See [here](#), [here](#) or [here](#).
- [14] - Digulescu, M., July 2017. *On Power (in Romanian)*. See [here](#), [here](#) or [here](#).
- [15] – Russia Today media project (Russia). See here: <https://www.rt.com>.
- [16] – SputnikNews media project (Russia). See here: <https://sputniknews.com>.
- [17] – PressTV media project (Iran). See here: <https://www.presstv.com>.
- [18] – Telesur media project (Venezuela). See here: <https://www.telesurtv.net>.
- [19] – Calle y media Collective, circa 2006. *Venezuela Bolivariana Documentary (in English)*. See here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5oWWW8W0UOA>.
- [20] – Baltzer, A., Aug 2004. *Life in Occupied Palestine Documentary*. See here: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q\\_MDC2Gty4I](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q_MDC2Gty4I).





[21] – BBC (UK), circa 2014. *Iran and the West Documentary*. See here: Part 1 - [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Yfkqf\\_wWEsM](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Yfkqf_wWEsM), Part 2 - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-rwDqHjouUU> and Part 3 - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dfisKgJ8UWY>.

[22] – Digulescu, M., December 2019. *Hiding Data in Plain Sight: Towards Provably Unbreakable Encryption with Short Secret Keys and One Way Functions*. See [here](#) or [here](#). See the peer-reviewed, accepted version of the paper here: <https://itcse2021.org/crypis/papers.html>.

[23] – Digulescu, M., May 2019. *Farsighted Collusion in Stable Marriage Problem*. See [here](#), [here](#) or [here](#).

[24] – Digulescu, M., January 2021. *Presentation of Mircea Digulescu and his Proposals*. See [here](#) (in English) or [here](#) (in Russian). Please note that the links above are to the full version of his presentation of himself, which is Classified. Here are the full links, for easier viewing.

English: [http://www.matsoft.ro/upload/EN%20-%20Mircea%20Digulescu%20-%20Full%20Presentation%20\(Ar21,%20EN\)%20v1.0.pdf](http://www.matsoft.ro/upload/EN%20-%20Mircea%20Digulescu%20-%20Full%20Presentation%20(Ar21,%20EN)%20v1.0.pdf).

Russian: [http://www.matsoft.ro/upload/RU%20-%20Mircea%20Digulescu%20-%20Full%20Presentation%20\(Ar21,%20RU\)%20v1.0%20-%20v1.0.pdf](http://www.matsoft.ro/upload/RU%20-%20Mircea%20Digulescu%20-%20Full%20Presentation%20(Ar21,%20RU)%20v1.0%20-%20v1.0.pdf).